

Dated: August 2, 2005.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. E5-4232 Filed 8-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

### In the Matter of Sure Trace Security Corporation; Order of Suspension of Trading

August 3, 2005.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the joint ventures and contract negotiations of Sure Trace Security Corporation ("Sure Trace"). The securities of Sure Trace are quoted on the Pink Sheets under the symbol SSTY. Information has been provided to the Commission raising concerns as to the adequacy and accuracy of Sure Trace's publicly disseminated information concerning, among other things, the status of Sure Trace's negotiations to sell its technology to other entities.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of Sure Trace.

Therefore, it is *ordered*, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the securities of Sure Trace is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EDT, August 3, 2005, through 11:59 p.m. EDT, on August 16, 2005.

By the Commission.

**Jonathan G. Katz,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 05-15596 Filed 8-3-05; 11:36 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-52172; File No. SR-Amex-2005-046]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto Relating to Amendments to the Exchange's Trade-Through and Locked Markets Rules

July 29, 2005.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on April 28, 2005, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Amex. On July 6, 2005, the Amex filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.<sup>3</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex proposes to amend Amex Rules 940 and 943 to amend the "trade-through" and "locked" markets rules to allow specialists and registered options traders ("ROTs") to "trade and ship" or "book and ship" an order. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Amex's Web site (<http://www.amex.com>), at the Amex's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to implement proposed Amendment No. 15 to the Plan for the Purpose of Creating and Operating an Intermarket Option Linkage ("Plan"). Amendment No. 15, together with this proposed rule change, will provide that an Amex member may (i) trade an order at a price that is one-tick inferior to the national best bid or offer ("NBBO") if

the member contemporaneously transmits to the market(s) disseminating the NBBO, Linkage Order(s)<sup>4</sup> to satisfy all interest at the NBBO price ("trade and ship") and (ii) book an order that would lock another exchange if the member contemporaneously sends a Linkage Order to such other exchange to satisfy all interest at the lock price ("book and ship"). Under the trade and ship proposal, any execution the member receives from the NBBO market must (pursuant to agency obligations) be reassigned to any customer order underlying the Linkage Order that was transmitted to trade against the market disseminating the NBBO. Below are examples illustrating the application of these concepts:

**Trade and Ship Example.** Exchange A is disseminating an offer of \$2.00 for 100 contracts. Exchange B is disseminating the national best offer of \$1.95 for 10 contracts. No other market is at \$1.95. Exchange A receives a 100-contract customer buy order to pay \$2.00. Under this proposal, Exchange A could execute 90 contracts (or 100 contracts) of the customer order at \$2.00 provided Exchange A simultaneously transmits a 10-contract P/A Order to Exchange B to pay \$1.95. Assuming an execution is obtained from Exchange B, the customer would receive the 10-contract fill at \$1.95 and 90 contracts at \$2.00 (if the customer order was originally filled in its entirety at \$2.00, an adjustment would be required to provide the customer with the \$1.95 price for 10 contracts reflecting the P/A Order execution). As proposed, this would not be deemed a trade-through.

**Book and Ship Example.** Exchange A is disseminating a \$1.85-\$2.00 market. Exchange B is disseminating a \$1.80-\$1.95 market. The \$1.95 offer is for 10 contracts. No other market is at \$1.95. Exchange A receives a customer order to buy 100 contracts at \$1.95. Under this proposal, Exchange A could book 90 contracts of the customer buy order at \$1.95 provided Exchange A

<sup>4</sup> A "Linkage Order" is defined in Amex Rule 940(b)(10) to mean an immediate or cancel order routed through the Linkage as permitted under the Plan. The three types of Linkage Orders are: (i) "Principal Acting as Agent ("P/A") Order," which is an order for the principal account of a specialist (or equivalent entity on another Participant Exchange that is authorized to represent Public Customer orders), reflecting the terms of a related unexecuted Public Customer order for which the specialist is acting as agent; (ii) "Principal Order," which is an order for the principal account of an Eligible Market Maker (or equivalent entity on another Participant Exchange) and is not a P/A Order; and (iii) "Satisfaction Order," which is an order sent through the Linkage to notify a Participant Exchange of a Trade-Through and to seek satisfaction of the liability arising from that Trade-Through.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Form 19b-4 dated July 5, 2005 ("Amendment No. 1"). In Amendment No. 1, the Amex revised the rule text to use terms consistent with Amex's current rules and made clarifying changes in the purpose, statutory basis and burdens sections.

simultaneously transmitted a 10-contract P/A Order to Exchange B to pay \$1.95. Assuming an execution is obtained from Exchange B, the customer would receive the 10-contract fill and the rest of the customer's order will be displayed as a \$1.95 bid on Exchange A. The national best offer would likely be \$2.00. As proposed, this would not be deemed a "locked" market for purposes of the Plan.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Amex believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>5</sup> in general and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in particular, in that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Amex consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule

change, as amended, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Amex-2005-046 on the subject line.

### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-9303.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2005-046. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2005-046 and should be submitted on or before August 26, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>7</sup>

**Jill M. Peterson,**

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E5-4225 Filed 8-4-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-52132; File No. SR-BSE-2005-15]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to Listing Fees

July 27, 2005.

## I. Introduction

On May 31, 2005, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend its Listing Fees schedule by increasing its listing fees. The proposed rule change was published in the **Federal Register** on June 24, 2005.<sup>3</sup> No comments were received on the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

## II. Description of the Proposal

The Exchange proposes to amend its Listing Fees schedule by increasing its listing fees. The Exchange proposes to increase its original listing fee, annual listing maintenance fee and listing fee for additional shares, among other things.<sup>4</sup>

## III. Discussion and Commission Findings

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange,<sup>5</sup> particularly section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(4) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities.

The Commission notes that the Exchange has not raised its listing fees since 1991.<sup>8</sup> According to the Exchange,

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51881 (June 20, 2005), 70 FR 36674.

<sup>4</sup> The Exchange's revised Listing Fees schedule was fully set forth in the proposed rule change published for comment. See *id.*

<sup>5</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact of efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 29276 (June 5, 1991), 56 FR 27060 (June 12, 1991).

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>7</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).