

prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement.

Written comments or requests for a public hearing on this application should be mailed to the Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, F/PR1, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular request would be appropriate.

Comments may also be submitted by facsimile at (301)713-0376, provided the facsimile is confirmed by hard copy submitted by mail and postmarked no later than the closing date of the comment period. Please note that comments will not be accepted by e-mail or by other electronic media.

Dated: November 18, 2002.

Eugene T. Nitta,

Acting Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. 02-29890 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of Admissions, Headquarters United States Air Force Academy, Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary of Defense announced the proposed reinstatement of a public collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments by January 24, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed

information collection should be sent to Office of Admissions, 2304 Cadet Drive, Suite 236, USAF Academy, CO 80840. Point of contact is Ms. Shawn Hordemann, 719-333-3226.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposed and associated collection instruments, please write to the above address.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: United States Air Force Academy Writing Sample, United States Air Force Academy Form O-878, OMB Number 0701-0147.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain data on candidate's background and aptitude in determining eligibility and selection to the Air Force Academy.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Annual Burden Hours: 4100.

Number of Respondents: 4100.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden for Respondent: 60 minutes.

Frequency: On occasion.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

The information collected on this form is required by 10 U.S.C. 9346. The respondents are students who are applying for admission to the United States Air Force Academy. Each student's background and aptitude is reviewed to determine eligibility. If the information on this form is not collected, the individual cannot be considered for admittance to the Air Force Academy.

Pamela D. Fitzgerald,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-29836 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Notice and Request for Review/Comment of Changes to ICD-GPS-200C

AGENCY: Department of the Air Force, DoD.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public that the Global Positioning System (GPS) Joint Program Office (JPO) proposes to revise ICD-GPS-200, Navstar GPS Space Segment/Navigation User Interfaces, to include the description of the proposed L2C signal, to be transmitted at the L2 frequency (1227.6 MHz). These proposed changes are described in a Proposed Interface

Revision Notice (PIRN): PIRN-200C-007 revision B. This revision B is an update from the last proposed revision A of the PIRN. The PIRN can be reviewed at the following web site: <http://gps.losangeles.af.mil>. Select "Configuration Management" and then "Public Data for Review." Hyperlinks are provided to "PIRN-200C-007B (PDF)" and to review instructions. Reviewers should save the PIRN to a local memory location prior to opening and performing the review.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to SMC/CZERC, 2420 Vela Way, Suite 1467, El Segundo, CA 90245-4659. A comment matrix is provided for your convenience at the web site and is the preferred method of comment submittal.

Comments may be submitted to the following Internet address:

smc.czerc@losangeles.af.mil. Comments may also be sent by fax to 1-310-363-6387.

DATES: The suspense date for comment submittal is December 9, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: CZERC at 1-310-363-6329, GPSs JPO System Engineering Division, or write to the address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The civilian and military communities use the Global Positioning System which employs a constellation of 24 satellites to provide continuously transmitted signals to enable appropriately configured GPS user equipment to produce accurate position, navigation, and time information.

Pamela D. Fitzgerald,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-29834 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Performance Review Boards Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the names of members of a Performance Review Board for the Department of the Army.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marilyn Ervin, U.S. Army Senior Executive Service Office, Assistant Secretary of the Army, Manpower & Reserve Affairs, 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310-0111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in

accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives.

(a) The members of the Performance Review Board for the U.S. Army Research Laboratory are:

1. Dr. N. Radhakrishnan, Director, Computational and Information Sciences Directorate, U.S. Army Research Laboratory.
2. Ms. Barbara Leiby, Deputy Chief of Staff for Resource Management, Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command.
3. Dr. Thomas H. Killion, Director, Personnel Technologies Directorate, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1, Headquarters Department of the Army.

(b) Alternate members for the U.S. Army Research Laboratory are:

1. Ms. Kathy A. Kurke, Chief Counsel, NASA-Langley Research Center.
2. Mr. Richard E. McClelland, Director, Tank-Automotive Research, Development and Engineering Center.
3. Dr. C.I. Change, Director, Army Research Office and Deputy Director for Basic Science, U.S. Army Research Laboratory.

