with coerced debt and specify what constitutes effective consent.

- Modify the definition of "identity theft report" to reflect the modified definition of "identity theft."
- Allow the modified definition of "identity theft" to enable persons with coerced debt to utilize the block of information resulting from identity theft.
- Clarify that no consumer reporting agency (CRA), including specialty CRAs, can refuse to block information under 15 U.S.C. 1681c–2(c)(1)(C) if the consumer is a person with coerced debt.

The petition highlighted how economic abuse, and particularly coerced debt, can cause serious and lasting harm for survivors of domestic violence and others. The petition cited research showing that between 94 and 99 percent of survivors of intimate partner violence have experienced economic abuse.3 Further, the petition emphasized how economic abuse can have lasting impacts on survivors of that abuse. Commenters on the petition also noted that studies show that a majority of survivors of domestic violence remained longer in abusive relationships in part because of their coerced debt, that a significant portion of survivors reported harm to their credit scores due to the actions of abusive partners, and that a significant portion of survivors who were successful in removing coerced debt from their credit files experienced significant increases to their credit scores. Commenters cited research showing that survivors of color experienced especially acute harm as a result of coerced debt.

After a review of the petition and comments received on the petition, the CFPB has determined that a rulemaking is warranted and will issue a proposed rule. The evidence contained in the petition and comments received on the petition persuasively suggest that amending Regulation V to specifically account for coercion, and absence of effective consent, in the definition of identity theft could enable survivors to regain control of their financial lives and further their physical safety and independence from abusers. The CFPB has also preliminarily determined that addressing this issue is well within the statutory authority of the CFPB to define "identity theft." ⁴ The CFPB notes that victims of coerced debt can include people in a range of abusive relationships, including children and survivors of elder abuse. The CFPB has engaged in similar rulemakings in the past under the Debt Bondage Repair Act. In 2022, the CFPB finalized a rule to prohibit consumer reporting agencies from providing consumer reports that contain any negative item of information about a survivor of human trafficking that resulted from the trafficking.⁵

In addition to the record provided by the comments responding to the petition, the CFPB now seeks additional comment from the public to provide further information to facilitate the preparation of a proposed rule. The CFPB welcomes comment on all aspects of this advance notice of proposed rulemaking from all interested parties including survivors of coerced debt and their advocates, consumers, consumer advocacy groups, legal services providers, social service agencies, academic researchers, consumer reporting agencies, other industry members or trade groups, and any other members of the public.

II. Questions

- 1. What information exists regarding the prevalence and extent of harms to victims of economic abuse, particularly coerced debt? How does the consumer reporting system, including provisions relating to identity theft, currently contribute to or reduce those harms?
- 2. To what extent do protections under the FCRA or other Federal or State laws exist for victims of economic abuse with respect to consumer reporting information? What barriers exist that may prevent survivors of economic abuse from availing themselves of existing protections?
- 3. Does coerced debt reflect the survivor's credit risk independent of the abuser? Why or why not? Is there any data addressing the relevance of coerced debt to the survivor's credit risk independent of the abuser?
- 4. What are the costs and benefits of the proposed amendment outlined by the petition for rulemaking?
- 5. The petition defines "coerced debt" as "all non-consensual, credit-related transactions that occur in a relationship

- where one person uses coercive control to dominate the other person." What alternatives to that language should the CFPB consider?
- 6. Comments to the petition identify survivors of intimate partner violence, domestic abuse, and gender-based violence as groups that would benefit from explicit inclusion of coerced debt as a form of identity theft. Commenters noted specific vulnerabilities for older Americans, children in foster care, and survivors of color.
- a. What barriers do these groups face as a result of coerced debt?
- b. How would the proposed amendments outlined in the petition for rulemaking reduce those barriers?
- c. Are there other populations who experience problems with coerced debt and whose experiences should be considered in the proposed rulemaking?
- d. How would the proposed amendments outlined in the petition for rulemaking address the needs of these other populations?
- 7. Should the CFPB propose the amendments outlined by the petition for rulemaking? What alternatives should the CFPB consider? For instance:
- a. What documentation should a person be required to produce to show that their debt was coerced?
- b. What self-attestation mechanisms could be considered for meeting the standard for an identity theft report?
- c. Are there circumstances that should give rise to a presumption of coercion?
- d. Should the CFPB propose general protections related to coerced debt, specific protections for survivors of domestic or intimate partner violence, or a combination?

Rohit Chopra,

Director, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2024–29292 Filed 12–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2024-2554; Project Identifier MCAI-2024-00492-T]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate Previously Held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

³ Adams, A.E. *et al.*, Development of the scale of economic abuse, 14 Violence Against Women 563 (2008).

Postmus, J.L. et al., Understanding economic abuse in the lives of survivors, 27 J. of Interpersonal Violence 411 (2011).

⁴ See 15 U.S.C. 1681a(q)(3) (expressly authorizing the CFPB to "further defin[e] . . . by regulation" the term "identity theft").

