Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On June 6, 2016, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (81 FR 10656, March 1, 2016) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. 1 Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).2

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 30, 2016, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,3 and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before July 6, 2016 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information)

pertinent to the reviews by July 6, 2016. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's Handbook on E-Filing, available on the Commission's Web site at http://edis.usitc.gov, elaborates upon the Commission's rules with respect to electronic filing.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 20, 2016.

#### Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2016-14883 Filed 6-22-16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-16-022]

## **Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice**

### CHANGE OF TIME TO GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE MEETING

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

**DATE:** June 22, 2016. ORIGINAL TIME: 11:00 a.m.

**NEW TIME:** 9:30 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone:

 $(202)\ 205-2000.$ 

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

In accordance with 19 CFR 201.35(d)(2)(i), the Commission hereby gives notice that the Commission has determined to change the time of the meeting of June 22, 2016, from 11:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting. Earlier notification of this change was not possible.

By order of the Commission. Dated: June 20, 2016.

#### William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-14950 Filed 6-21-16; 11:15 am] BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (NIJ) Docket No. 1714]

## **Draft Test Procedures for the Gun** Safety Technology Challenge

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Justice, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) seeks feedback from the public on the draft failure definition and scoring criteria (FDSC) developed for the Gun Safety Technology Challenge, published here: http://www.nij.gov/ funding/pages/fy16-gun-safetychallenge.aspx. Evaluation of the test data will employ failure definition (FD) and scoring criteria (SC) to draw conclusions regarding the performance of the submitted firearms or firearms accessories. The document describes the FD and SC that will be used to "score" test events that occur during the testing of handguns, such as pistols and revolvers, in the Challenge.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on August 8, 2016.

How to Respond and What to Include: The draft FDSC document in both Word and pdf formats can be found here: http://www.nij.gov/funding/pages/fy16gun-safety-challenge.aspx. To submit comments, please send an email to gunsafetytechnology@usdoj.gov. Please indicate the page number, section number, and the line number associated with each comment. Comments may also be provided as a markup of the Word document. Please provide contact information with the submission of comments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chairman Broadbent and Commissioner Iohanson found that additional circumstances existed to warrant full reviews, and voted to conduct full reviews of the antidumping duty orders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by Weldbend Corporation and a joint response to the notice from Tube Forgings of America, Inc., Mills Iron Works, Inc., and Hackney Ladish, Inc., to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).