

TABLE 2—STREAM RESTORATION METHODS—DEFINITIONS

| Restoration approach<br>(based on<br>Rosgen, 1997) | Terms and definitions for EIS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Floodplain Reconnection (FR) .....                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Raise the streambed and use the existing valley elevation as the floodplain.</li> <li>• Create a meandering stable channel on existing forest bottom with alternating riffle and pool bed forms.</li> <li>• Small headwater streams may have a small step-pool channel or swale.</li> <li>• Fill/plug sections of old stream channel and create oxbow ponds and wetlands; may include the use of groundwater dams.</li> </ul> |
| Floodplain Excavation (FE) .....                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Excavate, at the stream's existing bankfull elevation, a new floodplain that is wide enough to support a meandering channel. The stream bed elevation remains nearly the same.</li> <li>• Create or allow for the natural development of a meandering channel with alternating riffle and pool bed forms.</li> </ul>                                                                                                          |
| Floodplain Benches (FB) .....                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Constraints in the stream corridor will not support a meandering channel.</li> <li>• Excavate relatively narrow, floodplain benches at the stream's existing bankfull elevation.</li> <li>• Create a relatively straight channel that dissipates energy through a step-pool bed form rather than a meandering stream.</li> </ul>                                                                                              |

Rosgen, D.L. 1997. A Geomorphological Approach to Restoration of Incised Rivers. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision, S.S.Y Wang, E.J. Langendoen, & F.D. Shields (Editors). University of Mississippi. Oxford.

To view project vicinity, location map and more detailed information about proposed treatments go to: [http://www.fs.fed.us/nepa/nepa\\_project\\_exp.php?project=44310](http://www.fs.fed.us/nepa/nepa_project_exp.php?project=44310).

#### Lead and Cooperating Agencies

The United States Army, Corps of Engineers—Regulatory Division, Charleston District, Charleston, South Carolina will be a cooperating agency on this project.

#### Responsible Official

The Forest Supervisor for the Francis Marion/Sumter National Forests.

#### Nature of Decision To Be Made

Whether or not to implement the action as proposed or an alternative way to achieve the desired outcome.

#### Scoping Process

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. A public scoping meeting will be held in Chester County at the West Chester Community Center, located at 2684 West Chester School Road, Chester, SC 29706 on April 28, 2014 from 4:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.

It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted

anonymously will also be accepted and considered, however.

Dated: April 17, 2014.

**Robin Mackie,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 2014-09215 Filed 4-22-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-469-805]

#### Stainless Steel Bar From Spain: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012– 2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Spain. The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2012, through February 28, 2013. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Gerdau Aceros Especiales Europa, S.L. (Gerdau).<sup>1</sup> We preliminarily find that subject merchandise has not been sold at less than normal value. Interested parties are

<sup>1</sup> We preliminarily determine that Gerdau is the successor-in-interest to Sidenor Industrial S.L. For further discussion, see the memorandum from James Maeder, Director, Office II, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar from Spain" dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 23, 2014.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandra Dreisonstok or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0768, and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes.<sup>2</sup> The written description is dispositive.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and

<sup>2</sup> A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for Gerdau for the period March 1, 2012, through February 28, 2013.

### Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>3</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>4</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>5</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative

review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If Gerdau's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If Gerdau's weighted-average dumping margin continues to be zero or *de minimis* in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*, i.e., "{w}here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed."<sup>6</sup>

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Gerdau for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of SSB from Spain entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Gerdau will be the rate established in the final results of this

administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 25.77 percent, the all-others rate established in the *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From Spain*, 59 FR 66931 (December 28, 1994). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 17, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

- A. Summary
- B. Background
- C. Scope of the Order
- D. Successor-In-Interest
- E. Discussion of the Methodology
  1. Comparisons to Normal Value
    - a. Determination of Comparison Method
    - b. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
    - c. Bona Fides Analysis
    - d. Post-Sale Adjustment for International Freight
  2. Product Comparisons
  3. Date of Sale
  4. Export Price
  5. Normal Value
    - a. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
    - b. Level of Trade
    - c. Cost of Production
      - (1) Calculation of Cost of Production
      - (2) Results of COP Test

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>6</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 80102 (February 14, 2012).

d. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices  
F. Currency Conversion  
G. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-09281 Filed 4-22-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-520-803]

#### **Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From the United Arab Emirates: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 23, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Andrew Huston, Office VII, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

#### **Background**

On November 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from the United Arab Emirates covering the period November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013.<sup>1</sup> The Department received a timely request from Petitioners<sup>2</sup> for an AD administrative review of two companies: JBF RAK LLC (JBF) and Flex Middle East FZE (Flex).<sup>3</sup> In addition, the Department received a timely request for an AD review of itself from JBF.<sup>4</sup> On December 30, 2013, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review with

respect to Flex and JBF.<sup>5</sup> On March 31, 2014, petitioners withdrew their request for an AD administrative review of Flex.<sup>6</sup>

#### **Rescission in Part**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Petitioners' March 31, 2014 withdrawal request was submitted within the 90-day period and thus is timely.<sup>7</sup> Because Petitioners' withdrawal of their requests for review is timely and because no other party requested a review of Flex, we are rescinding this review with respect to this company, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). The request from petitioners for an administrative review of JBF has not been withdrawn. As such, we are not rescinding the review with respect to JBF.

#### **Assessment**

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess ADs on all appropriate entries. Subject merchandise of Flex will be assessed ADs at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated ADs required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

#### **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of ADs prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the

ADs occurred and the subsequent assessment of double ADs.

#### **Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: April 17, 2014.

**James Maeder,**

*Director, Office II, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014-09282 Filed 4-22-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-928, A-791-821, A-552-803]

#### **Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China, South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (the ITC) determined that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on uncovered innerspring units from the People's Republic of China (PRC), South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States. Therefore, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of these AD orders.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 23, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sandra Dreisonstok or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW.,

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 78 FR 65612, 65613 (November 1, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> Petitioners are DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc.

<sup>3</sup> See Petitioners' letter, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from United Arab Emirates: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated December 2, 2013.

<sup>4</sup> See JBF's letter, "JBF RAK LLC/Request for A/D Administrative Review: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from United Arab Emirates," dated November 29, 2013.

<sup>5</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 78 FR 79392 (December 30, 2013).

<sup>6</sup> See Petitioners' letter "Withdrawal of Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Flex Middle East FZE," dated March 31, 2014.

<sup>7</sup> The 90th day fell on March 30, 2014. However, because this day fell on a weekend, the actual due date is the following business day, i.e., March 31, 2014. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as Amended*, 70 FR 24533, 24533 (May 10, 2005).