⁵Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, CFPB Helps Survivors Mitigate the Financial Consequences of Human Trafficking (Jun. 23, 2022), https://www.consumer'finance.gov/about-us/newsroom/cfpb-helps-survivors-mitigate-the-financial-consequences-of-human-trafficking/.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and BD-500-1A11 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by a design review that discovered software protection logic for potential large leaks from the engine bleed duct inside the engine core compartments was partially impaired. This proposed AD would require revising the existing airplane flight manual (AFM) to incorporate the procedures for the flight crew to manually isolate the opposite functional engine in the event of an engine bleed duct large leak condition, as specified in a Transport Canada AD, which is proposed for incorporation by reference (IBR). The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: The FAA must receive comments on this proposed AD by January 27, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
 - Fax: 202–493–2251.
- *Mail*: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M— 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

AD Docket: You may examine the AD docket at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA–2024–2554; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI), any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

Material Incorporated by Reference:

• For Transport Canada material identified in this proposed AD, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888–663–3639; email TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca; website at tc.canada.ca/en/aviation. It is also available at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA–2024–2554.

• You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Catanzaro, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; phone: 516–228–7300; email: 9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA invites you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA–2024–2554; Project Identifier MCAI–2024–00492–T" at the beginning of your comments. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. The FAA will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposal because of those comments.

Except for Confidential Business Information (CBI) as described in the following paragraph, and other information as described in 14 CFR 11.35, the FAA will post all comments received, without change, to regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. The agency will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact received about this NPRM.

Confidential Business Information

CBI is commercial or financial information that is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552), CBI is exempt from public disclosure. If your comments responsive to this NPRM contain commercial or financial information that is customarily treated as private, that you actually treat as private, and that is relevant or responsive to this NPRM, it is important that you clearly designate the submitted comments as CBI. Please mark each page of your submission containing CBI as "PROPIN." The FAA will treat such marked submissions as confidential under the FOIA, and they will not be placed in the public docket of this NPRM. Submissions containing CBI should be sent to Joseph Catanzaro, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; phone: 516-228-7300; email: 9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov. Any

commentary that the FAA receives which is not specifically designated as CBI will be placed in the public docket for this rulemaking.

Background

Transport Canada, which is the aviation authority for Canada, has issued Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30, dated August 27, 2024 (Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30) (also referred to as the MCAI), to correct an unsafe condition for all Airbus Canada Limited Partnership Model BD-500-1A10 and BD-500-1A11 airplanes. The MCAI states a design review discovered software protection logic for potential large leaks from the engine bleed duct inside the engine core compartments was partially impaired. Under certain large leak conditions (e.g., a duct burst at a specific portion of the engines bleed ducting), Pratt & Whitney's PW1500G engine's electronic engine control (EEC) would not transmit the necessary information to the aircraft controller to automatically isolate the opposite engine from the leak path in the bleed system. This failure condition could lead to a dual engine failure.

The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

You may examine the MCAI in the AD docket at *regulations.gov* under Docket No. FAA–2024–2554.

Material Incorporated by Reference Under 1 CFR Part 51

Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30 specifies procedures for revising the "Non-Normal Procedure" of the AFM to incorporate the procedures for the flight crew to manually isolate the opposite functional engine in the event of an engine bleed duct large leak condition. This material is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA's Determination

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to the FAA's bilateral agreement with this State of Design Authority, it has notified the FAA of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI referenced above. The FAA is issuing this NPRM after determining that the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

Proposed AD Requirements in This NPRM

This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30 described previously, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD.

Compliance With AFM Revisions

Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30 requires operators to "inform all flight crews" of revisions to the AFM, and thereafter to "operate the aeroplane accordingly." However, this proposed AD does not specifically require those actions as those actions are already required by FAA regulations. FAA regulations require operators to furnish to pilots any changes to the AFM (for example, 14 CFR 121.137), and to ensure the pilots are familiar with the AFM (for example, 14 CFR 91.505). As with any other flight crew training

requirement, training on the updated AFM content is tracked by the operators and recorded in each pilot's training record, which is available for the FAA to review. FAA regulations also require pilots to follow the procedures in the existing AFM including all updates. 14 CFR 91.9 requires that any person operating a civil aircraft must comply with the operating limitations specified in the AFM. Therefore, including a requirement in this proposed AD to operate the airplane according to the revised AFM would be redundant and unnecessary.

Explanation of Required Compliance Information

In the FAA's ongoing efforts to improve the efficiency of the AD process, the FAA developed a process to use some civil aviation authority (CAA) ADs as the primary source of information for compliance with requirements for corresponding FAA

ADs. The FAA has been coordinating this process with manufacturers and CAAs. As a result, the FAA proposes to incorporate Transport Canada AD CF-2024–30 by reference in the FAA final rule. This proposed AD would therefore, require compliance with Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30 in its entirety through that incorporation, except for any differences identified as exceptions in the regulatory text of this proposed AD. Material required by Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30 for compliance will be available at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA-2024-2554 after the FAA final rule is published.

