1. Penalty levels under non-extreme conditions
2. Reasons for scheduling variances
3. Information for shippers on scheduling variances
4. Trading of scheduling variances
5. SCT scheduling variances
6. Crediting of penalty revenues

B. Flexible Point Rights
1. Approval process for original requests for service
2. Approval process for release transactions with request for alternative primary points
3. Five-day waiting period
4. Effect of alternate primary points on original primary points
5. Termination of alternate points
6. Default provision for nominated quantities in excess of CD in overlapping segments
7. Point rights within 100-mile segments but outside the primary path

C. Imbalance Services
1. Information provided to shippers on a daily basis
2. Cost of imbalance management services
3. Imbalance netting and trading—operational impact areas
4. Delivery variance service
5. Third-party imbalance management services

D. OFOs
1. When an OFO will be used
2. Panhandle's powers under an OFO
3. Amount of notice

E. Segmentation
1. Areas in which segmentation is not operationally feasible

F. Discount Provisions
1. Extension of discounts to other points

The above schedule may be changed as circumstances warrant.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–29952 Filed 11–22–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 4678–019, 4679–022]

Power Authority of the State of New York; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

November 17, 2000.

A final environmental assessment (FEA) is available for public review. The FEA was prepared for New York Power Authority's (licensee) application to operate the Crescent and Vischer Ferry Hydroelectric Projects in a run-of-river mode.

In summary, the FEA examines the environmental impacts of three alternatives for operating the Crescent and Vischer Ferry Projects: (1) licensee's proposed action: run-of-river operation; (2) licensee's initial proposed action: limited ponding; and (3) no-action. These alternatives are described in detail in the FEA.

The FEA recommends that the licensee operate the projects in a run-of-river mode in accordance with the licensee's proposed action alternative. The FEA concludes that implementation of this alternative would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

This FEA was written by staff in the Office of Energy Projects (OEP). Copies of the FEA can be obtained by contacting the Commission's Public Reference Room at (202) 208–1371.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–29996 Filed 11–22–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License

November 17, 2000.

a. *Type of filing:* Notice of Intent to File An Application for a New License.

b. *Project no.:* 289.

c. *Date filed:* November 3, 2000.

d. *Submitted by:* Louisville Gas and Electric Company—current licensee.

e. *Name of project:* Ohio Falls Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On the Ohio River in the City of Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. The project is located at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' McAlpine Locks and Dam.

g. *Filed pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act.

h. *Licensee contact:* Bill Bosta, Director, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, 220 West Main Street, P.O. Box 32010, Louisville, KY 40232 (502) 627–2359.

i. *FERC contact:* John Costello, *john.costello@ferc.fed.us*, (202) 219–2914.

j. *Effective date of current license:* September 1, 1981.

k. *Expiration date of current license:* November 10, 2005.

l. *Description of the project:* The project consists of the following existing facilities: (1) A powerhouse containing 8 generating units having a total installed capacity of 80,320 kW, located at the U.S. Corps of Engineers' McAlpine Locks and Dam; (2) a 632-foot-long, 26-foot-high concrete headworks section built integrally with the powerhouse; (3) a 0.9-mile-long, 69-kV transmission line; (4) an access road; (5) one half-mile-long railroad tracks; and (6) other appurtenances.

m. Each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by November 10, 2003.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–29949 Filed 11–22–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License

November 17, 2000.

a. *Type of filing:* Notice of Intent To File an Application for a New License.

b. *Project No.:* 7387.

c. *Date filed:* October 23, 2000.

d. *Submitted by:* Erie Boulevard Hydro, LP—current licensee.

e. *Name of project:* Piercefield Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On the Raquette River near the towns of Piercefield and Altamount, in St. Lawrence and Franklin Counties, New York. The project does not occupy federal lands.

g. *Filed pursuant to:* Section 15 of the Federal Power Act.

h. *Licensee contact:* Jerry L. Sabattis, Erie Boulevard Hydropower, LP, 225 Greenfield Parkway, Suite 201, Liverpool, NY 13088 (315) 413–2787.

i. *FERC contact:* Charles T. Raabe, charles.raabe@ferc.fed.us, (202) 219–2811.

j. *Effective date of current license:* November 1, 1955.

k. *Expiration date of current license:* October 31, 2005.

l. *Description of the project:* The project consists of the following existing facilities: (a) A dam in five sections comprising: (1) A 360-foot-long, 10-foot-high earthen dike along the right bank (north bank); (2) a 62.5-foot-long concrete sluice structure; (3) a 70-foot-long, 20-foot-high earthen dike having a concrete core wall; (4) a 118-foot-long stanchion type stop log spillway; and (5) a 294-foot-long, 22-foot-high concrete spillway with a crest elevation of 1,540.0 feet USGS surmounted by 2-foot-high flashboards; (b) a 140-foot-long, 45-foot-wide, 17-foot-deep concrete masonry forebay structure; (c) a reservoir having a surface area of 370