

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(g)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously per AD 94-15-12, amendment 39-8983, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with paragraphs (a) and (e) of this AD.

(3) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously per AD 94-15-18, amendment 39-8989, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with paragraphs (b) and (e) of this AD.

(4) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously per AD 94-15-18 and AD 94-15-12 that provide alternative inspections are approved as alternative methods of compliance for the inspections of that area only in this AD.

Note 6: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(h) Special flight permits may be issued per sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location

where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 5, 2003.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 03-5857 Filed 3-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Mine Safety and Health Administration****30 CFR Part 75**

RIN 1219-AA76

**Underground Coal Mine Ventilation—
Safety Standards for the Use of a Belt
Entry as an Intake Air Course To
Ventilate Working Sections and Areas
Where Mechanized Mining Equipment
Is Being Installed or Removed**

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Change of hearing dates.

SUMMARY: MSHA published hearing dates in the January 27, 2003 proposed rule on Safety Standards for the Use of a Belt Entry as an Intake Air Course to Ventilate Working Sections and Areas

Where Mechanized Mining Equipment Is Being Installed or Removed (68 FR 3936). Three of the hearing dates published with the proposed rule conflict with other Agency hearings and are being changed. The hearing in Grand Junction, Colorado is changed from May 29, 2003 to April 3, 2003. The hearing in Charleston, West Virginia is changed from May 13, 2003 to April 8, 2003. The hearing in Washington, Pennsylvania is changed from May 15, 2003 to April 10, 2003. All of the hearing locations are printed under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for the convenience of the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marvin W. Nichols, Jr., Director; Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, MSHA; phone: (202) 693-9440; facsimile: (202) 693-9441; E-mail: nichols-marvin@msha.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Public Hearings**

The table contains information on the hearing dates, locations, and phone numbers for all of the hearings on “Safety Standards for the Use of a Belt Entry as an Intake Air Course to Ventilate Working Sections and Areas Where Mechanized Mining Equipment is Being Installed or Removed.”

Date	Location	Phone
April 3, 2003	Holiday Inn Grand Junction, 755 Horizon Drive, Grand Junction, CO 81506	(970) 243-6790
April 8, 2003	Marriott Town Center, 200 Lee Street, Charleston, WV 25301	(304) 345-6500
April 10, 2003	Holiday Inn at the Meadows, 340 Racetrack Road, Washington, PA 15301	(724) 222-6200
April 29, 2003	Holiday Inn—Birmingham Airport, 5000 10th Avenue North, Birmingham, AL 35212	(205) 591-6900
May 1, 2003	Holiday Inn Lexington—North, 1950 Newton Pike, Lexington, KY 40305	(859) 233-0512

Dated: March 7, 2003.

Dave D. Lauriski,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 03-5942 Filed 3-11-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 136**

[FRL-7463-1]

RIN 2040-AD53

**Guidelines Establishing Test
Procedures for the Analysis of
Pollutants; Procedures for Detection
and Quantitation**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes revisions to the procedures for determining the sensitivity of analytical (test) methods under EPA's Clean Water Act (CWA). EPA's method detection limit (MDL) and minimum level of quantitation (ML) are used to define test sensitivity under the CWA. The MDL is used to determine the lowest concentration at which a substance is detected or is “present” in a sample. The ML appears in many EPA methods and has been used to describe the lowest concentration of a substance that gives a recognizable signal, or as a quantitation limit. The proposed revisions include clarifications and improvements that are based on a recent EPA assessment of the MDL and the ML and of alternative approaches for defining test sensitivity, peer review of the Agency's assessment, and earlier stakeholder comments on the existing MDL procedure. This proposal also revises the definition of the MDL to

reflect the proposed revisions to the procedure. The Agency's assessment of existing EPA procedures for determining test sensitivity and alternative approaches is also made available for public comment in a separate notice in today's **Federal Register** (see Notice of Document Availability and Public Comment Period on the Technical Support Document for the Assessment of Detection and Quantitation Concepts).

DATES: Comments must be postmarked, delivered by hand, or electronically mailed on or before July 10, 2003. Comments provided electronically will be considered timely if they are submitted electronically by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on July 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by mail to Water Docket, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (4101T), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington DC 20460, or electronically through EPA Dockets at