

users to establish deposit accounts with NTIS for payment of interlibrary loan fees. Subject to a reduction for the actual costs of performing the invoicing service by NTIS, all funds will be returned to NAL for credit to the appropriations account charged with the cost of processing the interlibrary loan request.

Dated: January 13, 2012.

**Edward B. Knipling,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Research Service.*

[FR Doc. 2012-1251 Filed 1-20-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-03-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Utilities Service

#### 7 CFR Parts 1724 and 1726

RIN 0572-AC20

#### Electric Engineering, Architectural Services, Design Policies and Construction Standards

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) is amending the contract threshold amounts that require borrowers to use certain prescribed agency contract forms and the contract dollar amounts that require RUS review of contracts prior to the contract being effective. Also, RUS is raising the threshold amounts requiring RUS borrowers to use certain required procurement methods for materials, equipment and contract services that otherwise would require RUS prior approval. The changes in the threshold amounts will reduce the number of contracts reviewed by the RUS.

**DATES:** This rule is effective February 22, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donald Junta, USDA-Rural Utilities Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Stop 1569, Washington, DC 20250-1569. Telephone (202) 720-3720 or email to [donald.junta@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:donald.junta@wdc.usda.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Executive Order 12866

This rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866 and, therefore, has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

##### Executive Order 12988

This final rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. RUS has determined that this rule meets the applicable

standards in § 3 of the Executive Order. In addition, all state and local laws and regulations that conflict with this final rule will be preempted; no retroactive effect will be given to the final rule; and in accordance with § 212(e) of the Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act of 1994 (7 U.S.C. 6912(e)), administrative appeal procedures, if any, must be exhausted before litigation against the Department or its agencies may be initiated.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

It has been determined that the Regulatory Flexibility Act is not applicable to this rule since the Agency is not required by 5 U.S.C. 551 *et seq.*, or any other provision of law to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to the subject matter of this rule.

#### Information Collection and Recordkeeping Requirements

This rule contains no additional reporting or recordkeeping burdens under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control numbers 0572-0107 and 0572-0118 that would require approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

#### Executive Order 13132

This rule will not have any substantial direct effect on states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Under Executive Order 13132, this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications requiring the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

#### Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The program described by this rule is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs under number 10.850, Rural Electrification Loans and Loan Guarantees. This catalog is available on the Internet and the General Services Administration's (GSA) free CFDA Web site at <http://www.cfda.gov>.

#### Executive Order 12372

This rule is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs," as implemented under USDA's regulations at 7 CFR part 3015.

#### Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Over the last year, the Agency has conducted extensive tribal consultations related to the implementation of the

Substantially Underserved Trust Area (SUTA) provisions of the 2008 Farm Bill. During those consultations all RUS programs were discussed. A specific regulation on SUTA is being prepared.

The policies contained in this rule do not impose substantial unreimbursed compliance costs on Indian Tribal governments or have tribal implications that preempt tribal law.

#### Unfunded Mandates

This rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995) for State, local, and tribal governments for the private sector. Thus, this rule is not subject to the requirements of §§ 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

#### National Environmental Policy Act Certification

This rule has been examined under RUS environmental regulations at 7 CFR part 1794. The Administrator has determined that this rule is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the environment. Therefore, in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), an Environmental Impact Statement or Assessment is not required.

#### E-Government Act Compliance

RUS is committed to complying with the E-Government Act, to promote the use of the Internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes.

#### Background

The Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 901-950b (REAct)), as amended, establishes the authority for RUS to provide loans and loan guarantees to eligible entities for furnishing electric service to rural areas. The standard loan agreement between RUS and its electric borrowers provides that, in accordance with applicable RUS regulations, the borrower shall use standard forms of contracts promulgated by RUS for construction, procurement, engineering services and architectural services for transactions above the established threshold dollar levels ("threshold levels").

