

automated. Use of on-line applications in the private sector also is fairly standard—such as applications to academic institutions; for car loans; for mortgage application and refinancing; for employment, and the like. Fully automating the FAA airman medical certification application process will improve efficiency, lead to reduced errors with applicant data, allow for more seamless processing, and save considerable resources by eliminating the recurrent cycle of printing, distributing, reprinting, and redistributing paper forms.

Individuals who may not be familiar with “FAA MedXpress” may access it on the FAA public Web site at <https://medxpress.faa.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 1, 2012.

Frederick E. Tilton,
Federal Air Surgeon.

[FR Doc. 2012-5655 Filed 3-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

20 CFR Parts 404 and 416

[Docket No. SSA-2011-0094]

Requiring Electronic Filing of Select Appeals by Certain Claimant Representatives

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Revised notification of implementation of requirement.

SUMMARY: We are revising the Notification of implementation of requirement we published on January 31, 2012 (77 FR 4653). We are clarifying the requirement that appointed representatives file certain appeals using our electronic systems in matters for which the representatives request direct fee payment. Specifically, we are clarifying that the electronic filing requirement includes both the submission of the forms we require to file the appeal request and the Disability Report—Appeal. This is the first service required under the regulation we published on September 12, 2011 (76 FR 56107), *Requiring Use of Electronic Services*.

DATES: The effective date of this revised notification of implementation of requirement is March 16, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joann S. Anderson, Social Security Administration, Office of Income Security Programs, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235-6401, (410) 965-6716.

For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1-800-772-1213 or TTY 1-800-325-0778, or visit our Internet site, Social Security Online, at <http://www.socialsecurity.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Requiring Electronic Filing of Appeals

On September 12, 2011, we published final rules that require representatives to conduct business with us electronically at the times and in the manner we prescribe on matters for which the representative requests direct fee payment. At the time, we did not require representatives to use any specific electronic service. Rather, in the preamble to the final rule (76 FR 56107), we stated that, “Once we determine that we should make a particular electronic service publicly available because it works well, we will publish a notice in the **Federal Register**. The notice will contain the new requirement(s) and a list of all established electronic service requirements.” We also said in the preamble that we would adjust the burden for affected Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved collections before requiring representatives to use the collections’ electronic versions. We published notices on December 1, 2011 (76 FR 74838) and January 31, 2012 (77 FR 4857) concerning the burden adjustment for the affected electronic services under OMB No. 0960-0144, Disability Report—Appeal, OMB No. 0960-0269 (Request for Hearing by Administrative Law Judge), and OMB No. 0960-0622, Request for Reconsideration.

On January 31, 2012, we published a notification of implementation of requirement in the **Federal Register** providing information about the first required electronic service under the final rules that we published in September 2011. We are now clarifying that requirement.

As of March 16, 2012, we will begin mandating electronic filing of certain appeals in each matter in which a representative requests direct payment of the authorized fee. This electronic filing requirement includes the filing of a request for reconsideration or for a hearing by an administrative law judge for disability claims under title II of the Social Security Act (Act) or Supplemental Security Income claims based on disability or blindness under title XVI of the Act denied for medical reasons. To satisfy this electronic filing requirement, the representative must submit both the request for reconsideration or hearing and the electronic Disability Report—Appeal,

using our Internet Appeals web portal found at www.socialsecurity.gov. The Internet Appeals web process utilizes electronic versions of OMB’s approved information collection instruments: the Request for Reconsideration (OMB No. 0960-0622), the Request for Hearing by Administrative Law Judge (OMB No. 0960-0269), and the Disability Report—Appeal (OMB No. 0960-0144).

A representative has an affirmative duty to comply with this requirement. We may investigate to determine if a representative purposefully violated this duty or is attempting to circumvent our rules. We may sanction a representative who does not follow these rules. However, we will not reject or delay a claimant’s request or process it differently if a representative fails to comply with this electronic filing requirement.

Claimants, whether they are represented or not, and representatives who are not eligible for or who do not request direct fee payment on a matter, may continue to file all appeal requests either electronically, on paper, or in any manner we prescribe.

Additional Information

Additional information is available on our Representing Claimants Web site at <http://www.ssa.gov/representation/or> it can be obtained by writing to: Social Security Administration, Office of Public Inquiries, Windsor Park Building, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 96.001, Social Security-Disability Insurance; 96.002, Social Security-Retirement Insurance; 96.004, Social Security-Survivors Insurance; and 96.006, Supplemental Security Income)

Dated: March 5, 2012.

