socioeconomic, and environmental effects of the various proposed alternatives. Minority and low-income populations residing within the regions where the GLUP properties are located will not be disproportionately affected.

Navy also analyzed the impacts on children pursuant to Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks, 3 CFR 198 (1998). Under the selected alternative, the largest concentration of children would be present in the residential, educational, and recreational areas. The selected alternative would not pose any disproportionate environmental health or safety risks to children.

Mitigation

Implementation of Navy's decision to dispose of the surplus property does not require Navy to implement any mitigation measures. Navy will take certain actions to implement existing agreements and regulations. These actions are treated as agreements or regulatory requirements rather than mitigation.

The FEIS identified and discussed those actions that will be necessary to minimize or avoid the impacts associated with the reuse and redevelopment of the GLUP '94 Navy surplus property. The acquiring entities, under direction of Federal and local agencies with regulatory authority over protected resources, will be responsible for implementing necessary mitigation measures following disposal of the property.

Comments Received on the Final EIS

Navy received comments on the FEIS from the Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund, a private organization writing on behalf of the Center for Biological Diversity. All of the substantive comments concerned issues already discussed in the FEIS.

Regulations Governing the Disposal Decision

Since the proposed action contemplates a disposal under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (DBCRA), Public Law 101–510, 10 U.S.C. 2687 note (1994), Navy's decision was based upon the environmental analysis in the FEIS and application of the standards set forth in the DBCRA, the Federal Property Management Regulations, 41 CFR part 101–47, and the Department of Defense Rule on Revitalizing Base Closure Communities and Community Assistance, 32 CFR parts 174 and 175.

Conclusion

The Local Redevelopment Authority has determined in its Reuse Plan that the GLUP '94 surplus Navy properties should be used for various purposes including parks and recreational, historical and natural resource conservation, residential, commercial, resort, industrial, and agricultural activities. The property's location, physical characteristics, existing infrastructure, as well as current uses of adjacent property make it appropriate for the proposed uses.

Although the "No Action" Alternative has less potential for causing adverse environmental impacts, this alternative would not result in more efficient Navy operations or lower operational costs. Additionally, it would not foster local economic redevelopment and would not create new jobs.

The acquiring entities, under the direction of Federal and local agencies with regulatory authority over protected resources, will be responsible for adopting practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm that may result from implementing the Reuse Plan.

Accordingly, Navy will dispose of the GLUP '94 surplus Navy property in a manner that is consistent with the Government of Guam's Reuse Plan for the property.

Dated: March 2, 2001.

Duncan Holaday,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, (Installations and Facilities).

[FR Doc. 01–6047 Filed 3–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket Nos. FE C&E 01–48, C&E 01–49, C&E 01–50 and C&E 01–51, Certification Notice—197]

Office of Fossil Energy; Notice of Filings of Coal Capability of GenPower McIntosh, LLC, FPLE Rhode Island State Energy, L.P., Freestone Power Generation, L.P., and Carville Energy, LLC, Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE. **ACTION:** Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: GenPower McIntosh, LLC, FPLE Rhode Island State Energy, L.P., Freestone Power Generation, L.P., and Carville Energy, LLC, submitted coal capability self-certifications pursuant to section 201 of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, as amended.

ADDRESSES: Copies of self-certification filings are available for public inspection, upon request, in the Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Fossil Energy, Room 4G–039, FE–27, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Russell at (202) 586–9624

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (FUA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.), provides that no new baseload electric powerplant may be constructed or operated without the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel as a primary energy source. In order to meet the requirement of coal capability, the owner or operator of such facilities proposing to use natural gas or petroleum as its primary energy source shall certify, pursuant to FUA section 201(d), to the Secretary of Energy prior to construction, or prior to operation as a base load powerplant, that such powerplant has the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel. Such certification establishes compliance with section 201(a) as of the date filed with the Department of Energy. The Secretary is required to publish a notice in the Federal Register that a certification has been filed. The following owners/operators of the proposed new baseload powerplants have filed a self-certification in accordance with section 201(d).