Electric borrowers are also expected to obtain RUS approvals for procuring materials, equipment and contracting services for use in the electric systems where the contract amount exceeds specified threshold levels.

Threshold levels that apply to contracts entered into by borrowers

were initially established to capture significant transactions that could adversely affect RUS loan security. The threshold levels were most recently revised in 1995. Cost increases and inflation over time have greatly increased the need for more approvals than is consistent with the earlier threshold levels. The result has been increased delay to the borrowers in receiving RUS approvals and increased workloads at RUS. Inflation is not the only relevant variable in the RUS proposal to modify the threshold levels. In this rule RUS has also considered the level of sophistication in borrowers' operations, RUS staff constraints and competing priorities within RUS. The need to adjust the threshold levels is a result of these considerations.

In response to borrowers' requests and mindful of the directives in Executive Order 13563 of January 18, 2011, to determine if any regulations should be modified to make agencies' regulations less burdensome in achieving their objectives, RUS undertook an examination of certain thresholds used in determining when the use of prescribed forms and approvals would apply. RUS examined the number of contracts it reviewed over the last several years. RUS also reviewed the rate of inflation factors published in the Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs in order to adjust for inflation occurring after the existing requirements were established.

As a result of this review, RUS determined that although it remains necessary and appropriate to continue these requirements as one means of oversight of its borrowers' financial and operational activities, the existing threshold levels should be raised. Raising these threshold levels will reduce the volume of contracts that borrowers will be required to submit for RUS approvals. Doing so will reduce the paperwork burdens on borrowers and the administrative burdens on RUS.

RUS is revising these threshold levels an average of 300 percent. RUS estimates that the revision will reduce the volume of contracts it receives pursuant to these requirements by 50 percent.

The Agency published a proposed rule on May 17, 2011, at 76 FR 28333 proposing to amend the contract threshold requirements.

#### Discussion of Comments and Changes

RUS received two submissions electronically on this proposed rule by the July 18, 2011, comment deadline. The first submission was received from the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association (NRECA). The submission

is summarized below with the Agency's responses as follows:

*Issue 1:* Commenter proposed that the amounts in the proposed regulation be established as a "base case," and escalated annually using the Handy Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs.

*Response:* The Agency does not concur at this time. The Agency believes that the threshold limits set forth are appropriate at this time. The Agency may re-evaluate the threshold limits at a later date.

*Issue 2:* Commenter proposed a clarifying change that a statement be added to the regulation that a borrower's voluntary use of an RUS form contract when not required by this proposed regulation does not subject the contract to RUS review and approval.

*Response:* Agency concurs. A clarifying statement is not needed if the RUS form contract is not required.

*Issue 3:* Commenter proposed allowing a borrower to seek RUS' prior permission to use negotiated bidding in the case where the formal or informal competitive bidding required in 7 CFR 1726.125(b)(2) is not practical.

*Response:* Agency does not concur. The current rule allows "multiparty negotiations" to be used in 7 CFR 1726.125 (b)(3), which is similar to the comment received to allow "negotiated bidding."

The second submission was received from Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State). Tri-State stated in their submission that "The proposed rules will increase Tri-State efficiency and reduce burdens on Tri-State." Tri-State also said in their submission that "RUS should adopt the Proposed Rules."

*Response:* Agency concurs. The proposed regulation will increase efficiency and reduce burdens on all RUS borrowers.

#### List of Subjects

##### 7 CFR Part 1724

Electric power, Loan programs-energy, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

##### 7 CFR Part 1726

Electric power, Loan programs-energy, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

For reasons set forth in the preamble, RUS amends chapter XVII of title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

#### PART 1724—ELECTRIC ENGINEERING, ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES AND DESIGN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1724 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*, 1921 *et seq.*, 6941 *et seq.*

##### Subpart E—Electric System Design

■ 2. Section 1724.54 is amended by revising paragraph (e)(2) and paragraph (g)(2) to read as follows:

##### § 1724.54 Requirements for RUS approval of plans and specifications.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) \* \*

(2) The borrower shall obtain RUS approval, prior to issuing invitations to bid, of the terms and conditions for all generating plant equipment or construction contracts which will cost \$5,000,000 or more. Unless RUS approval is required by paragraph (a) of this section, plans and specifications for generating plant equipment and construction do not require RUS approval.