Costs of Compliance

The FAA estimates that this AD, if adopted as proposed, would affect 132 airplanes of U.S. registry. The FAA estimates the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

ESTIMATED COSTS FOR REQUIRED ACTIONS

Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
1 work-hour × \$85 per hour = \$85	\$0	\$85	\$11,220

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

The FAA is issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements. Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

The FAA determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Would not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (3) Would not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate Previously Held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.): Docket No. FAA– 2024–2554; Project Identifier MCAI– 2024–00492–T.

(a) Comments Due Date

The FAA must receive comments on this airworthiness directive (AD) by January 27, 2025.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to all Airbus Canada Limited Partnership (Type Certificate previously held by C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership (CSALP); Bombardier, Inc.) Model BD–500–1A10 and BD–500–1A11 airplanes, certificated in any category.

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 72, Turbine/turboprop engine.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a design review that discovered software protection logic for potential large leaks from the engine bleed duct inside the engine core compartments was partially impaired. Under certain large leak conditions (e.g., a duct burst at a specific portion of the engines bleed ducting), Pratt & Whitney's PW1500G engine's electronic engine control (EEC) would not transmit the necessary information to the aircraft controller to automatically isolate the opposite engine from the leak path in the

bleed system. The FAA is issuing this AD to address the unsafe condition, which if not addressed, could result in dual engine failure.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Requirements

Except as specified in paragraph (h) of this AD: Comply with all required actions and compliance times specified in, and in accordance with Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30, dated August 27, 2024 (Transport Canada AD CF–2024–30).

(h) Exception to Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30

(1) Where Transport Canada AD CF-2024—30 refers to its effective date, this AD requires using the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where paragraph B. of Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30 specifies to "inform all flight crews of these changes in the AFM procedures and thereafter operate the aeroplane accordingly," this AD does not require those actions as those actions are already required by existing FAA operating regulations (see 14 CFR 91.9, 14 CFR 91.505, and 14 CFR 121.137).

(i) Additional AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

- (1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Validation Branch, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or responsible Flight Standards Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the International Validation Branch, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (j) of this AD and email to: AMOC@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the responsible Flight Standards Office.
- (2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain instructions from a manufacturer, the instructions must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Validation Branch, FAA; or Transport Canada; or Airbus Canada Limited Partnership's Transport Canada Design Approval Organization (DAO). If approved by the DAO, the approval must include the DAO-authorized signature.

(j) Additional Information

For more information about this AD, contact Joseph Catanzaro, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; phone: 516–228–7300; email: 9-avs-nyaco-cos@faa.gov.

(k) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of the material listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

- (2) You must use this material as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.
- (i) Transport Canada AD CF-2024-30, dated August 27, 2024.
 - (ii) [Reserved]
- (3) For Transport Canada material identified in this AD, contact Transport Canada, Transport Canada National Aircraft Certification, 159 Cleopatra Drive, Nepean, Ontario K1A 0N5, Canada; telephone 888–663–3639; email TC.AirworthinessDirectives-Consignesdenavigabilite.TC@tc.gc.ca; website at tc.canada.ca/en/aviation.
- (4) You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.
- (5) You may view this material at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, visit www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations or email fr.inspection@nara.gov.

Issued on December 9, 2024.

Peter A. White,

Deputy Director, Integrated Certificate Management Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2024–29302 Filed 12–12–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2024-2556; Project Identifier MCAI-2024-00247-T]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus SAS Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Airbus SAS Model A300 series airplanes; Model A300 B4-600, B4-600R, and F4-600R series airplanes, and Model A300 C4-605R Variant F airplanes (collectively called Model A300-600 series airplanes); and Model A310 series airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by investigations that found cracks on the main deck cargo door (MDCD) actuator bearing fitting caused by fatigue. This proposed AD would require an operational limitation to the MDCD opening angle, repetitive detailed visual inspection (DET) of the MDCD actuator bearing fittings, and replacement if any cracks are found, as specified in a European Union Aviation

Safety Agency (EASA) AD, which is proposed for incorporation by reference (IBR). The FAA is proposing this AD to address the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: The FAA must receive comments on this proposed AD by January 27, 2025.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
 - Fax: 202-493-2251.
- *Mail*: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M– 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

AD Docket: You may examine the AD docket at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA–2024–2556; or in person at Docket Operations between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this NPRM, the mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI), any comments received, and other information. The street address for Docket Operations is listed above.

- Material Incorporated by Reference:
 For EASA material identified in this proposed AD, contact EASA, Konrad-Adenauer-Ufer 3, 50668 Cologne, Germany; telephone +49 221 8999 000; email ADs@easa.europa.eu; website easa.europa.eu. You may find this material on the EASA website at ad.easa.europa.eu. It is also available at regulations.gov under Docket No. FAA–2024–2556.
- You may view this material at the FAA, Airworthiness Products Section, Operational Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aviation Safety Engineer, FAA, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, NY 11590; phone: 206–231–3225; email: Dan.Rodina@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

The FAA invites you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA–2024–2556; Project Identifier MCAI–2024–00247–T" at the