information collection under Control Number 1219–0014.

OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal, and the current approval for this collection is scheduled to expire on January 31, 2014. The DOL seeks to extend PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) more years, without any change to existing requirements. The DOL also notes that existing information collection requirements submitted to the OMB receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the Federal Register on August 21, 2013 (78 FR 51748).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the address shown in the ADDRESSES section within 30 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. In order to help ensure appropriate consideration, comments should mention OMB Control Number 1219–0014. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: DOL–MSHA.
Title of Collection: Hazardous
Conditions Complaints.

OMB Control Number: 1219–0014. Affected Public: Individuals or households and private sector—not-forprofit institutions.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,431.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 2,431.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 486.

Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden: \$0.

Dated: November 14, 2013.

Michel Smyth,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 2013–27940 Filed 11–20–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,455; TA-W-82,455A; TA-W-82,455B; TA-W-82,455C; TA-W-82,455D]

First Advantage Corporation, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Tapfin, Staffworks, Aerotek Professional Services, Randstad, Insight Global, LLC and RemX Specialty Staffing, St. Petersburg, Florida; First Advantage Corporation, Charlotte, North Carolina, First Advantage Corporation, Bolingbrook, Illinois; First Advantage Corporation, Dallas, Texas; First Advantage Corporation, Alpharetta, Georgia; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 9, 2013, applicable to workers of First Advantage Corporation, St. Petersburg, Florida. The Department's notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 30, 2013 (78 FR 32464).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in talent acquisition services.

The company official reports that workers in Charlotte, North Carolina; Bolingbrook, Illinois; Dallas, Texas; and Alpharetta, Georgia have been separated or are threatened with separation due to the same shift of services to a foreign country that has contributed importantly to separations in St. Petersburg, Florida. The worker group includes workers tele-working from their homes reporting to these locations.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-82,455 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of First Advantage Corporation, including on-site leased workers from Tapfin, Staffworks, Aerotek Professional Services, Randstad, Insight Global, LLC, and RemX Specialty Staffing, St. Petersburg, Florida (TA–W–82,455), Charlotte, North Carolina (TA–W–82,455A), Bolingbrook, Illinois (TA–W–82,455B), Dallas, Texas (TA–W–82,455C), and Alpharetta, Georgia (TA–W–82,455D), who became totally or partially

separated from employment on or after February 11, 2012 through May 9, 2015, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through May 9, 2015 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 5th day of November 2013.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013–27935 Filed 11–20–13; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,697]

AT&T Corporation, a Subsidiary of AT&T Inc., Business Billing Customer Care, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated July 8, 2013, the Communication Workers of America Union, Local 13550, requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of AT&T Corporation, a subsidiary of AT&T Inc., Business Billing Customer Care, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The determination was issued on June 6, 2013. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the Federal Register on July 2, 2013 (78 FR 39776). Workers at the subject firm were engaged in activities related to the supply of billing inquiry and billing dispute resolution services.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings, with respect to Section 222(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (the Act), of no increased imports, during the relevant period, of services like or directly competitive with those supplied by the subject workers.

With respect to Section 222(a)(2)(B) of the Act, the initial investigation revealed that the subject firm has not shifted the supply of services like or directly competitive with the billing inquiry and billing dispute resolution services supplied by the workers to a foreign country or acquired the supply of like or directly competitive services from a foreign country.

Rather, the initial investigation confirmed that the worker separations