

on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: June 25, 2010.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2010–16079 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### **Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1391.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

##### **Upcoming Sunset Reviews for August 2010**

There are no Sunset Reviews scheduled for initiation in August 2010.

For information on the Department’s procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews, *See* 19 CFR 351.218. This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department’s conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department’s

Policy Bulletin 98.3, *Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*; *Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Dated: June 23, 2010.

**John M. Andersen,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2010–16084 Filed 6–30–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–533–838]

#### **Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 22, 2009, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 (CVP 23) from India. The review covers exports of this merchandise to the United States by Alpanil Industries (Alpanil) for the period December 1, 2007, through November 30, 2008. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, we have modified the margin calculation. The final weighted-average margin is listed below in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* July 1, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerrold Freeman at (202) 482–0180 or Richard Rimlinger at (202) 482–4477, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On December 22, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of review on CVP 23 from India and invited interested parties to comment. *See Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Preliminary Results of*

*Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 68038 (December 22, 2009). On January 21, 2010, Alpanil, the sole respondent, filed a case brief in which the company raised two issues. On January 26, 2010, the petitioners<sup>1</sup> filed a rebuttal brief. We did not receive a request for a hearing.

The period of review is December 1, 2007, through November 30, 2008. We have conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act).

##### **Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is CVP 23 identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358–30–1, with the chemical name of diindolo [3,2–b:3',2'–m]<sup>2</sup> triphenyldioxazine, 8,18-dichloro-5, 15-diethyl-5, 15-dihydro-, and molecular formula of C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (e.g., dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (e.g., pigment dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the order. The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.90.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

##### **Analysis of the Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by interested parties to this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (Decision Memo) from Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary John M. Andersen to Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Paul Piquado dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memo is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Decision Memo, which is a public document, is on file in the Central Records Unit, main Department of

<sup>1</sup> Nation Ford Chemical Company and Sun Chemical Corporation.

<sup>2</sup> The bracketed section of the product description, [3,2–b:3',2'–m], is not business-proprietary information. In this case, the brackets are simply part of the chemical nomenclature. *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India*, 69 FR 77988 (December 29, 2004) (*Antidumping Duty Order*).