\* \* \* \* \*

(g) \* \* \*

(2) The borrower shall obtain RUS approval, prior to issuing invitations to bid, of the terms and conditions for communications and control facilities contracts which will cost \$1,500,000 or more. Unless RUS approval is required by paragraph (a) of this section, plans and specifications for communications and control facilities do not require RUS approval.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### PART 1726—ELECTRIC SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

■ 3. The authority citation for part 1726 continues to read as follows:

*Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*, 1921 *et seq.*, 6941 *et seq.*

##### Subpart A—General

■ 4. Section 1726.14 is amended by revising the definition of "minor modification or improvement" to read as follows:

##### § 1726.14 Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Minor modification or improvement* means a project the cost of which is \$150,000 or less, exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Subpart B—Distribution Facilities**

■ 5. Section 1726.50 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.50 Distribution line materials and equipment.**

(a) *Contract forms.* (1) The borrower shall use RUS Form 198, Equipment Contract, for purchases of equipment where the total cost of the contract is more than \$1,000,000.

(2) The borrower may, in its discretion, use RUS Form 198, Equipment Contract, or a written purchase order equal to \$1,000,000 or less for purchases of equipment, and for all materials.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 6. Section 1726.51 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1) and (b)(2) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.51 Distribution line construction.**

(a) \* \* \*

(1) The borrower may use RUS Form 790, Electric System Construction Contract—Non-Site Specific Construction, under the following circumstances:

(i) For contracts for which the borrower supplies all materials and equipment; or

(ii) For non-site specific construction contracts accounted for under the work order procedure; or

(iii) If neither paragraph (a)(1)(i) or (a)(1)(ii) of this section are applicable, the borrower may use RUS Form 790 for contracts, up to a cumulative total of \$500,000 or one percent of net utility plant (NUP), whichever is greater, per calendar year of distribution line construction, exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(1) It is the responsibility of each borrower to determine the procurement method that best meets its needs to award contracts in amounts of up to a cumulative total of \$750,000 or one percent of NUP, whichever is greater, per calendar year of distribution line construction (including minor modifications or improvements), exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment.

(2) In addition to the cumulative total stipulated in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, a borrower may use Multiparty Unit Price Quotations to award contracts in amounts of up to a cumulative total of \$1,000,000 or 1.5 percent of NUP, whichever is greater, per calendar year of distribution line construction (including minor modifications or improvements),

exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Subpart C—Substation And Transmission Facilities**

■ 7. Section 1726.76 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.76 Substation and transmission line materials and equipment.**

(a) *Contract forms.* (1) The borrower shall use RUS Form 198, Equipment Contract, for purchases of equipment where the total cost of the contract is \$1,000,000 or more.

(2) The borrower may, in its discretion, use RUS Form 198, Equipment Contract, or a written purchase order for purchases of equipment of less than \$1,000,000 and for all materials.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 8. Section 1726.77 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1) and (c) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.77 Substation and transmission line construction.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(1) It is the responsibility of each borrower to determine the procurement method that best meets its needs to award contracts not requiring RUS approval in amounts of up to a cumulative total of \$750,000 or one percent of NUP (not to exceed \$5,000,000), whichever is greater, per calendar year of substation and transmission line construction (including minor modifications or improvements), exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Contract approval.* Individual contracts in the amount of \$750,000 or more or one percent of NUP (not to exceed \$1,500,000 for distribution borrowers or \$4,500,000 for power supply borrowers), whichever is greater, exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment, are subject to RUS approval.

