

used to analyze system performance, assist users in resolving operational problems, support the appeals process, or support audits of the use of the system. Searches may be conducted on the Audit Log by time frame, i.e., by day or month, or by a particular state or agency. Information in the NICS Audit Log pertaining to allowed transfers may be accessed directly only by the FBI for the purpose of conducting audits of the use and performance of the NICS. Such information, however, may be retained and used by the FBI as long as needed to pursue cases of identified misuse of the system, and as provided in § 25.9(b)(4).

(3) *Limitation on use:* The NICS, including the NICS Audit Log, will not be used by any Department, agency, officer, or employee of the United States to establish any system for the registration of firearms, firearm owners, or firearm transactions or dispositions, except with respect to persons prohibited from receiving a firearm by 18 U.S.C. 922(g) or (n) or by state law. The NICS Audit Log will be monitored and reviewed on a regular basis to detect any possible misuse of the NICS data.

(4) *Creation and Use of Individual FFL Audit Logs:* Upon written request from ATF containing the name and license number of the FFL, the proposed date of inspection of the named FFL by ATF, and the requested start date for record retention, the FBI may extract information from the NICS Audit Log and create an Individual FFL Audit Log for transactions originating at the named FFL for a limited period of time. An Individual FFL Audit Log shall contain all information on unresolved transactions and denied transactions, and, with respect to allowed transfers, only the NTN and date of inquiry. In no instance shall an Individual FFL Audit Log contain more than 30 days worth of allowed transfer records originating at the FFL. The ATF shall destroy all records of allowed transfers within 90 days of the date on which the Individual Audit Log was created. The ATF shall maintain a written record certifying the destruction. Such information, however, may be retained as long as needed to pursue cases of identified misuse of the system.

* * * * *

§ 25.10 [Amended] [Proposal #5]

6. In § 25.10, a new paragraph (g) is added to read as follows:

* * * * *

(g) An individual may provide written consent to the FBI to maintain information about himself or herself in

a voluntary appeal file checked by the NICS for the purpose of preventing the future erroneous delay or denial of a firearm transfer. Such file shall only be used by the NICS for this purpose. The FBI shall remove all information in the voluntary appeal file upon receipt of a written request by the individual. However, the FBI shall not be prohibited from retaining such information contained in the Voluntary Audit Log as long as needed to pursue cases of identified misuse of the system.

* * * * *

Dated: June 28, 2001.

John Ashcroft,

Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 01-16766 Filed 7-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 9, 122, 123, 124, and 125

[FRL-7009-2]

Reopening of Comment Period for Certain Documents Referenced in the Notice of Data Availability; National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System—Regulations Addressing Cooling Water Intake Structures for New Facilities

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: On August 10, 2000, EPA published proposed regulations addressing cooling water intake structures at new facilities in the **Federal Register** for public review and comment (65 FR 49060). On May 25, 2001, EPA published a Notice of Data Availability in the **Federal Register** (66 FR 28853) regarding the proposed regulations. The comment period closed on June 25, 2001. This action reopens the comment period for certain documents for an additional 30 days, to August 6, 2001.

DATES: Comments on certain documents and issues related to those documents listed below under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** will be accepted through August 6, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Cooling Water Intake Structure (New Facilities) Proposed Rule Comment Clerk-W-00-03R, Water Docket, Mail Code 4101, EPA, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20460. Comments delivered in person and/or any overnight delivery should be submitted

to the Cooling Water Intake Structure (New Facilities) Proposed Rule Comment Clerk-W-00-03R, Water Docket, Room EB 57, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. You may also submit comments electronically to ow-docket@epa.gov. Please submit any references cited in your comments. Please submit an original and three copies of your written comments and enclosures. No facsimiles (faxes) will be accepted. For additional information on how to submit comments, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information, contact Deborah G. Nagle at (202) 260-2656, or Claudio H. Ternieden at (202) 260-6026. The e-mail address for the above contacts is rule.316b@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Notice of Data Availability presented a summary of the data EPA had received or collected since proposal, an assessment of the relevance of the data to EPA's analysis, some modified technology options suggested by commenters, and an alternative approach suggested by a trade group representing the utility industry.

EPA received a request to extend the comment period for all documents and issues discussed in the Notice of Data Availability. After considering this request and a similar but narrower request, EPA is reopening the comment period for six documents and the issues associated with these documents because certain pages of these documents or their references and attachments were not available for public review at the time the Notice of Data Availability was published in the **Federal Register**. EPA is also reopening the comment period for two lengthy documents that were available in the docket in an electronic format at the start of the public comment period but were not copied and made available for off-site review until June 1, 2001. The comment period for these documents is 30 days, through August 6, 2001.

