

Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR–CboeBZX–2023–040 and should be submitted on or before October 25, 2023. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by November 8, 2023.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁸

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023–21949 Filed 10–3–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–98650; File No. SR–CboeBZX–2023–068]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Suspension of and Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule Related to Physical Port Fees

September 29, 2023.

I. Introduction

On September 1, 2023, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change (File Number SR–CboeBZX–2023–068) to amend its fee schedule to increase the monthly fee for 10 gigabit (“Gb”) physical ports. The proposed rule change was immediately effective upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act.³ The proposed

rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on September 20, 2023.⁴ Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act,⁵ the Commission is hereby: (1) temporarily suspending the proposed rule change; and (2) instituting proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

II. Background and Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule for its equity options platform (“BZX Options”) relating to physical connectivity fees. The Exchange proposes to increase the monthly fee for 10 Gb physical ports from \$7,500 to \$8,500 per port. The Exchange currently assesses the following physical connectivity fees for Members⁶ and non-Members on a monthly basis: \$2,500 per physical port for a 1 Gb circuit and \$7,500 per physical port for a 10 Gb circuit.⁷ According to the Exchange, the physical ports may also be used to access the systems for the following affiliate exchanges and only one monthly fee currently (and will continue) to apply per port: the Exchange’s equities platform (BZX Equities), Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (options and equities platforms), Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc., Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc., and Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.

III. Suspension of the Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act,⁸ at any time within 60 days of the date of filing of an immediately effective proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Act,⁹ the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend the change in the rules of a self-regulatory organization (“SRO”) if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Commission believes a temporary suspension of the proposed rule change is necessary and appropriate to allow for additional analysis of the proposed rule change’s

consistency with the Act and the rules thereunder.

In support of the proposal, the Exchange states its belief that the proposed fee change is reasonable as it reflects a moderate increase in physical connectivity fees for 10 Gb physical ports.¹⁰ The Exchange states that the current 10 Gb physical port fee has remained unchanged since June 2018.¹¹ The Exchange states that during this 5-year span there has been an average inflation rate of 3.9%, producing a cumulative price increase of approximately 21.1% inflation since the fee for the 10 Gb physical port was last modified.¹² In support of its claim of reasonableness, the Exchange compares its proposed rate increase from the rates adopted five years ago of approximately 13% to the cumulative inflation rate of 21.1%.¹³

In further support of the proposal, the Exchange states that the proposed fee is reasonable, fair, and equitable, and not unfairly discriminatory.¹⁴ The Exchange believes that the proposed fee is reasonable as it is still in line with, or even lower than, amounts assessed by other exchanges for similar connections.¹⁵ The Exchange also states its belief that the fee is not unfairly discriminatory, because the fee would be assessed uniformly across all market participants that purchase the physical ports.¹⁶ The Exchange states that the fee is equitable because increasing the fee for 10 Gb physical ports and charging a higher fee as compared to the 1 Gb physical port as the 1 Gb physical port is $\frac{1}{10}$ the size of the 10 Gb physical port and does not offer access to many of the products and services offered by the Exchange.¹⁷ The Exchange also states its belief the proposed fee is reasonably and appropriately allocated because, the Exchange states, market participants that purchase 10 Gb physical ports use the most bandwidth and therefore consume the most resources from the network.¹⁸

In further support of its proposed fee, the Exchange states that Members and non-Members will continue to choose the method of connectivity based on their specific needs and no broker-dealer is required to become a Member of, or connect directly to, the

²⁸ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(57).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A). A proposed rule change may take effect upon filing with the Commission if it is designated by the exchange as “establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization.” 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 98389 (September 14, 2023), 88 FR 64957 (“Notice”).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

⁶ The term “Member” means any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange. See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

⁷ A physical port is utilized by a Member or non-Member to connect to the Exchange at the data centers where the Exchange’s servers are located.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

¹⁰ See Notice, *supra* note 4, at 64958.

