

an Internet chat room where respondents provide "live" day trading advice during the day on when to buy and sell stocks. The "Daily Picks Newsletter," and the "Hot Small Caps Newsletter" are in the form of e-mails delivered once per day which contain advice for stock trading. Respondents advertise on their Internet Web site, www.daytradingintl.com. This matter concerns allegedly deceptive representations of the earnings and profit potential, as well as the extent of risk involved in using respondents' stock trading program.

The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that respondents made unsubstantiated claims that users of respondents' "Live Interactive Trading Room" program can reasonably expect to achieve profits on their trades more than 85 percent of the time and achieve substantial profits on a consistent basis (e.g., \$500 per trade, two or three times each day); and that users of respondents' "Daily Picks Newsletter" program can reasonably expect to make short term trades, held one to five days, that achieve a rate of return of between two percent and ten percent per trade.

In addition, the complaint alleges that respondents misrepresented that users of their trading programs can reasonably expect to trade stocks profitably with little or no risk. The complaint also alleges that respondents misrepresented that since January 1996, their "Daily Picks Newsletter" program has returned an average of 167 percent annually and that during 1996 and 1997, their "Hot Small Caps Newsletter" program returned an average annual return of 214 percent. The complaint explains that respondents did not begin to offer the "Daily Picks Newsletter" or "Hot Small Caps Newsletter" until 1998.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent respondents from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I of the proposed order requires respondents to have a reasonable basis substantiating any representation about the percentage, ratio, or number of trades that a user of any trading program could reasonably expect to be profitable; the amount of earnings, income, or profit that a user of any trading program could reasonably expect to attain; the rate of return that a user of any trading program could reasonably expect to attain or the length of time over which such a rate of return could reasonably be expected; or the past performance of a trading program. Part I also requires respondents to possess a reasonable basis substantiating claims about any

financial benefit or other benefit from any trading program.

Part II of the proposed order prohibits respondents from misrepresenting that since January 1996, respondents' "Daily Picks Newsletter" program has returned an average of 167 percent annually or that during 1996 and 1997, respondents' "Hot Small Caps Newsletter" program returned an average annual return of 214 percent. It also prohibits respondents from misrepresenting that users of any trading program can reasonably expect to trade with little or no financial risk and from misrepresenting the extent of risk to which users of any such program are exposed.

Part III of the proposed order requires respondents to disclose, clearly and conspicuously, "DAY TRADING involves high risks and YOU can LOSE a lot of money," in close proximity to any representation they make about the financial benefits of any day trading program. This disclosure is in addition to, and not instead of, any other disclosure that respondents may be required to make.

Parts IV-VII of the proposed order require respondents to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements; to provide copies of the order to certain personnel; to notify the Commission of changes in their employment status and any changes in the name of their business for a period of ten years; and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part VIII provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years under certain circumstances.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-11458 Filed 5-5-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 002-3053]

Ellery Coleman; Analysis to Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Consent Agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or

deceptive or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Gurwitz or Michael Ostheimer, FTC/H-238, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-3272 or 326-2699.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and § 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for May 1, 2000), on the World Wide Web, at "<http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/formal.htm>." A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room H-130, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-3627.

Public comment is invited. Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580. Two paper copies of each comment should be filed, and should be accompanied, if possible, by a 3½ inch diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. Such comments or views will be considered by the Commission and will be available for inspection and copying at its principal office in accordance with Section 4.9(b)(6)(ii) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 4.9(b)(6)(ii)).

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

The Federal Trade Commission has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from Ellery Coleman, individually and

doing business as Granite Investments ("respondent").

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement or make final the agreement's proposed order.

Respondent sells and distributes various computer software programs and training for buying and selling S&P futures contracts on a daily basis. Respondent advertises on his Internet Web site, *www.choicedaytrades.com*. This matter concerns allegedly deceptive representations of the earnings and profit potential, as well as the extent of risk involved in using respondent's trading methods.

The Commission's proposed complaint alleges that respondent made unsubstantiated claims that users of his S&P futures trading programs can reasonably expect to achieve substantial profits on a consistent basis (*e.g.*, \$25,000 per futures contract); that specific trades or investments enumerated in respondent's advertisements were actually made and resulted in the substantial profits stated in the advertisements; and that testimonials appearing in the advertisements for respondent's S&P futures trading programs reflect the typical or ordinary experience of members of the public who use the programs.

In addition, the complaint alleges that respondent misrepresented that users of his S&P futures trading programs can reasonably expect to trade profitably with little financial risk; that testimonials appearing in the advertisements for his S&P futures trading programs reflect the actual experiences of consumers who have used the programs; that he personally uses his S&P futures trading programs to trade profitably on his own behalf; and that the trades recommended by his S&P futures trading programs, as enumerated in the advertisements, were actually made in many cases.

The proposed consent order contains provisions designed to prevent respondent from engaging in similar acts and practices in the future.

Part I of the proposed order requires respondent to have a reasonable basis substantiating any representation that users of his S&P futures trading programs can reasonably expect to achieve substantial profits on a consistent basis; that specific trades or

investments were actually made and resulted in substantial profits; about the amount of earnings, income, profit or the rate of return that a prospective user of any trading program could reasonably expect to attain; about the percentage, ratio, or number of trades that a prospective user of respondent's S&P futures trading programs could reasonably expect to be profitable; or about any financial benefit or other benefit from any trading programs offered by respondent.

Part II of the proposed order prohibits respondent from misrepresenting that users of any trading program can reasonably expect to trade profitably with little or no financial risk; that respondent personally uses his trading programs to trade on his own behalf; whether trades recommended by respondent's trading programs were actually made or were hypothetical; that any testimonial or endorsement of respondent's trading programs or training reflects the testimonialist's or endorser's actual experience and current opinions, findings, beliefs, or experiences; or from misrepresenting the extent of risk to which users of any trading program are exposed.

Part III of the proposed order requires respondent to disclose, clearly and conspicuously, "FUTURES TRADING [or STOCK, CURRENCY, OPTIONS, ETC., as applicable] TRADING involves high risks and YOU can LOSE a lot of money," in close proximity to any representation he makes about the financial benefits of any trading program. This disclosure is in addition to, and not instead of, any other disclosure that respondent may be required to make.

Part IV of the proposed order prohibits respondent from representing without a reasonable basis that the experience represented by any user, testimonial or endorsement of any trading program represents the typical or ordinary experience of members of the public who use the program; or respondent must disclose either what the generally expected results would be for users of the trading program, or the limited applicability of the endorser's experience to what users may generally expect to achieve, that is, that users should not expect to experience similar results.

Parts V–XI of the proposed order require respondent to keep copies of relevant advertisements and materials substantiating claims made in the advertisements; to provide copies of the order to certain personnel; to notify the Commission of changes in Granite Investments that may affect the order; to notify the Commission of changes in

respondent's employment status for a period of ten years; and to file compliance reports with the Commission. Part X provides that the order will terminate after twenty (20) years under certain circumstances.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the agreement and proposed order or to modify in any way their terms.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–11457 Filed 5–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 002 3085]

CompuTrade LLC, et al.; Analysis To Aid Public Comment

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Proposed consent agreement.

SUMMARY: The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint that accompanies the consent agreement and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 30, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be directed to: FTC/Office of the Secretary, Room 159, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Gurwitz or Michael Ostheimer, FTC/H–238, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. (202) 326–3272 or 326–2699.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 38 Stat. 721, 15 U.S.C. 46 and § 2.34 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (16 CFR 2.34), notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the