If you already submitted comments to EPA in response to the Notice of Data Availability published on May 25, 2001, and wish to submit additional comments per today's reopening, EPA requests that the later set of comments clearly specify whether they supplement or supersede the earlier-filed comments.

In addition to accepting hard-copy written comments, please note that EPA will also accept comments submitted electronically. Electronic comments must be submitted as a Word Perfect 5/

6/7/8 or ASCII file and must be submitted to: ow-docket@epa.gov.

EPA is reopening the comment period for the following documents:

- DCN# 2-007 Energy Information Agency, Department of Energy Forms 860A and 860B-1998
- DCN# 2-010 Energy Information Agency, Department of Energy; Utility Data Institute; Form EIA-767 1994, 1997; Selected Tables from UDI Power Statistics
- DCN# 2-017A & All References Memo Re: Ecological Reasons Why Freshwater River and Reservoir Ecosystems Do Not Normally Experience Substantive Impact As a Result of Impingement and Entrainment (April 27, 2001)
- DCN# 2-018B R2 Computation and Interpretation of Biological Statistics of Fish Populations (1975)
- DCN# 2-019A & All References Memo Re: Scientific Literature On Population Modeling (April 27, 2001)
- DCN# 2-025E Fact Sheet for Draft NJPDES Permit Renewal Including Section 316(a) Variance Determination and Section 316(b) "BTA" Decision (June 24, 1993)
- DCN# 2-036C Draft Steam Plant Energy Penalty Evaluation (April 20, 2001)
- DCN# 2-041A Methods for Sampling Fish Communities as Part of the National Water Quality Assessment Program (1993)

Dated: June 29, 2001.

Diane C. Regas,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Water.
[FR Doc. 01-16949 Filed 7-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA245-0242; FRL-7008-4]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing a limited approval and limited disapproval of revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from the miscellaneous metal parts source category. We are proposing action on a local rule regulating these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

DATES: Any comments must arrive by August 6, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies

of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814; and,

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 East Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA 93726.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerald S. Wamsley, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744-1226.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
 - A. What rule did the State submit?
 - B. Are there other versions of this rule?
 - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revision?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action
 - A. How is EPA evaluating this rule?
 - B. Does the rule meet the evaluation criteria?
 - C. What are the rule's deficiencies?
 - D. EPA recommendations to further improve the rule.
 - E. Proposed action and public comment.
- III. Background information.
 - Why was this rule submitted?
- IV. Administrative Requirements

I. The State's Submittal

A. What rule did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rule addressed by this proposal with the date that it was adopted by the SJVUAPCD and submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

TABLE 1.—SUBMITTED RULE

Local agency	Rule #	Rule title	Adopted	Submitted
SJVUAPCD	4603	Surface Coating of Metal Parts and Products	09/21/00	12/11/00

On February 8, 2001, EPA found this rule submittal met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. These criteria must be met before formal EPA review can begin.

B. Are there other versions of this rule?

We approved a version of Rule 4603 into the SIP on December 1, 1994. There are no extant submittals of Rule 4603 beyond the submittal in today's action.

C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?

SJVUAPCD Rule 4603 is a rule designed to reduce volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions at industrial sites engaged in metal coating

operations. VOCs are emitted during the preparation and coating of the metal parts, as well as the drying phase of the coating process. Rule 4603 establishes general emission limits of VOC per liter of coating less water and exempt compounds as applied and allows for the use of add-on emission controls with a combined capture/control efficiency of 90 percent.

SJVUAPCD's September 21, 2000 amendments to Rule 4603 included these significant changes to its 1994 SIP-approved version (adopted May 20, 1993):

—a definition for solid film lubricant was added (section 3.35);

—VOC content and viscosity requirements for dip coating and air drying of steel joists was added (sections 5.1.3.1 & 5.1.3.2);

—a specialty coating limit of 880 grams/liter for solid film lubricant was added (section 5.2); and,

—a recordkeeping requirement for viscosity was added (section 6.2.3.2).

The TSD has more information about this rule.

II. EPA's Evaluation and Action

A. How is EPA evaluating this rule?

Generally, SIP rules must be enforceable (see section 110(a) of the Act), must require Reasonably Available