**Subpart D—Generation Facilities**

■ 9. Section 1726.125 is amended by revising paragraphs (a), (b)(1), and (b)(2) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.125 Generating plant facilities.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(a) *Contract forms.* (1) The borrower shall use RUS Form 198, Equipment Contract, for the purchase of generating plant equipment in the amount of

\$5,000,000 or more and for any generating plant equipment contract requiring RUS approval.

(2) The borrower shall use RUS Form 200, Construction Contract—Generating, for generating project construction contracts in the amount of \$5,000,000 or more and for any generating project construction contract requiring RUS approval.

(3) The borrower may, in its discretion, use other contract forms or written purchase order forms for those contracts in amounts of less than \$5,000,000 and that do not require RUS approval.

(b) *Procurement procedures.* (1) It is the responsibility of each borrower to determine the procurement method that best meets its needs to award contracts in amounts of less than \$5,000,000 each.

(2) If the amount of the contract is \$5,000,000 or more or if the contract requires RUS approval, the borrower must use formal or informal competitive bidding to award the contract.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Subpart E—Buildings**

■ 10. Section 1726.150 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.150 Headquarters buildings.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) *Procurement procedures.* A borrower may use Multiparty Lump Sum Quotations to award contracts in amounts of up to a cumulative total of \$750,000 or one percent of NUP (not to exceed \$5,000,000), whichever is greater, per calendar year of headquarters construction (including minor modifications or improvements). The borrower shall use formal competitive bidding for all other headquarters contract construction.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Subpart F—General Plant**

■ 11. Section 1726.176 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(2)(i) and (b)(3) to read as follows:

**§ 1726.176 Communications and control facilities.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) *Procurement procedures.* (i) It is the responsibility of each borrower to determine the procurement method that best meets its needs to award contracts not requiring RUS approval in amounts of up to a cumulative total of \$750,000 or one percent of NUP (not to exceed \$5,000,000), whichever is greater, per calendar year of communications and control facilities construction (including minor modifications or improvements),

exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment.

\* \* \* \* \*

(3) *Contract approval.* Individual contracts in amounts of \$750,000 or more or one percent of NUP (not to exceed \$1,500,000 for distribution borrowers or \$4,500,000 for power supply borrowers), whichever is greater, exclusive of the cost of owner furnished materials and equipment, are subject to RUS approval.

Dated: January 11, 2012.

**James R. Newby,**

*Acting Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.*

[FR Doc. 2012-1157 Filed 1-20-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 10 CFR Part 50

[NRC-2008-0554]

RIN 3150-AI35

### American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Codes and New and Revised ASME Code Cases; Corrections

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correcting amendments.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is correcting the preamble, or statements of consideration (SOC), and the codified text in a final rule that was published in the **Federal Register** on June 21, 2011 (76 FR 36232). The final rule amended the NRC's regulations to incorporate by reference various editions and addenda to the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, and the ASME Code for Operation and Maintenance of Nuclear Power Plants (OM Code). The final rule also incorporated by reference (with conditions on their use) ASME B&PV Code Cases N-722-1 and N-770-1. This document is necessary to correct typographical, formatting, and punctuation errors.

**DATES:** The correction is effective on January 23, 2012 and applicable to July 21, 2011, the date the original rule became effective. The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the rule was approved by the Director of the Office of the Federal Register as of July 21, 2011.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: (301) 492-3667 or email: *Cindy.Bladey@nrc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NRC published a final rule in the **Federal Register** on June 21, 2011 (76 FR 36232), amending the NRC's regulations to incorporate by reference various editions and addenda to the ASME B&PV, and the OM Code. The final rule also incorporated by reference (with conditions on their use) ASME B&PV Code Cases N-722-1 and N-770-1. This document is necessary to correct typographical, formatting, and punctuation errors in both the SOC and the codified text. Also, as published, the final regulations contain errors which may prove to be misleading and need to be clarified. The following corrects the SOC to the June 21, 2011 document:

1. On page 36241, in the second column, third paragraph, the first sentence after *Comment* is corrected to read as follows:

The NRC should reconsider the change specifying that Section E-1200 is not acceptable.