(c) The members of the Performance Review Board for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers are:

1. Major General Hans Van Winkle, Deputy Chief of Engineers/Deputy Commanding General.
2. Major General Robert Griffin, Director of Civil Works.
3. Brigadier General Steven Hawkins, Commanding General, U.S. Army Engineer Division, Great Lakes and Ohio River.
4. Brigadier General David Fastabend, Commanding General U.S. Army Engineer Division, Northwestern.
5. Dr. Michael O'Connor, Director of Research and Development, Headquarters.
6. Mr. William Brown, Principal Assistance for Military Program, Headquarters.
7. Ms. Linda Garvin, Director of Real Estate, Headquarters.
8. Mr. Steve Browning, Military and Technical Director, South Pacific Division.
9. Mr. Donald Basham, Civil Works and Management Director, Mississippi Valley Division.

Luz D. Ortiz,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-29882 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Indian River Lagoon-North Feasibility Study Located in Portions of Volusia, Brevard, Indian River, St. Lucie, and Okeechobee Counties, FL

AGENCY: Department of the Army, Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Jacksonville District, intends to prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) for the Indian River Lagoon-North Feasibility Study. Encompassing the lagoon's northern watershed, the study area begins in Volusia County near the Ponce de Leon Inlet, extends southward through Brevard and Indian River counties, and ends near the Fort Pierce Inlet in St. Lucie County and northeast Okeechobee County, Florida. The objective of this study is to perform a comprehensive review of restoration alternatives for the lagoon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Paul E. Stodola, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, FL, 32232-0019, by email Paul.E.Stodola@saj02.usace.army.mil or by telephone at 904-232-3271.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. Proposed Action. The proposed Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS) for the Indian River Lagoon-North Feasibility Study would supplement the Central and South Florida Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement completed in July 1999. A DSEIS for the Indian River Lagoon-South Feasibility Study, completed in October 2001, identified and assessed restoration alternatives for the lagoon's southern watershed. Authority and funds for the proposed action are provided by Section 528 of the Water Resources and Development Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-303). A reconnaissance report has been completed and resulted in a recommendation to continue the study into the feasibility phase.

The Indian River Lagoon-North estuarine ecosystem consists of three major water bodies: The Indian River, the Banana River, and the Mosquito Lagoon. This estuary is comprised of shallow interconnected linear lagoons interspersed with various types of habitats including seagrass, mangroves, and salt marsh. Tropical climatic

influences converging with these habitat types have resulted in a unique and diverse assemblage of fauna and flora that occur nowhere else. Development and pollution have significantly degraded the water quality and reduced the biological productivity of the lagoon. The objective of this study is to identify and assess alternatives that would restore the lagoon's water quality and ecological conditions.

b. Alternatives. Specific proposed restoration alternatives include the following:

1. Goal I: Improve Ecological Values; Reduce excessive freshwater inflows and pollutant loadings to the Indian River Lagoon; Improve water quality in the Lagoon; Improve habitat for Lagoon biota, with emphasis on seagrass; Increase spatial extent and functional quality of submerged aquatic vegetation and watershed wetlands; Increase functional quality of native upland habitat; Maintain or improve diversity and abundance of native plant and animal species, including Federal, state, and local listed species.

2. Goal II: Improve Economic Values and Social Well Being; Maintain or improve water supply; Maintain or improve flood protection; Improve opportunities for tourism, recreation, and environmental education; Improve commercial and recreational fisheries and associated industries.

3. A No-Action Alternative is also being considered.

c. Scoping Process. The scoping process as outlined by the Council on Environmental Quality would be utilized to involve Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested persons and organizations. A scoping letter would be sent to the appropriate parties requesting comments and concerns regarding issues to consider during the study.

Significant issues to be analyzed in the DSEIS would include effects on Federally listed threatened and endangered species, Essential Fish Habitat, health and safety, water quality, aesthetics and recreation, fish and wildlife resources, cultural resources, socioeconomic resources, and other issues identified through scoping and public involvement.

The proposed action would be coordinated with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, with the NMFS concerning Essential Fish Habitat, and with the State Historic Preservation Officer.

The proposed action would also involve evaluation for compliance with