subject merchandise manufactured and exported by Guangxi Hengxian will be zero; (2) the cash deposit rate for subject merchandise exported by Guangxi Hengxian but not manufactured by it will continue to be the PRC–wide rate (i.e., 198.63 percent); and (3) the cash deposit rate for subject merchandise manufactured by Guangxi Hengxian but not exported by it will be the rate applied to the exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: October 25, 2004.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

## Appendix--Issues in Decision Memo Comments

*Issue 1:* Surrogate Value for Tin Cans and Tin Lids

Issue 2: Distance for Tin Cans

Issue 3: Miscellaneous Corrections [FR Doc. E4–2958 Filed 10–29–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-489-501]

Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Turkey: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 1, 2004. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Martin Claessens or Jim Terpstra at (202) 482–5451 or (202) 482–3965, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of a review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days and for the final results to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary results) from the date of the publication of the preliminary results.

#### Background

On June 30, 2004, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 69 FR 39409. The preliminary results are currently due no later than January 31, 2005.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

This administrative review covers a large group of production facilities and involves complex issues related to production costs and different types of transactions involving the sale of welded carbon steel pipe and tube. In addition, because this administrative review involves two affiliated companies that the Department has not previously reviewed, the Department must analyze large amounts of data to which it has not had access before now. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to May 31, 2005. This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: October 26, 2004.

#### Jeffrey A. May,

 $\label{lem:continuous} \begin{array}{l} \textit{Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import} \\ \textit{Administration.} \end{array}$ 

[FR Doc. E4–2954 Filed 10–29–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [C-122-839]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit for preliminary results of countervailing duty new shipper review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 1, 2004. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4793.

### **Background**

On July 8, 2004, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a new shipper review relating to the countervailing duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada, covering the period January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003. See Certain Softwood Lumber From Canada: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review for the Period May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004, and Notice of Initiation of Countervailing Duty New Shipper Review for the Period January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2003, July 8, 2004 (69 FR 41229). The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Seed Timber's antidumping new shipper review was subsequently rescinded as a result of the