Michael J. Astrue,
Commissioner of Social Security.

[FR Doc. 2012-5673 Filed 3-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[TD 9572]

RIN 1545-BK53

Dividend Equivalents From Sources Within the United States; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

ACTION: Temporary regulations; correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to temporary regulations (TD 9572), relating to dividend equivalents from sources within the United States.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 8, 2012 and is applicable January 23, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: D. Peter Merkel (202) 622-3870.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The temporary regulations that are the subject of these corrections are under section 1441 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, temporary regulations (TD 9572), published in the **Federal Register** on January 23, 2012 (77 FR 3108) contains errors which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

■ **Paragraph 1.** The authority citation for part 1 continues to read in part as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

§ 1.1441-4 [Amended].

■ **Par. 2.** Section 1.1441-4 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(3)(i) and (a)(3)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 1.1441-4 Exemptions from withholding for certain effectively connected income and other amounts.

(a) * * *

(3) * * *

(i) [Reserved]. For further guidance, see § 1.1441-4T(a)(3)(i).

* * * * *

(iii) [Reserved]. For further guidance, see § 1.1441-4T(a)(3)(iii).

* * * * *

§ 1.1441-4T [Amended]

■ **Par. 3.** Section 1.1441-4T is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a)(3)(i) to read as follows:

§ 1.1441-4T Exemptions from withholding for certain effectively connected income and other amounts (temporary).

(a) * * *

* * * * *

(3) *Income on notional principal contracts*—(i) *General rule.* Except as

otherwise provided in paragraph (a)(3)(iii) of this section, a withholding agent that pays amounts attributable to a notional principal contract described in § 1.863-7T(a) or § 1.988-2(e) shall have no obligation to withhold on the amounts paid under the terms of the notional principal contract regardless of whether a withholding certificate is provided. * * *

* * * * *

§ 1.1441-7 [Amended]

■ **Par. 4.** Section 1441-7 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (a)(3) and *Example 6* to read as follows:

§ 1.1441-7 General provision relating to withholding agents.

(a) * * *

(3) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the rules of paragraph (a) of this section:

* * * * *

Example 6. [Reserved]. For further guidance, see § 1.1441-7T(a)(3)

Example 6.

* * * * *

Guy R. Traynor,

Federal Register Liaison, Publication and Regulations, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 2012-5315 Filed 3-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1910

[Docket No. OSHA-2011-0183]

RIN 1218-AC64

Revising Standards Referenced in the Acetylene Standard

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor.

ACTION: Final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: OSHA is confirming the effective date of its direct final rule that revises the Acetylene Standard for general industry by updating the reference to a standard published by a standards-developing organization, the Compressed Gas Association. In the December 5, 2011, direct final rule, OSHA stated that it would withdraw the companion proposed rule and confirm the effective date of the direct final rule

if the Agency received no significant adverse comments. OSHA did not receive significant adverse comments on the direct final rule. Therefore, OSHA is confirming that the direct final rule will become effective on March 5, 2012.

DATES: The direct final rule published on December 5, 2011 (76 FR 75782), is effective on March 5, 2012. For the purposes of judicial review, OSHA considers March 5, 2012, as the date of issuance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

General information and press inquiries: Contact Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA Office of Communications, Room N-3647, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-1999.

Technical information: Contact Ken Stevanus, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Room N-3609, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2260; fax: (202) 693-1663.

Copies of this Federal Register notice.

Electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice are available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. This **Federal Register** notice, as well as news releases and other relevant information, also is available at OSHA's Web page at <http://www.osha.gov>.

ADDRESSES: In compliance with 28 U.S.C. 2112(a), OSHA designates the Associate Solicitor of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health as the recipient of petitions for review of the final standard. Contact Joseph M. Woodward, Associate Solicitor at the Office of the Solicitor, Room S-4004, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-5445.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 5, 2011, OSHA published a direct final rule (DFR) in the **Federal Register** that revised the Acetylene Standard for general industry by updating a reference to the Compressed Gas Association (GGA) acetylene standard (see 76 FR 75782). In the DFR, OSHA deleted reference to CGA G-1-2003 and replaced it with CGA G-1-2009. In that **Federal Register** document, OSHA also stated that it would confirm the effective date of the DFR if the Agency received no significant adverse comments.

OSHA received one comment on the DFR, which it determined was not a significant adverse comment. The commenter observed differences between provisions of the most recent Compressed Gas Association acetylene standard (CGA G-1-2009) and provisions of OSHA's oxygen-fuel gas