

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 428-3964 or (202) 482-2584, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Correction*

On February 11, 2013, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published, in the **Federal Register**, the final results of the 2010-2011 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET Film) from Taiwan.<sup>1</sup> The period of review covered July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. The published **Federal Register** notice contained a clerical error, in that it identified an incorrect case number associated with PET Film from Taiwan (*i.e.*, incorrect case number A-533-824).<sup>2</sup> The correct case number associated with PET Film from Taiwan is A-583-837. Pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall correct any ministerial errors within a reasonable time after the determinations are issued under this section. A ministerial error is defined as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error." This notice serves to correct the incorrect case number listed in the *Final Results*.

This correction is published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 26, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013-05041 Filed 3-4-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-912]

**Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review; 2011-2012**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 5, 2013.

<sup>1</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011*, 78 FR 9668 (February 11, 2013) (*Final Results*).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* 78 FR at 9668.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires ("OTR tires") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is September 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012. The review covers a single entry of subject merchandise exported by Trelleborg Wheel Systems (Xingtai) China, Co. Ltd. ("Trelleborg Wheel Systems China") and imported by its U.S. affiliate, Trelleborg Wheel Systems Americas. We have preliminarily found that Trelleborg Wheel Systems China did not make a sale of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Raquel Silva or Eugene Degnan or, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6475 or (202) 482-0414, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise covered by this order includes new pneumatic tires designed for off-the-road and off-highway use, subject to certain exceptions.<sup>1</sup> The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings: 4011.20.10.25, 4011.20.10.35, 4011.20.50.30, 4011.20.50.50, 4011.61.00.00, 4011.62.00.00, 4011.63.00.00, 4011.69.00.00, 4011.92.00.00, 4011.93.40.00, 4011.93.80.00, 4011.94.40.00, and 4011.94.80.00. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written product description of the scope of the order is dispositive.<sup>2</sup>

**Methodology**

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214. Constructed export prices have been calculated in

<sup>1</sup> See a complete description of the Scope of the Order in the memorandum to Paul Piquado entitled "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review Pertaining to Trelleborg Wheel Systems (Xingtai) China, Co. Ltd.: Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from the People's Republic of China," dated February 26, 2013 ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum").

<sup>2</sup> See *Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 73 FR 51624 (September 4, 2008).

accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a nonmarket economy within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. Specifically, the respondent's factors of production have been valued in Indonesia, which is economically comparable to the PRC and is a significant producer of comparable merchandise.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, please see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review**

The Department preliminarily finds that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin
Trelleborg Wheel Systems (Xingtai) China, Co. Ltd.	0.0%

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

The Department will disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.<sup>3</sup> Rebuttals to written comments may be filed no later than five days after the written comments are filed.<sup>4</sup>

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.<sup>5</sup> Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1)

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.<sup>6</sup>

The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Deadline for Submission of Publicly Available Surrogate Value Information

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(ii), the deadline for submission of publicly available information to value factors of production under 19 CFR 351.408(c) is 20 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(1), if an interested party submits factual information less than ten days before, on, or after (if the Department has extended the deadline), the applicable deadline for submission of such factual information, an interested party may submit factual information to rebut, clarify, or correct the factual information no later than ten days after such factual information is served on the interested party. However, the Department generally will not accept in the rebuttal submission additional or alternative surrogate value information not previously on the record, if the deadline for submission of surrogate value information has passed.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, the Department generally will not accept business proprietary information in either the surrogate value submissions or the rebuttals thereto, as the regulation regarding the submission of surrogate values allows only for the submission of publicly available information.<sup>8</sup>

#### Assessment Rates

Upon issuing the final results of the new shipper review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess,

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of new shipper review. For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>9</sup>

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this new shipper review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by company individually examined during this new shipper review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that the exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>10</sup>

The final results of this new shipper review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC

<sup>9</sup> In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>10</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For TWS China, which has a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: February 26, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Background.
2. Scope of the Order.
3. *Bona Fide* Sale Analysis.
4. Nonmarket Economy Country.
5. Separate Rates.
6. Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value Data.
7. Surrogate Country.
8. Economic Comparability.
9. Significant Producers of Identical or Comparable Merchandise.
10. Data Availability.
11. Date of Sale.
12. Fair Value Comparisons.
13. U.S. Price.

