

reproduction costs) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 00-4506 Filed 2-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on February 3, 2000, a complaint and a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Louis Nowakowski and Secure-All, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 00-CV-00240, were lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.

In this action, the United States seeks recovery of approximately \$5.2 million in unreimbursed response costs incurred in relation to the RAMP Industries Site, located in northwest Denver, Colorado under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. Under the proposed decree, the defendants will pay the sum of \$120,000 over a three year period. The settlement sum is based upon the financial inability of these defendants to pay more.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Louis Nowakowski and Secure-All, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-1290/1.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 1961 Stout Street, 11th Floor, Drawer 3608, Denver, CO 80294; and at the U.S. EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado 80202. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained by mail from the Department of Justice Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.25

(25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

*Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*
[FR Doc. 00-4402 Filed 2-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/A Order No. 190-2000]

Privacy Act of 1974 as Amended by the Computer Matching in Privacy Protection Act of 1988; Computer Matching Program

This corrections notice is published in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the requirements of the Privacy Act, as amended by the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988 (CMPPA) (5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(12)). AAG/A Order No. 190-2000, published on January 27, 2000 (65 FR 4441) announced that the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) is participating in computer matching programs with the District of Columbia and seven State agencies, to permit eligibility determinations specified in the notice.

Paragraph Two of the notice incorrectly stated:

Specifically, the matching activities will permit the following eligibility determinations:

* * * * *

(2) The California Department of Social Services will be able to determine eligibility status for the TANF ["Temporary Assistance for Needy Families"] program and the Food Stamps program;

* * * * *

The correct version of Item (2) of Paragraph Two should read:

(2) The California Department of Social Services will be able to determine eligibility status of aliens applying for or receiving benefits under the TANF ("Temporary Assistance for Needy Families") program and, upon the submission of favorable cost-benefit data to the DOJ Data Integrity Board, will also be able to determine eligibility status of non-TANF Food Stamp applicants and recipients;

* * * * *

Dated: February 10, 2000.

Stephen R. Colgate,
*Assistant Attorney General for
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 00-4401 Filed 2-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-CJ-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Fiat S.p.A., Fiat Acquisition Corporation, New Holland N.V., New Holland North America, Inc., and Case Corporation, Civil Action No. 99-02927(JR) (D.D.C.); Response to Public Comments

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), that Public Comments and the Responses of the United States have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States v. Fiat S.p.A., Fiat Acquisition Corporation, New Holland N.V., New Holland North America, Inc., and Case Corporation*, Civil Action No. 99-02927(JR) (D.D.C. filed Nov. 4, 1999). On November 4, 1999, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the proposed acquisition of Case Corporation ("Case") by Fiat S.p.A. and related companies (collectively "Fiat") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, permits Fiat to acquire Case, but requires that Fiat divest specified assets used in the manufacture and sale of tractors and hay and forage equipment.

Public comment was invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. The two Comments received, and the Responses thereto, have been filed with the Court and are hereby published in the **Federal Register**. Copies of the Complaint, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, proposed Final Judgment, Competitive Impact Statement, Public Comments and the Responses of the United States are available for inspection in Room 215 of the Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530 (telephone: 202-514-2481) and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Constance K. Robinson,
*Director of Operations and Merger
Enforcement Antitrust Division.*

United States Response to Comments

The United States of America hereby files with the Court the written comments that it received in this case, and its responses thereto, and states:

1. The Complaint in this case, the proposed Final Judgment, and the Hold