

219. Triad Forest Products Ltd.
 220. Twin Rivers Paper Co. Inc.
 221. Tyee Timber Products Ltd.
 222. Universal Lumber Sales Ltd.
 223. Usine Sartigan Inc.
 224. Vaagen Fibre Canada ULC
 225. Vancouver Specialty Cedar Products Ltd.
 226. Vanderhoof Specialty Wood Products Ltd.
 227. Vanderwell Contractors (1971) Ltd.
 228. Visscher Lumber Inc.
 229. W.I. Woodtone Industries Inc.
 230. Waldun Forest Product Sales Ltd.
 231. West Bay Forest Products Ltd.
 232. West Coast Panel Cutters
 233. Western Forest Products Inc.
 234. Western Lumber Sales Limited
 235. Westminster Industries Ltd.
 236. Weston Forest Products Inc.
 237. Westrend Exteriors Inc
 238. Weyerhaeuser Co.
 239. White River Forest Products L.P.
 240. Woodline Forest Products Ltd.
 241. Woodtone Specialties Inc.

Appendix III

List of Companies Rescinded From the Review

1. 54 Reman
 2. 9224–5737 Quebec Inc.; A.G. Bois²³
 3. AA Trading Ltd.
 4. All American Forest Products Inc.
 5. Anglo American Cedar Products Ltd.; Anglo-American Cedar Products Ltd.
 6. Bardobec Inc.
 7. Best Quality Cedar Products Ltd.
 8. Campbell River Shake & Shingle Co. Ltd.
 9. Canada Pallet Corp.
 10. Canasia Forest Industries Ltd.
 11. Careau Bois inc.
 12. CarlWood Lumber Ltd.²⁴
 13. Cedar Island Forest Products Ltd.
 14. Cedar Valley Holdings Ltd.
 15. Cedarcoast Lumber Products
 16. CHAP Alliance, Inc.
 17. CNH Products Inc.
 18. Coast Clear Wood Ltd.
 19. Coast Mountain Cedar Products Ltd.
 20. Comox Valley Shakes Ltd. (2019); Comox Valley Shakes (2019) Ltd.²⁵
 21. CWP—Montreal Inc.
 22. DH Manufacturing Inc.
 23. Direct Cedar Supplies Ltd.
 24. Distribution Rioux Inc.
 25. Elrod Cartage Ltd.
 26. Glandell Enterprises Inc.
 27. Goldband Shake & Shingle Ltd.
 28. GreenFirst Forest Products Inc.
 29. Groupe Lignarex Inc.
 30. Hudson Mitchell & Sons Lumber Inc.
 31. Imperial Cedar Products Ltd.

32. Intertran Holdings Ltd.; Richmond Terminal²⁶
 33. Island Cedar Products Ltd.
 34. Les Bardeaux Lajoie Inc.
 35. Les Bois Traites M.G. Inc.
 36. Les Produits Forestiers Sitka Inc.; Sitka Forest Products Inc.²⁷
 37. Lumber Assets Holding LP
 38. Mainland Sawmill, a division of Terminal Forest Products
 39. Metrie Canada Ltd.
 40. Modern Terminal Ltd.
 41. Nagaard Sawmill Ltd.
 42. Nickel Lake Lumber
 43. NSC Lumber Ltd.
 44. Pacific Coast Cedar Products Ltd.
 45. Pacific Lumber Remanufacturing Inc.
 46. Pacific Pallet Ltd.
 47. Pat Power Forest Products Corporation
 48. Rick Dubois
 49. S&W Forest Products Ltd.
 50. Sapphire Lumber Company
 51. Smartlam LLC
 52. Sonora Logging Ltd.
 53. Source Forest Products
 54. South Coast Reman Ltd.; Southcoast Millwork Ltd.
 55. South Fraser Container Terminals
 56. Star Lumber Canada Ltd.
 57. Suncoah Custom Lumber Ltd.
 58. Surplus G Rioux
 59. Swiftwood Forest Products Ltd.
 60. T&P Trucking Ltd.
 61. Temrex Forest Products LP; Produits Forestiers Temrex SEC.
 62. Valley Cedar 2 Inc.
 63. Watkins Sawmills Ltd.
 64. Western Timber Products, Inc.
 65. Winton Homes Ltd.
 66. Woodstock Forest Products
 67. WWW Timber Products Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2024–18443 Filed 8–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022; Correction

