AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM: 15 U.S.C. 1512.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

(1) A record from this system of records may be disclosed to the Trade Promotion Coordination Committee (TPCC) partner agencies trade professionals.

- (2) In the event that a system of records maintained by the Department to carry out its functions indicates a violation or potential violation of law or contract, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute or particular program statute or contract, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto, or the necessity to protect an interest of the Department, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, State, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute or contract, or rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto, or protecting the interest of the Department.
- (3) A record from this system of records may be disclosed in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- (4) A record in this system of records may be disclosed to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- (5) A record in this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice in connection with determining whether disclosure thereof is required by the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552).
- (6) A record in this system of records may be disclosed to a contractor of the Department having need for the information in the performance of the contract, but not operating a system of records within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- (7) A record from this system of records may be disclosed to the Administrator, General Services, or his designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in

accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.* GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

#### STORAGE:

Records are maintained in computer processible storage media, such as computer hard drives, magnetic disc, tape, in file folders, and on paper lists and forms.

#### RETRIEVABILITY:

Records are submitted to the system by registrants to Export.gov. Each registrant chooses a unique username and password that enables the registrant to retrieve and edit their record only. Registrant records are transferred (or retrieved) from the central database by participating Federal Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee Agencies (TPCC) via Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP). The security of the data transmissions between agencies are protected through the use of an encryption key shared between the International Trade Administration and its partner agency(ies).

## SAFEGUARDS:

Records and servers are located in a locked, climate controlled server room within the Department of Commerce. Access to this room and server is limited to those whose official duties require access.

## RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

All records shall be retained and disposed of in accordance with National Archives and Records Administration regulations (36 CFR Subchapter B—Records Retention); Departmental directives and comprehensive records schedules.

## SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Same as listed under **SYSTEM LOCATION.** 

## NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Information may be obtained from: Chief Information Office, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 4800, Washington, DC 20230.

#### **RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Requests from individuals should be addressed to the same address as stated in the Notification section above.

#### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The Department's rules for access, for contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned appear in 15 CFR part 4b. Use address contained in the notification section.

#### **RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Information in this system is provided by the individual on whom the record is maintained.

Dated: December 11, 2003.

#### Brenda Dolan.

Department of Commerce, , Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–31095 Filed 12–16–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–25–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Economic Development Administration**

## Award for Excellence in Economic Development—Request for Comments

**ACTION:** Proposed Information Collection, comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 350(C)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before February 17, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hyneck, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Nat Wienecke, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Congressional Liaison, Program Research and Evaluation, Room 7824, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–5631.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## I. Abstract

EDA provides a broad range of economic development assistance to help distressed communities design and implement effective economic development strategies. Part of this assistance includes disseminating information about best practices and encouraging collegial learning among economic development practitioners. EDA has created the Award for Excellence in Economic Development to recognize outstanding economic development activities of national importance. In order to make Awards for Excellence in Economic Development, EDA must collect two kinds of information: (a) information identifying the nominee and contacts within the organization being nominated and (b) information explaining why the nominee should be given the award. The information will be used to determine those applicants best meeting the preannounced selection criteria. Use of a nomination form standardizes and limits the information collected as part of the nomination process. This makes the competition fair and eases any burden on applicants and reviewers alike. Participation in the competition is voluntary. The award is strictly honorary.

## II. Method of Collection

As part of the development of the Award for Excellence in Economic Development, EDA has designed a short nomination form. Nominees will submit the form to EDA, where they will be screened for completeness and forwarded to the Selection Panel for review. The information will be used by the Selection Panel to determine those applicants best meeting the pre announced selection criteria. The Selection Panel will include: three representatives of the economic development practitioner community; one member from academe; three representatives of the Economic Development Administration; and up to two at-large members.

#### III. Data

OMB Number(s): 0610–0097. Form Number: Not applicable. Burden: 150 hours.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement of previously-approved collection.

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal government and not-for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 150.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$11,180.

#### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information

is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the equality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection, they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 12, 2003.

#### Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03–31143 Filed 12–16–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–34–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570–803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review in Accordance with Court Decision

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review

SUMMARY: On July 28, 2003, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) redetermination on remand of the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. See Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States, Slip Op. 03-92 (CIT July 28, 2003) (Fujian II). Because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final results of review.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone:(202) 482–3936.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On August 11, 1999, the Department published a notice of the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. See Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China; Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, 64 FR 43659 (August 11, 1999) (Final Results). Subsequent to the Department's Final Results, the respondent filed a lawsuit with the CIT challenging these results. Thereafter, the CIT issued an Order and Opinion dated September 28, 2001, in Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States, 178 F. Supp. 2d 1305 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2001) (Fujian I), remanding several issues to the Department. Among the issues remanded in Fujian I, the CIT ordered the Department to issue separate rates for Fujian Machinery Import & Export Corporation (FMEC) and Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation (SMC). See Fujian I, 178 F. Supp. 2d at 1336. Pursuant to Fujian I, the Department filed its remand results on February 20, 2002, issuing separate rates for FMEC and SMC. The CIT reviewed and affirmed the Department's final results of redetermination in Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States, Slip Op. 03–92 (CIT July 28, 2003) (Fujian II). On August 6, 2003, we published a notice of court decision. See Notice of Decision of the Court of International Trade: Heavy Forged Hand Tools From the People's Republic of China, 68 FR 46582 (August 6, 2003).

The time period for appealing the CIT's final decision has expired and no party has appealed this decision.

Therefore, in accordance with Fujian II, and because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final results in this matter and we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries, as appropriate, in accordance with our remand results.

## **Amendment to Final Results**

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), there is now a final and conclusive court decision with respect to litigation