

and requested datasets (selected from a presented list). The submitter then saves the project information to the database. The system assigns a unique project number, sets the project's status to NEW, and sends an email to the RDC Administrator. The Administrator may approve the preliminary proposal, request revisions, or reject it. Upon RDC Administrator approval, the researcher is invited to submit the required proposal documents.

Research Project Documents

- Abstract—A one-page document that summarizes the project's objectives, lists requested datasets, and identifies the proposed Title 13 benefits to the Census Bureau.
- Project Narrative—Describes in detail the research question(s) to be addressed, Census Bureau and researcher provided datasets to be used, a description of the research design (methodology, hypotheses, statistical models), expected duration and outcomes, source of funding, and a list of references cited in the text.
- Predominant Purpose Statement—This document is generated in draft form by the system. It is populated with some standard language and project metadata. This is editable by the submitter, primarily to expand upon the narrative statements associated with each proposed benefit.
- Annual Progress Report—Required of all multiyear projects. It describes progress on reaching the proposed research objectives and Title 13 benefits at the end of each year.
- Post Project Certification—This document is submitted following completion of the project and summarizes the findings in terms of benefits to the Census Bureau. It resembles the Predominant Purpose

Statement in form and content except that it describes how and whether the project's proposed benefits were achieved. Census Bureau staff review this document and either certify it or return it to the submitter for revision.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607–0967.
Form Number(s): Not Applicable.
Type of Review: Regular submission.
Affected Public: Individuals.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 75.
Estimated Time per Response: 60.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 4,500.
Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S. C. Section 9 and section 23(c).

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.
Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 26, 2014.
Glenna Mickelson,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2014–20680 Filed 8–29–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for October 2014

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in October 2014 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review (“Sunset Review”).

	Department contact
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from China (A–570–849) (3rd Review)	Charles Riggle (202) 482–0650.
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
No Sunset Review of countervailing duty orders is scheduled for initiation in October 2014.	
Suspended Investigations	
Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Russia (A–821–808) (3rd Review)	Sally Gannon (202) 482–0162. Sally Gannon (202) 482–0162.
Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Ukraine (A–823–808) (3rd Review)	

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information

regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these

proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing

within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 18, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014–20861 Filed 8–29–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–549–821]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 11, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand.¹ For these final results, we continue to find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value by the companies subject to this review.

DATES: Effective September 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra Dreisonstok or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0768 and 202–482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 11, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. The

period of review (POR) is August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013.

We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments on our *Preliminary Results*. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the companies subject to this administrative review are listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is PRCBs, which may be referred to as t-shirt sacks, merchandise bags, grocery bags, or checkout bags. The subject merchandise is defined as non-sealable sacks and bags with handles (including drawstrings), without zippers or integral extruded closures, with or without gussets, with or without printing, of polyethylene film having a thickness no greater than 0.035 inch (0.889 mm) and no less than 0.00035 inch (0.00889 mm), and with no length or width shorter than 6 inches (15.24 cm) or longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm). The depth of the bag may be shorter than 6 inches but not longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm).

PRCBs are typically provided without any consumer packaging and free of charge by retail establishments, e.g., grocery, drug, convenience, department, specialty retail, discount stores, and restaurants, to their customers to package and carry their purchased products. The scope of the order excludes (1) polyethylene bags that are not printed with logos or store names and that are closeable with drawstrings made of polyethylene film and (2) polyethylene bags that are packed in consumer packaging with printing that refers to specific end-uses other than packaging and carrying merchandise from retail establishments, e.g., garbage bags, lawn bags, trash-can liners.

As a result of changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), imports of the subject merchandise are currently classifiable under statistical category 3923.21.0085 of the HTSUS. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of Review

Because no party commented on our *Preliminary Results*, we made no changes to these final results. As a result

of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins on PRCBs from Thailand exist for the period August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013:

Company	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Beyond Packaging Co., Ltd.	122.88
Dpac Inter Corporation Co., Ltd.	4.69
Elite Poly and Packaging Co., Ltd.	4.69
Poly World Co., Ltd.	4.69
Triple B Pack Company Limited	4.69
Two Path Plaspak Co., Ltd.	4.69

Assessment Rates

In accordance section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review at the rates listed above.

For these final results we continue to rely on adverse facts available to establish Beyond Packaging’s weighted-average dumping margin, and will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 122.88 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Beyond Packaging.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of PRCBs from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in these final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter

¹ See *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 33505 (June 11, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).