¹¹ See *id.*

¹² See *id.*

¹³ See *id.*

¹⁴ See *id.*

¹⁵ See *id.*

¹⁶ See *id.*

¹⁷ See *id.*

¹⁸ See *id.*

Exchange.¹⁹ The Exchange also states its belief that substitutable products and services are available to market participants, including, among other things, other options exchanges that a market participant may connect to in lieu of the Exchange, indirect connectivity to the Exchange via a third-party reseller of connectivity, and/or trading of any options product, such as within the Over-the-Counter markets.²⁰ Additionally, the Exchange believes that low barriers to entry mean that new exchanges may rapidly enter the market and offer additional substitute platforms to further compete with the Exchange and the products it offers.²¹ According to the Exchange, there are 3 exchanges that have been added in the U.S. options markets in the last 5 years (*i.e.*, Nasdaq MRX, LLC, MIAx Pearl, LLC, and MIAx Emerald LLC) and one additional options exchange that is expected to launch in 2023 (*i.e.*, MEMX LLC).²²

The Exchange states its belief that participation on the Exchange remains affordable (notwithstanding the proposed fee change) for all market participants, including smaller trading firms that may be able to take advantage of lower costs that result from mutualized connectivity.²³ The Exchange states that a market participant may submit orders to the Exchange via a Member broker or a third-party reseller of connectivity.²⁴ The Exchange notes that third-party non-Members also resell exchange connectivity, which the Exchange states is another viable alternative for market participants to trade on the Exchange without connecting directly to the Exchange (and thus not pay the Exchange's connectivity fees).²⁵ The Exchange states it does not preclude market participants from reselling its connectivity and has not adopted fees that would be assessed to third-party resellers on a per customer basis (*i.e.*, fee based on number of Members that connect to the Exchange indirectly via the third-party).²⁶ The Exchange notes

that multiple Members are able to share a single physical port (and corresponding bandwidth) with other non-affiliated Members if purchased through a third-party reseller.²⁷ The Exchange states its belief that this allows resellers to mutualize the costs of the ports for market participants and provide such ports at a price that may be lower than the Exchange charges due to this mutualized connectivity.²⁸

Finally, the Exchange states that the proposed fees would not cause any unnecessary or inappropriate burden on intermarket competition because proposed fee is lower than some fees for similar connectivity on other exchanges and therefore may stimulate intermarket competition by attracting additional firms to connect to the Exchange or at least should not deter interested participants from connecting directly to the Exchange.²⁹ The Exchange also states that if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, the Exchange can, and likely will, see a decline in connectivity via 10 Gb physical ports as a result.³⁰ Furthermore, the Exchange states that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can determine whether or not to connect directly to the Exchange based on the value received compared to the cost of doing so.³¹ The Exchange also states that the proposed rule change would not cause any unnecessary or inappropriate burden on intramarket competition because it will apply to all similarly situated Members equally (*i.e.*, all market participants that choose to purchase the 10 Gb physical port).³² Additionally, the Exchange stated that it does not believe its proposed pricing will impose a barrier to entry to smaller participants and notes that its proposed connectivity pricing is associated with relative usage of the various market participants.³³

To date, the Commission has not received any comment letters on the proposed rule change.

When exchanges file their proposed rule changes with the Commission, including fee filings like the Exchange's present proposal, they are required to provide a statement supporting the proposal's basis under the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder

applicable to the exchange.³⁴ The instructions to Form 19b-4, on which exchanges file their proposed rule changes, specify that such statement "should be sufficiently detailed and specific to support a finding that the proposed rule change is consistent with [those] requirements."³⁵

Section 6 of the Act, including Sections 6(b)(4), (5), and (8), require the rules of an exchange to: (1) provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable fees among members, issuers, and other persons using the exchange's facilities;³⁶ (2) perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, protect investors and the public interest, and not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers;³⁷ and (3) not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.³⁸

In temporarily suspending the Exchange's proposed rule change, the Commission intends to further consider whether the proposal to increase the monthly fee for 10 Gb physical ports from \$7,500 to \$8,500 per port for the Exchange is consistent with the statutory requirements applicable to a national securities exchange under the Act. In particular, the Commission will consider whether the proposed rule change satisfies the standards under the Act and the rules thereunder requiring, among other things, that an exchange's rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable fees among members, issuers, and other persons using its facilities; not permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers; and do not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.³⁹