2. On page 36258, in the third column, the last paragraph, through page 36259, first column, the first paragraph, is corrected to read as follows:

The conditions in § 50.55a(b)(3)(i), (b)(3)(ii), and (b)(3)(iv) continue to apply to the 2005 and 2006 Addenda because the earlier ASME OM Code provisions that these regulations are based on were not revised in the 2005 and 2006 Addenda of the ASME OM Code to address the underlying issues which led the NRC to impose the conditions on the ASME OM Code.

3. On page 36265, in the third column, the third paragraph is corrected to read as follows:

Paragraph (g)(6)(ii)(F)(10) is a new condition as a result of incorporating Code Case N-770-1 in lieu of Code Case N-770. General Note (b) of Figure 5(a) in Code Case N-770-1 permits the use of an alternative examination volume for welds mitigated by optimized weld overlays. This alternative examination volume was not issued as part of the proposed rule and, therefore, this condition in the final rule prohibits the use of the alternative examination volume. While the NRC does not have a technical objection to General Note (b) of Figure 5(a), licensees must obtain NRC authorization to use the alternative examination volume pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(i) or (ii).

4. On page 36266, in the second column, second paragraph, the second sentence is corrected to read as follows:

Also, some of the terminology used and some details in this AMP are based on the 1992 Edition.

5. On page 36266, in the third column, first paragraph, the second sentence is revised to read as follows:

A license renewal applicant may either augment its AMPs in these areas, as described in the GALL report, or propose alternatives (exceptions) for the NRC to review as part of a plant-specific program element justification for its AMP. The GALL Revision 1, in AMP XI.M11A, provides an acceptable approach for aging management—through inservice inspection—of PWR nickel-alloy upper vessel head penetration nozzles.

#### List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 50

Antitrust, Classified information, Criminal penalties, Fire protection, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nuclear power plants and reactors, Radiation protection, Reactor siting criteria, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553, the NRC is adopting the following amendment to 10 CFR part 50.

### PART 50—DOMESTIC LICENSING OF PRODUCTION AND UTILIZATION FACILITIES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 50 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 102, 103, 104, 105, 161, 182, 183, 186, 189, 68 Stat. 936, 937, 938, 948, 953, 954, 955, 956, as amended, sec. 234, 83 Stat. 444, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2201, 2232, 2233, 2236, 2239, 2282); secs. 201, as amended, 202, 206, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended, 1244, 1246 (42 U.S.C. 5841, 5842, 5846); sec. 1704, 112 Stat. 2750 (44 U.S.C. 3504 note); Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-58, 119 Stat. 194 (2005). Section 50.7 also issued under Pub. L. 95-601, sec. 10, 92 Stat. 2951 as amended by Pub. L. 102-486, sec. 2902, 106 Stat. 3123 (42 U.S.C. 5841). Section 50.10 also issued under secs. 101, 185, 68 Stat. 955, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2131, 2235); sec. 102, Pub. L. 91-190, 83 Stat. 853 (42 U.S.C. 4332). Sections 50.13, 50.54(dd), and 50.103 also issued under sec. 108, 68 Stat. 939, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2138).

Sections 50.23, 50.35, 50.55, and 50.56 also issued under sec. 185, 68 Stat. 955 (42 U.S.C. 2235). Sections 50.33a, 50.55a and Appendix Q also issued under sec. 102, Pub. L. 91-190, 83 Stat. 853 (42 U.S.C. 4332). Sections 50.34 and 50.54 also issued under sec. 204, 88 Stat. 1245 (42 U.S.C. 5844). Sections 50.58, 50.91, and 50.92 also issued under Pub. L. 97-415, 96 Stat. 2073 (42 U.S.C. 2239). Section 50.78