

of October 19, 1998. In addition, by operation of regulation 43 CFR 2711.1–2(d), through publication of this notice, the lands are segregated and not subject to appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws. Through either the withdrawal or the segregation, any subsequent application for an appropriative use will not be accepted, will not be considered as filed, and will be returned to the applicant.

Documents concerning the sale, appraisal, reservations, procedures, and conditions, and other environmental review are available for review at the BLM Las Vegas Field Office at the address in the **ADDRESSES** section. If you wish to submit a written comment concerning the sale, before including personal identifying information in your comment such as your address, phone number, email address, etc., you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. The BLM Las Vegas Field Manager will review the comments of all interested parties concerning the sale. To be considered, comments must be received at the BLM Las Vegas Field Office on or before the date stated in the **DATES** section.

Any adverse comments regarding the proposed sale will be reviewed by the BLM Nevada State Director, or other authorized official of the Department of the Interior, who may sustain, vacate, or modify this realty action. In the absence of any adverse comments, this realty action will become the final determination of the Department of the Interior.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 2711.1–2.

**Vanessa L. Hice,**  
Assistant Field Manager, Division of Lands.  
[FR Doc. 2013–18563 Filed 7–31–13; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLCA 942000 L57000000 BX0000]

#### Final Agency Action To Transfer Title From the United States to the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians and to San Diego Gas & Electric Company, California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

#### **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice publishes the legal description of the boundaries of the Federal lands to be held by the Secretary of the Interior in trust for the benefit of the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians of the State of California and the Federal lands transferred to San Diego Gas & Electric Company as mandated by Congress in Section 2(f) of the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians Land Transfer Act of 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the plats may be obtained from the California State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825, upon required payment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chief, Branch of Geographic Services, Bureau of Land Management, California State Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–1623, Sacramento, CA 95825, 916–978–4310.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the provisions of Section 2 of Public Law 110–383, dated October 10, 2008, and the approval of the survey completed under subsection (c) by the duly elected tribal council of the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians, and subject to valid existing rights, all right, title, and interest of the United States in the land transferred into trust and held by the Secretary of the Interior for the benefit of the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians of California and as part of the Pechanga Indian Reservation, is described as follows:

#### San Bernardino Meridian, California

T. 8 S., R. 2 W.,  
Sec. 24, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and  
S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 29, lot 2 and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 31, lot 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
Sec. 32, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
Per official plat accepted January 6, 2011.

T. 9 S., R. 2 W.,  
Sec. 6, lots 2, 3, 13, and 15.  
Per official plat accepted July 12, 2010.

T. 5 S., R. 4 W.,  
Sec. 22, lot 5.  
Per official plat accepted June 29, 1994.  
The areas described aggregate 1,166.87 acres.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 2 of Public Law 110–383, dated October 10, 2008 the lands transferred to San Diego Gas & Electric Company by Patent Number 04–2010–0012 (July 23, 2010) are described as follows:

#### San Bernardino Meridian, California

T. 9 S., R. 2 W.,

Sec. 6, lots 14 and 16.  
Per official plat accepted July 12, 2010.  
The area described contains 11.04 acres.

**Authority:** Public Law 110–383, 122 STAT. 4090–4093.

Dated: January 22, 2013.

**Lance J. Bishop,**  
Chief Cadastral Surveyor, California.  
[FR Doc. 2013–18572 Filed 7–31–13; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310–40–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS–MWR–CUVA–13282; PPMWROW2/PPMPSAS1Y.YP0000]

#### Notice of Availability of a Draft White-Tailed Deer Management Plan, Environmental Impact Statement, Cuyahoga Valley National Park, Ohio

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Draft White-tailed Deer Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (Plan/EIS), Cuyahoga Valley National Park (Park), Ohio.

**DATES:** The Draft Plan/EIS will remain available for public review and comment for 60 days following the publishing of the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Draft Plan/EIS may be picked up in-person or may be obtained by making a request in writing to Cuyahoga Valley National Park, 15610 Vaughn Road, Brecksville, Ohio 44141. A limited number of hard-copies will be available at the Park. The document is also available on the internet at the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment Web site at: <http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/cuva>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Chief of the Resource Management Division Lisa Petit at the address above, or by telephone at (440) 546–5903.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Draft Plan/EIS considers four alternatives for the management of white-tailed deer at the Park. Under Alternative A (No Action), existing management actions would continue, including deer and vegetation monitoring, data management, and research. No new actions would occur to reduce the effects of deer overbrowsing. Alternative B (Combined Non-lethal Actions) would include all actions described under Alternative A, and would incorporate a

combination of nonlethal actions, including the construction of large-scale deer exclosures (fencing) for the purposes of forest regeneration. In addition, nonsurgical reproductive control of does would be used to restrict population growth when this technology meets certain criteria. Alternative C (Lethal Actions) would include all actions described under Alternative A, and would add lethal deer management actions (sharpshooting with firearms or capture and euthanasia of individual deer) to reduce the herd size.