Therefore, the Commission finds that it is appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, and otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, to temporarily suspend the proposed rule change.⁴⁰

¹⁹ See *id.*

²⁰ See *id.* The Exchange states there are currently 16 registered options exchanges that trade options (12 of which are not affiliated with Cboe), some of which have similar or lower connectivity fees; and based on publicly available information, no single options exchange has more than approximately 19% of the market share.

²¹ See *id.*

²² See *id.*

²³ See *id.* at 64959.

²⁴ See *id.* at 64958.

²⁵ See *id.* The Exchange states this alternative is already being used by non-Members and further constrains the price that the Exchange is able to charge for connectivity to its Exchange.

²⁶ See *id.* The Exchange states its belief these third-party resellers may purchase the Exchange's

physical ports and resell access to such ports either alone or as part of a package of services.

²⁷ See *id.*

²⁸ See *id.*

²⁹ See *id.* at 64959.

³⁰ See *id.*

³¹ See *id.*

³² See *id.*

³³ See *id.*

³⁴ See 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (Item 3 entitled "Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change").

³⁵ See *id.*

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

³⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

³⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

³⁹ See 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5), and (8), respectively.

⁴⁰ For purposes of temporarily suspending the proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

IV. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove the Proposed Rule Change

In addition to temporarily suspending the proposal, the Commission also hereby institutes proceedings pursuant to Sections 19(b)(3)(C)⁴¹ and 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁴² to determine whether the Exchange's proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide additional comment on the proposed rule change to inform the Commission's analysis of whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act,⁴³ the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for possible disapproval under consideration:

- Whether the Exchange has demonstrated how the proposed fees are consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act, which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange "provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities";⁴⁴

- Whether the Exchange has demonstrated how the proposed fees are consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange not be "designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers";⁴⁵ and

- Whether the Exchange has demonstrated how the proposed fees are consistent with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange "not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of [the Act]."⁴⁶

⁴¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C). Once the Commission temporarily suspends a proposed rule change, Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act requires that the Commission institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) to determine whether a proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

⁴² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁴³ *Id.* Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act also provides that proceedings to determine whether to disapprove a proposed rule change must be concluded within 180 days of the date of publication of notice of the filing of the proposed rule change. *See id.* The time for conclusion of the proceedings may be extended for up to 60 days if the Commission finds good cause for such extension and publishes its reasons for so finding, or if the exchange consents to the longer period. *See id.*

⁴⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁴⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁴⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

As discussed in Section III above, the Exchange made various arguments in support of their proposal. The Commission believes that there are questions as to whether the Exchange has provided sufficient information to demonstrate that the proposed fees are consistent with the Act and the rules thereunder.

Under the Commission's Rules of Practice, the "burden to demonstrate that a proposed rule change is consistent with the [Act] and the rules and regulations issued thereunder . . . is on the [SRO] that proposed the rule change."⁴⁷ The description of a proposed rule change, its purpose and operation, its effect, and a legal analysis of its consistency with applicable requirements must all be sufficiently detailed and specific to support an affirmative Commission finding,⁴⁸ and any failure of an SRO to provide this information may result in the Commission not having a sufficient basis to make an affirmative finding that a proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the applicable rules and regulations.⁴⁹

The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional consideration and comment on the issues raised herein, including as to whether the proposed fees are consistent with the Act, and specifically, with its requirements that exchange fees be reasonable and equitably allocated, not be unfairly discriminatory, and not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.⁵⁰

V. Commission's Solicitation of Comments

The Commission requests written views, data, and arguments with respect to the concerns identified above as well as any other relevant concerns. Such comments should be submitted by October 25, 2023. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by November 8, 2023. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b-4, any request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.⁵¹

⁴⁷ 17 CFR 201.700(b)(3).