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) published in the **Federal Register** of July 5, 2024, notice of the final results of the 2021–2022 administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People's Republic of China (China). In that notice, Commerce incorrectly identified the companies which it found were not entitled to a separate rate.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dakota Potts or Paola Aleman Ordaz, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0223 and (202) 482–4031, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 5, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** notice of the final results of the 2021–2022 administrative review of the AD order on solar cells from China.¹ In that notice, Commerce incorrectly identified the companies which it found were not entitled to a separate rate. Commerce preliminarily found that only four companies did not qualify for a separate rate.² Commerce did not change that determination in the final results of the review. Yet, in the final results of review **Federal Register** notice, Commerce inadvertently stated that: {i}n the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce found that 35 companies for which a review was initiated did not establish their eligibility for a separate rate. No parties contested this finding (see discussion regarding the Yingli single entity below). As such, we continue to determine these 35 companies identified in Appendix III are part of the China-wide entity.³

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce did not determine that the 31 companies that it incorrectly added to Appendix III

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 89 FR 55562 (July 5, 2024) (*Final Results*).

² See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 89 FR 457, 458–59 (January 4, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

³ See *Final Results*, 89 FR at 55563.

²³ “aka” has been removed from the name 224–5737 Quebec Inc. (aka A.G. Bois) referred to in the Preliminary Results, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

²⁴ CarlWood Lumber Ltd. is referred to in the ACE module as Carl Wood Lumber Ltd.

²⁵ “AKA” has been removed from the name Comox Valley Shakes Ltd. (2019); AKA Comox Valley Shakes (2019) Ltd. referred to in the Preliminary Results, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

²⁶ “dba” has been removed from the name Intertran Holdings Ltd. (dba Richmond Terminal) referred to in the Preliminary Results at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

²⁷ “aka” has been removed from the name Les Produits Forestiers Sitka Inc. (aka Sitka Forest Products Inc.) referred to in the Preliminary Results, at the request of CBP. See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 10.

of the *Final Results* are ineligible for a separate rate. Rather, in the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that the 31 companies did not have suspended entries of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR).⁴ Commerce did not change its preliminary decision with respect to these 31 companies in the final results of review. Therefore, the following corrections are required.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of July 5, 2024, in FR Doc 2024–14763, on page 55563, in the first column, under the heading “China-Wide Entity,” correct the first sentence to read: “In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce found that four companies for which a review was initiated did not establish their eligibility for a separate rate.”⁵ In addition, on page 55563, in the first column, under the heading “China-Wide Entity,” correct the third sentence to read: “As such, we continue to determine these four companies identified in Appendix III are part of the China-wide entity.” Further, on page 55564, in the third column, under the heading “Appendix III Companies Determined To Be Part of the China-Wide Entity,” correct the list to include only the following companies:

1. Anji DaSol Solar Energy Science & Technology Co., Ltd.
2. Maodi Solar Technology (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.
3. Shenzhen Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Baoding Jiasheng Photovoltaic Technology Co. Ltd.; Baoding Tianwei Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Beijing Tianneng Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hainan Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Hengshui Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Lixian Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Yingli New Energy Resources Co., Ltd.; and Yingli Energy (China) Company Limited (Yingli Energy China).
4. Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: August 13, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2024–18516 Filed 8–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 89 FR at 4548.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–428–847]

Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks From Germany: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of forged steel fluid end blocks (fluid end blocks) from Germany at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022.

DATES: Applicable August 19, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Hoadley, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3148.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 7, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of this administrative review.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for these final results, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On July 23, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.³ The deadline for the final results of this administrative review is now August 9, 2024.

¹ See *Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from Germany: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission, in Part*; 2022, 89 FR 8409 (February 7, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from Germany; 2022,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is fluid end blocks from Germany.⁴ For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are listed in an appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Commerce evaluated the comments in the case and rebuttal briefs and record evidence and made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*. For a discussion of the comments, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
BGH Edelstahl Siegen GmbH	19.96

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce will disclose the calculations performed in connection with the final results to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of public announcement, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because we have made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*, there are no new calculations to disclose.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess,

⁴ See *Forged Steel Fluid End Blocks from the Federal Republic of Germany and Italy: Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination for the Federal Republic of Germany and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 86 FR 7528 (January 29, 2021) (*Order*).