Alternative D (Combined Lethal and Non-lethal Actions) is the NPS preferred alternative. Alternative D would include all actions described under Alternative A, and would incorporate a combination of lethal and nonlethal actions from Alternatives B and C. These actions would include the reduction of the deer herd through sharpshooting with firearms or capture and euthanasia and nonsurgical reproductive control of does with an acceptable reproductive control agent to maintain the population.

If you wish to comment on the Draft Plan/EIS, we encourage you to comment via the Internet at the address above, or mail comments directly to the Superintendent at the address above.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment (including your personal identifying information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials, of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: June 18, 2013.

**Patricia S. Trap,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 2013-18536 Filed 7-31-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MA-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**[NPS-MWR-IATR-13283; PPMWROW2/PPMPSAS1Y.YP0000]**

#### **Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the Ice Age National Scenic Trail Interpretive Site, Wisconsin**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) for the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS) for the Ice Age National Scenic Trail (Trail) Interpretive Site, Wisconsin.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies may be picked up in person or by mailing a request in writing to the Ice Age National Scenic Trail Headquarters Office, 700 Rayovac Drive, Suite 100, Madison, WI 53711, by telephone at (608) 441-5610, or by email at:

*IATR\_Superintendent@nps.gov*. Copies of the ROD are available at the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment Web site <http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/iatr> or at the Trail Web site <http://www.nps.gov/iatr>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Superintendent John Madden, at the address above or by telephone at (608) 441-5610.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We, the NPS, have issued a ROD for the GMP/EIS for the Trail Interpretive Site near Cross Plains, Wisconsin. As soon as practicable, the NPS will begin to implement the selected alternative.

We have selected Alternative 5 as described in the Final GMP/EIS. The selected alternative (which was the preferred alternative) will provide visitors with interpretation of the evolution of the complex from the last glacial retreat and opportunities to enjoy appropriate low-impact outdoor recreation. Ecological resources will largely be managed to reveal the glacial landscape. The most sensitive ecological areas will be carefully protected, and visitor access will be highly controlled in these areas. Visitors will experience a wide variety of indoor and outdoor interpretive programming. Under this alternative, the Ice Age Complex will serve as the headquarters for the Trail.

Other alternatives considered included Alternative 1, no action, which described a continuation of existing management at the Trail and provides a

baseline for evaluating the changes and impacts of the other alternatives. In Alternative 1, the Ice Age Complex would have remained undeveloped for visitor use and minimally maintained. The segment of the Trail would still have been built (by the Ice Age Trail Alliance) within the identified corridor under this alternative, but other trails would not have been constructed.

Alternative 2 emphasized ecosystem management and restoration. Vegetation would have been restored to conditions prior to the settlement of Europeans and managed to reveal glacial landscapes. Visitors would have experienced a sense of remoteness through hikes and trails.

Alternative 3 emphasized interpretation and education on how the Ice Age Complex evolved over time since the retreat of the last glacier. Throughout most of the complex, ecological resources would have been managed to reveal the glacial landscape. Visitors would have had an opportunity to experience a wide variety of resources, both ecological and geological, as well as remnants of human use of the site. The visitor experience would have involved sheltered and indoor settings at the core of the property and hiking throughout most other areas of the site. The Ice Age Complex would have served as the headquarters for the Trail.

Alternative 4 emphasized low impact outdoor recreation experiences in support of, and compatible with, preserving and interpreting the glacial significance of the complex and restoring and managing the ecosystem. Visitors would have experienced resources in diverse ways, participating in interpretive programming in indoor and outdoor settings. The Ice Age Complex would have served as the headquarters for the Trail under this alternative.

Dated: July 19, 2013.

**Patricia S. Trap,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 2013-18513 Filed 7-31-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MA-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-452 and 731-TA-1129-1130 (Review)]**

### **Raw Flexible Magnets From China and Taiwan; Institution of Five-Year Reviews**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.