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., *Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Rescission*, in Part, 72 FR 58809 (October 17, 2007), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3).

14. Normal Value.
15. Factor Valuations.
16. Currency Conversion.
17. Adjustment Under Section 777A(f) of the Act.
18. Conclusion.

[FR Doc. 2013-05042 Filed 3-4-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-866]

#### Folding Gift Boxes From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Second Sunset Review and Continuation of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 26, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the preliminary results of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on folding gift boxes from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Folding Gift Boxes Fair Trade Coalition ("Domestic Parties")<sup>1</sup> filed comments in support of the Department's preliminary results and no other party submitted comments. Further, as a result of the determinations by the Department and the International Trade Commission ("ITC") that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 5, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Demetri Kalogeropoulos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2623.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On October 26, 2012, the Department published the preliminary results<sup>2</sup> of

<sup>1</sup> The Folding Gift Boxes Fair Trade Coalition is comprised of Harvard Folding Gift Box Company, Inc. and Graphic Packaging International, Inc., both U.S. producers of folding gift boxes.

<sup>2</sup> See *Folding Gift Boxes From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the*

the second sunset review on the antidumping duty order<sup>3</sup> on folding gift boxes from the PRC. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Domestic Parties filed comments in support of the Department's *Preliminary Results* and no other party submitted comments. Due to the complex issues discussed in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department has conducted a full sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

On December 10, 2012, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>4</sup>

##### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain folding gift boxes. Folding gift boxes are a type of folding or knock-down carton manufactured from paper or paperboard. Folding gift boxes are produced from a variety of recycled and virgin paper or paperboard materials, including, but not limited to, clay-coated paper or paperboard and kraft (bleached or unbleached) paper or paperboard. The scope of the order excludes gift boxes manufactured from paper or paperboard of a thickness of more than 0.8 millimeters, corrugated paperboard, or paper mache. The scope also excludes those gift boxes for which no side of the box, when assembled, is at least nine inches in length.

Folding gift boxes included in the scope are typically decorated with a holiday motif using various processes, including printing, embossing, debossing, and foil stamping, but may also be plain white or printed with a single color. The subject merchandise includes folding gift boxes, with or without handles, whether finished or unfinished, and whether in one-piece or multi-piece configuration. One-piece gift boxes are die-cut or otherwise formed so that the top, bottom, and sides form a single, contiguous unit. Two-piece gift boxes are those with a folded bottom and a folded top as separate pieces. Folding gift boxes are generally packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, or other packaging

*Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 65361 (October 26, 2012) ("*Preliminary Results*").

<sup>3</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Folding Gift Boxes From the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 864 (January 8, 2002) ("*Order*").

<sup>4</sup> See *Folding Gift Boxes from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-921 (Second Review)*, USITC Publication 4365 (November 2012).

materials, in single or multi-box packs for sale to the retail customer. The scope excludes folding gift boxes that have a retailer's name, logo, trademark or similar company information printed prominently on the box's top exterior (such folding gift boxes are often known as "not-for-resale" gift boxes or "give-away" gift boxes and may be provided by department and specialty stores at no charge to their retail customers). The scope of the order also excludes folding gift boxes where both the outside of the box is a single color and the box is not packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, other resin-based packaging films, or paperboard.

Imports of the subject merchandise are classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings 4819.20.0040 and 4819.50.4060. These subheadings also cover products that are outside the scope of the order. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

##### Final Determination of Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily determined that dumping would likely continue or recur if the *Order* were revoked, because the Department found dumping above *de minimis* levels in the investigation segment of this proceeding, and we determined that folding gift box imports from the PRC have been increasing in volume during the period of this sunset review. Thus, since issuance of the *Order*, dumping has continued at rates exceeding *de minimis* levels, which suggests that dumping is likely to continue if the *Order* is revoked.

As stated above, Domestic Parties submitted comments in support of our *Preliminary Results*, and we did not receive comment from any respondent interested party. Therefore, for the reasons explained in the *Preliminary Results*, we continue to determine dumping would likely continue or recur if the *Order* were revoked.

##### Final Determination of Magnitude of the Dumping Margin Likely To Prevail

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department noted that section 752(c)(3) of the Act provides that the administering authority shall provide to the ITC the magnitude of the margin of dumping that is likely to prevail if the order were revoked. While normally, the Department will select a margin from the final determination in the investigation because that is the only