

alternatives were not adopted. Thus it has satisfied its mandate under the RFA.

3. The Air Tour Providers Have Not Demonstrated That the Weighing of the Interests Favors a Stay

The FAA, in enacting these rules is carrying out the statutory mandate set forth in Public Law 100-91—to substantially restore natural quiet in the GCNP. It has been 12 years since the enactment of this legislation and the FAA has attempted to work with the Air Tour Providers, the Indian Tribes, the environmental groups and the National Park Service to come to a resolution with regard to the means of substantially restoring natural quiet. The FAA believes that this rule achieves the proper balance that Congress sought in adopting Public Law 100-91 between the interests of the Air Tour Providers and those of the environmental interests and makes significant gains in substantial restoration of natural quiet. See 65 FR 17713. This balance is evidenced by the fact that the government has been sued in the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals for the United States by one party (Air Tour Providers) claiming the government has done too much in effecting the goal of Public Law 100-91 and by another party (Grand Canyon Trust, *et al.*) claiming the government has not gone far enough in fulfilling the statutory mandate. The Air Tour Providers have not demonstrated why their interests outweigh the interest expressed by Congress in passing Public Law 100-91.

D. Conclusion

Given that the Air Tour Providers cannot prevail under either the public interest test followed by the Department of Transportation, or the *Washington Metropolitan* test followed by the Circuit Court, the FAA hereby denies the Air Tour Providers' Motion to Stay the final rules.

Issued in Washington, DC on October 3, 2000.

Jane F. Garvey,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00-25952 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. 00N-0085]

National Environmental Policy Act; Food Contact Substance Notification System; Confirmation of Effective Date

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is confirming the effective date of August 24, 2000, for the direct final rule (DFR) that appeared in the **Federal Register** of May 11, 2000 (65 FR 30352). The DFR amended FDA's regulations on environmental impact considerations. This document confirms the effective date of the DFR.

DATES: Effective date confirmed: August 24, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mitchell A. Cheeseman, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-215), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3083.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a DFR published in the **Federal Register** of May 11, 2000 (65 FR 30352), FDA amended its regulations on environmental impact considerations as part of the agency's implementation of the FDA Modernization Act (FDAMA) of 1997. FDAMA amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) by establishing a notification process for authorizing new uses of food additives that are food contact substances. The DFR amended the regulations in 21 CFR 25.20 to add to the list of those actions that require an environmental assessment allowing a notification submitted under section 409(h) of the act (21 U.S.C. 348(h)) to become effective and in 21 CFR 25.32(i), (j), (k), (q), and (r) to expand the existing categorical exclusions from the requirement of an environmental assessment to include allowing a notification submitted under section 409(h) of the act to become effective.

FDA solicited comments concerning the DFR for a 75-day period ending July 25, 2000. FDA stated that the effective date of the DFR would be on August 24, 2000, 30 days after the end of the comment period, unless any significant adverse comment was submitted to FDA during the comment period.

FDA received only one comment (from a trade association) on the DFR,

which reiterated the association's views presented in response to an agency public meeting held prior to the initiation of this rulemaking. FDA has determined that the received comment is not a significant adverse comment for several reasons. First, in the preamble to the DFR, FDA referenced the association's prior submission and addressed its substance. Second, the comment does not dispute (or even directly address) the analysis presented in the DFR. It raises no new arguments and provides no new information for the agency's consideration. Finally, the association expressly characterizes the comment as not a "significant adverse comment" and supports the rule becoming effective as drafted.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, the amendments issued thereby became effective August 24, 2000.

Dated: October 3, 2000.

Margaret M. Dotzel,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 00-26022 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD11-00-009]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Mokelumne River, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

SUMMARY: The Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District has approved a temporary deviation to the regulations governing the opening of the Millers Ferry swing bridge, mile 12.1, over the Mokelumne River at Walnut Grove, CA. The approval specifies that the bridge need not open for vessel traffic from 8 p.m. to 6 a.m., October 9 through October 13, 2000. The purpose of this deviation is to allow Sacramento County to perform essential maintenance on the bridge.

DATES: Effective period of the deviation is 8 p.m., October 9, 2000 through 6 a.m., October 14, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David H. Sulouff, Chief, Bridge Section, Eleventh Coast Guard District, Building 50-6, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, CA 94501-5100, phone (510) 437-3516.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Millers Ferry Highway Swing Bridge, mile 12.1, over the Mokelumne River at Walnut Grove, CA provides 12.8 feet vertical clearance above Mean High Water when closed. Vessels that can pass under the bridge without an opening may do so at all times. This deviation has been coordinated with commercial operators and various marinas on the waterway. No objections were received.

The normal drawbridge regulation requires the bridge to open on demand, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., May 1 through October 31; and all other times if at least 12 hours advance notice is given.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(c), this work will be performed with all due speed in order to return the bridge to normal operation as soon as possible. This deviation from the normal operating regulations in 33 CFR 117.5 is authorized in accordance with the provisions of 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: September 29, 2000.

C.D. Wurster,

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting
Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District.*
[FR Doc. 00-26077 Filed 10-10-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD08-00-021]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operating Regulation; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Algiers Alternate Route, Louisiana

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is changing the regulation governing the operation of the State Route 23 vertical lift span drawbridge across the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (Algiers Alternate Route), mile 3.8, at Belle Chasse, Louisiana. The change allows the bridge to remain closed to navigation from 4 p.m. until 7 p.m. on Saturday and Sunday of the last weekend in October. This change facilitates the movement of vehicular traffic from the New Orleans Open House Air Show held annually at the Naval Air Station, Joint Reserve Base at Belle Chasse, Louisiana.

DATES: This rule is effective October 11, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as

being available in the docket, will be available for inspection or copying at the office of the Eighth Coast Guard District, Bridge Administration Branch, Hale Boggs Federal Building, Room 1313, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-3396 between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Frank, Bridge Administration Branch, Commander (ob), Eighth Coast Guard District, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70130-3396, telephone number 504-589-2965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

On August 28, 2000, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Drawbridge Operating Regulation; Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Algiers Alternate Route, Louisiana, in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 52057). We received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public hearing was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

The change in the regulation involves a community event of limited duration and scope. The closure involves only one bridge and alternate routes are available. No comments were received during the comment period included in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. No objections from waterway users have been received and the event will be published in the Local Notice to Mariners.

Background and Purpose

The State Route 23 vertical lift span drawbridge across the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (Algiers Alternate Route), mile 3.8, at Belle Chasse, Louisiana has a vertical clearance of 40 feet above mean high water in the closed-to-navigation position and 100 feet above mean high water in the open-to-navigation position. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of tugs with tows, commercial fishing vessels, and occasional recreational craft.

The Department of the Navy requested a rule changing the operation of the State Route 23 vertical lift span drawbridge. The change accommodates the additional volume of vehicular traffic that the New Orleans Open House Air Show generates each year. Between 150,000 and 200,000 members of the public are expected to attend the New Orleans Open House Air Show on each day. The change allows for the

expeditious dispersal of the heavy volume of vehicular traffic expected to depart the Naval Air Station, Joint Reserve Base following the event. This event has been held annually on the last weekend in October. This change eliminates the necessity of having to do a rulemaking each year for this annually scheduled event.

Discussion of Comments and Changes

No comments were received for the NPRM and no changes have been incorporated into the Final Rule.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary.

This is because the number of vessels impaired during the closed-to-navigation periods is minimal. All commercial vessels still have ample opportunity to transit this waterway before and after each three-hour closure on the last weekend in October. Additionally, a practical alternate route of approximately seven additional miles is available via the Harvey Canal and the Mississippi River.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard considers whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under the 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can