Owner: GenPower McIntosh, LLC, (C&E 01–48).

Operator: General Electric International, Inc.

Location: Effingham County, Georgia. Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 529 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Wholesale power market.

In-Service Date: January 2004.

Owner: Rhode Island State Energy Partners, L.P., (C&E 01–49).

Operator: FPLE Rhode Island State Energy, L.P.

Location: Johnston, Rhode Island. Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 535 MW.

Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: The New England wholesale energy market..

In-Service Date: July 1, 2002.

Owner: Freestone Power Generation, L.P., (C&E 01–50).

Operator: Freestone Power Generation, L.P.

Location: Freestone County, Texas. Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 1,050 MW. Fuel: Natural gas. Purchasing Entities: Calpine Energy Services, L.P. and other wholesale purchasers.

In-Service Date: May 1, 2002.

Owner: Carville Energy LLC, (C&E 01–51).

Operator: Calpine Central, L.P. Location: Carville, Louisiana. Plant Configuration: Combined-cycle. Capacity: 508 MW. Fuel: Natural gas.

Purchasing Entities: Wholesale market.

In-Service Date: June 2002.

Issued in Washington, DC, February 28, 2001.

Anthony J. Como,

Deputy Director, Electric Power Regulation, Office of Coal & Power Im/Ex, Office of Coal & Power Systems, Office of Fossil Energy. [FR Doc. 01–6054 Filed 3–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER01-959-000]

Allegheny Energy Global Markets, LLC; Notice of Issuance of Order

March 6, 2001.

Allegheny Energy Global Markets, LLC (AEGM) submitted for filing a rate schedule under which AEGM will engage in wholesale electric power and energy transactions at market-based rates. AEGM also requested waiver of various Commission regulations. In particular, AEGM requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability by AEGM.

On March 1, 2001, pursuant to delegated authority, the Director, Division of Corporate Applications, Office of Markets, Tariffs and Rates, granted requests for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following:

Within thirty days of the date of the order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liability by AEGM should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214).

Absent a request to be heard in opposition within this period, AEGM is authorized to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as a

guarantor, indorser, surety, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issuance or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of AEGM's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is April 2, 2001.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. The Order may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call 202–208–2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–6049 Filed 3–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP01-240-000]

ANR Pipeline Company, Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 6, 2001.

Take notice that on February 28, 2001, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) tendered for filing, as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets proposed to become effective March 1, 2001:

Forty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 8 Forty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 9 Forty-fifth Revised Sheet No. 13 Fifty-fifth Revised Sheet No. 18

ANR states that the above-referenced tariff sheets are being filed to implement recovery of approximately \$4.0 million of above-market costs that are associated with its obligations to Dakota Gasification Company (Dakota). ANR proposes a reservation surcharge applicable to its Part 284 firm transportation customers to collect ninety percent (90%) of the Dakota costs, and an adjustment to the maximum base tariff rates of Rate Schedule ITS and overrun rates applicable to Rate Schedule FTS-2, so as to recover the remaining ten percent (10%). ANR also advises that the

proposed changes would increase current quarterly Above-Market Dakota Cost recoveries from \$2,023,299 to \$4,003,607.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/ rims.htm (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at http:/ /www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–5991 Filed 3–9–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP01-257-000]

ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 6, 2001.

Take notice that on February 28, 2001, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheet, proposed to become effective March 1, 2001.

Fifty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 18

ANR states that the above-referenced tariff sheet is being filed to implement the annual reconciliation of the recovery of its Above-Market Dakota Costs, as required by its tariff recovery mechanism. ANR advises that the filing proposes a reservation surcharge adjustment of \$0.005 applicable to its currently effective, firm service Rate Schedules. Pursuant to this surcharge, ANR proposes to recover, over the twelve month period of March 1, 2001