⁴⁸ *See id.*

⁴⁹ *See id.*

⁵⁰ *See* 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5), and (8).

⁵¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2). Section 19(b)(2) of the Act grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular proposal by an

The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency and merit of the Exchange's statements in support of the proposal, in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the proposed rule change.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-CboeBZX-2023-068 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeBZX-2023-068. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All

SRO. *See* Securities Acts Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).

submissions should refer to file number SR–CboeBZX–2023–068 and should be submitted on or before October 25, 2023. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by November 8, 2023.

VI. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(C) of the Act,⁵² that File No. SR–CboeBZX–2023–068, be and hereby is, temporarily suspended. In addition, the Commission is instituting proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵³

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2023–22013 Filed 10–3–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–98645; File No. SR–IEX–2023–11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Investors Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Extend the Implementation Date of Its New Fixed Midpoint Peg Order Type

September 29, 2023.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) ² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on September 28, 2023, the Investors Exchange LLC (“IEX” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) under the Act,⁴ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,⁵ IEX is filing with the Commission a proposal to extend the implementation date of its new fixed

midpoint peg order type in advance of a potential partial shutdown of the federal government. The Exchange has designated this proposal as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act ⁶ and provided the Commission with the notice required by Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) under the Act.⁷

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s website at www.iextrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

IEX is filing this proposal to extend the implementation date of its new fixed midpoint peg order type,⁸ which is designed to peg to the less aggressive of the order’s limit price or the Midpoint Price,⁹ but does not re-reprice based on changes to the NBBO.¹⁰ IEX filed the immediately effective rule change on July 19, 2023, and it was published in the **Federal Register** on August 7, 2023 (“Original Rule Filing”).¹¹ Pursuant to the Original Rule Filing, the fixed midpoint peg order type is currently scheduled to be implemented within 90 days of the July 19, 2023 filing date, *i.e.*, on or before October 17, 2023.

The Exchange anticipates that the technical changes necessary to implement the fixed midpoint peg order type should be completed in time to enable implementation on or before October 17, 2023. However, unforeseen delays may require that IEX extend the implementation date a few weeks

beyond October 17, 2023. IEX understands that as of September 26, 2023, there is a meaningful possibility of a partial federal government shutdown starting on October 1, 2023. IEX also understands that during a partial federal government shutdown, the Commission will not be able to accept and publish rule change proposal filings.¹² Thus, in advance of the potential partial federal government shutdown, and in an abundance of caution in case IEX requires a few additional weeks to implement the fixed midpoint peg order type, IEX now proposes to extend the October 17, 2023 implementation deadline to November 30, 2023.

IEX will still announce the implementation date by Trading Alert at least ten (10) days in advance of such implementation date. Besides the implementation date, the Exchange is not proposing to make any changes to the terms of the Original Rule Filing.

2. Statutory Basis

IEX believes that its proposal is consistent with the provisions of Section 6(b) of the Act ¹³ in general, and with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁴ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the proposal is consistent with the Act because it will allow the Exchange to complete technical changes necessary to implement the fixed midpoint peg order type in a thorough and risk averse manner, thereby protecting investors. By making this filing in advance of a potential partial federal government shutdown, IEX is ensuring it will have the necessary time to implement the order type even if the federal government is partially shutdown.

Further, the ten (10) days’ notice to market participants of the implementation date for the fixed midpoint peg order type is consistent with the Act because it will provide appropriate transparency to market participants and the Commission regarding the change. Finally, as noted in the Purpose section, the Exchange is not proposing to make any changes to the terms of the Original Rule Filing other than the implementation date.

¹² See Jeff Stein, et al., “A federal government shutdown looks more and more likely: what to know,” *The Washington Post*, September 26, 2023.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁵² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(C).

⁵³ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(57).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

⁸ See IEX Rule 11.190(b)(19).

⁹ See IEX Rule 1.160(t).

¹⁰ See IEX Rule 1.160(u).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 98035 (August 1, 2023), 88 FR 52233 (August 7, 2023) (SR–IEX–2023–06).