

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Monroe County Board of County Commissioners.

Issued in Orlando, Florida, on October 22, 2002.

W. Dean Stringer,

Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 02-27731 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Raleigh-Durham International Airport, Raleigh, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Raleigh-Durham International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 2, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-260, College Park, Georgia.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to John C. Brantley, III, Airport Director, of the Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority at the following address: 1000 Trade Drive, Post Office Box 80001, Raleigh, NC 27623.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority under section 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tracie D. Kleine, Program Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-260, College Park, Georgia 30337, (404) 305-7148. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invited public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Raleigh-Durham International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On October 21, 2002, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than February 12, 2002.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC Application No.: 03-01-C-00-RDU.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: April 1, 2003.

Proposed charge expiration date: September 1, 2008.

Total estimated net PFC revenue: \$69,903,473.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

Impose and Use:

- ◆ Surface Movement Guidance and Control System Plan and Improvements;
- ◆ Construct Taxiway J (includes International Drive Bridge);
- ◆ Design Runway 5R-23L Safety Area;

◆ Prepare PFC Application;

◆ Expand Terminal C Apron (includes relocating Taxiway "D")

Impose and Use:

◆ Construct Runway 5R-23L Safety Area.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Non-scheduled/On-Demand Air Carriers.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on October 21, 2002.

Scott L. Seritt,

Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 02-27727 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-13686]

Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intentions to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before December 30, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joe Strassburg, Maritime Administration, 400 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202-366-4161; FAX 202-366-7901 or E-MAIL: joe.strassburg@marad.dot.gov.

Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: Seamen's Claims; Administrative Action and Litigation.

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2133-0522.

Form Numbers: None.

Expiration Date of Approval: Three years from date of approval.

Summary of Collection of Information: The information is submitted by claimants seeking payments for injuries or illnesses they sustained while serving as masters or members of a crew on board a vessel owned or operated by the United States. The Maritime Administration (MARAD) reviews the information and makes a determination regarding agency liability and payments.

Need and Use of the Information: The information obtained will be evaluated by MARAD officials to determine if the claim is fair and reasonable. If the claim is allowed and settled, payment is made to the claimant.

Description of Respondents: Officers or members of a crew who suffered death, injury, or illness while employed on vessels owned or operated by the United States. Also included in this description of respondents are surviving dependents, beneficiaries, and/or legal representatives of officers or crew members.

Annual Responses: 150.

Annual Burden: 1,875 hours.

Comments: Comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the

top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Comments may also be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at <http://dmses.dot.gov/submit>. Specifically address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. EDT (or EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator,
Dated: October 25, 2002.

Joel C. Richard,

Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-27674 Filed 10-30-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2002-13019; Notice 2]

Decision that Nonconforming 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA Motorcycles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of decision by NHTSA that nonconforming 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA motorcycles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces the decision by NHTSA that 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA motorcycles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S. certified versions of the 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA motorcycles), and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATE: This decision is effective as of the date of its publication in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Luke Loy, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202-366-5308).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the **Federal Register** of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the **Federal Register**.

Milwaukee Motorcycle Imports, Inc. of Milwaukee, Wisconsin ("MMI") (Registered Importer 99-192) petitioned NHTSA to decide whether non-U.S. certified 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA motorcycles are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published notice of the petition on August 26, 2002 (67 FR 54839) to afford an opportunity for public comment. The reader is referred to that document for a thorough description of the petition.

One comment was received in response to the notice of petition, from Harley-Davidson Motor Company, the manufacturer of the vehicles in question. In this comment, Harley-Davidson stated that it agreed with the petitioner's claims that non-U.S. certified 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA motorcycles are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 106 *Brake Hoses*, 111 *Rearview Mirrors*, 116 *Brake Fluid*, 119 *New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars*, 122 *Motorcycle Brake Systems*, and 205 *Glazing Materials*. Harley-Davidson also expressed agreement with the petitioner's statement that vehicle

identification number plates that meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565 are already affixed to non-U.S. certified 2003 Harley Davidson VRSCA motorcycles and that each vehicle's 17-digit VIN is stamped onto its headstock at the time of manufacture.

Harley-Davidson also agreed with the petitioner's description of modifications that would have to be performed on the vehicles to bring them into compliance with Standard Nos. 120 *Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars*, and 123 *Motorcycle Controls and Displays*. With respect to the latter standard, Harley-Davidson noted that the installation of a new odometer on the vehicles would trigger the odometer disclosure requirements of 49 CFR part 580. After it was accorded an opportunity to address this comment, MMI informed the agency that after the new odometer is installed, an odometer disclosure label is permanently affixed to the frame of the motorcycle. MMI further observed that the person selling the vehicle would be responsible for completing the odometer disclosure statement required by the regulations in 49 CFR part 580.

Harley-Davidson directed the bulk of its comments to the petitioner's description of modifications that would need to be performed to conform the vehicles to Standard No. 108 *Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment*. The petition stated that these modifications would include: (a) Installation of U.S. model headlamp assemblies which incorporate headlamps that are certified to meet the standard; (b) replacement of all stop lamp and directional signal bulbs with bulbs that are certified to meet the standard; (c) replacement of all lenses with lenses that are certified to meet the standard; and (d) replacement of all rear reflectors with red reflectors that are certified to meet the standard. The petition further stated that although there are no daytime running lights on non-U.S. certified versions of the vehicle, their headlamps and tail lamps are activated when the ignition is turned on.

In its comment, Harley-Davidson stated that the full headlamp assembly (lens, bulbs, and reflector) and the full stop/tail lamp unit (lens, bulb, and reflector) for non-domestic vehicles, other than those intended for sale in Canada, are not compliant with Standard No. 108. Harley-Davidson further observed that the directional signals on non-U.S. certified versions of the vehicle are slightly different than those on its U.S. certified counterpart. Harley-Davidson remarked that although the lenses for all vehicles are