

39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Dassault Aviation: Docket 2000–NM–335–AD.

Applicability: Model Mystere-Falcon 50 series airplanes, certificated in any category, serial numbers 222 to 286 inclusive, 288, 290, and 291.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fuel leaks from the feeder tanks, which could result in fuel vapors in the cabin that could come into contact with ignition sources, accomplish the following:

Leak Testing

(a) Within 7 months after the effective date of this AD: Perform a feeder tank leak test by sampling at the drain ports of frames 29 and 31, in accordance with Work Card No. 686.3/1 of the Dassault Falcon 50 Maintenance Manual, Revision 7, dated August 2001. Repeat the leak test at intervals not to exceed 13 months, until accomplishment of paragraph (c) of this AD.

Corrective Action

(b) If the feeder tank leak test indicates that a leak is present: Prior to further flight, renew the seal, in accordance with Work Card No. 686.4/1 of the Dassault Falcon 50 Maintenance Manual, Revision 7, dated August 2001.

Modification

(c) Within 78 months since the date of manufacture of the airplane: Rework the seals of the double-skin feeder tanks at frames 28 and 31, in accordance with Dassault Service Bulletin F50–328, dated May 31, 2000. Accomplishment of the rework terminates the requirements of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

Special Flight Permits

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directive 2000–163–030(B), dated April 19, 2000.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 26, 2001.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01–32194 Filed 12–31–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001–NM–209–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 767 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 767 series airplanes. This proposal would require an inspection of the tripod strut assembly of the inboard support of the leading edge slat of the wing for a preload condition, and follow-on actions. For certain airplanes, this proposal also would require inspection and replacement of the existing tripod struts with new, adjustable struts, if necessary. This action is necessary to prevent damage to the tripod strut assembly due to a preload condition, which could result in loss of control of the inboard leading edge slat or separation of the slat from the airplane, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended

to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by February 19, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001–NM–209–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227–1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain “Docket No. 2001–NM–209–AD” in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Craycraft, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2782; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.

- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2001-NM-209-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001-NM-209-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The airplane manufacturer has informed the FAA that damaged bushings were found in the tripod strut assembly of the inboard support of the leading edge slat of the wings of a Model 767 series airplane in production. The damage was due to preload in the tripod assembly during installation. The tripod assembly is used to support the inboard leading edge slat and is the primary inboard-outboard load path of the slat. Loss of primary inboard-outboard load path for the slat can result in an unstable slat-to-wing connection, and separation of the slat from the airplane. Such conditions, if not corrected, could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57A0058, Revision 1, dated May 27, 1999, which describes procedures for a check (inspection) of the tripod strut assembly of the inboard support of the leading edge slat of the wing for a preload condition, and follow-on actions. The follow-on actions include:

- If no preload condition is found, a visual inspection of the components in the fitting assembly to determine if bushing holes are round.
- Replacement of the fitting assembly if the bushing holes are not round.

- If a preload condition is found, a high frequency eddy current inspection of the lug bore and base of the fitting assembly for cracking.

- Rework of the fitting assembly if no cracking is found, or if cracking is found in the lug bore only.

- Replacement of the fitting assembly if cracking is found in the lug base or the lug bore and base.

- Adjustment of the tripod struts, if necessary, to eliminate preload condition, and a check of the rigging of the inboard leading edge slat, and re-rigging if necessary.

- For certain airplanes, inspection for improperly cut and spliced struts, and strut replacement, if necessary.

The FAA also has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0037, dated January 14, 1993. For Group 2 airplanes (as defined in the service bulletin) the service bulletin describes procedures for doing a visual inspection of the tripod struts of the inboard leading edge of the wings to determine if they have been cut and spliced, and replacement with new, adjustable struts if the existing struts are cut and spliced with fewer than six h-luks.

Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of certain actions specified in the service bulletins described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Bulletins

The service bulletins do not specify what type of visual inspection of the tripod assembly and tripod struts should be used. The FAA has determined that the procedures in the service bulletins describe a general visual inspection. Note 2 of this proposed AD defines that type of inspection.

Other differences include the following:

- Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57A0058, Revision 1, specifies doing a "check" for preload, however, this proposed AD uses the term "general visual inspection."

- The compliance time for doing the actions specified in the Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57A0058, Revision 1, is within 5,000 flight cycles or 24 months

after the receipt of the service bulletin, whichever comes first. The airplane manufacturer has informed us that "whichever comes first" is an error in the compliance time and would put certain airplanes immediately out of compliance. The correct compliance time is "whichever comes later," and this proposed AD requires that compliance time.

- The effectivity in Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0037 specifies line numbers 1 through 469 inclusive. The airplane manufacturer has informed us that line numbers 1 through 159 inclusive had a fixed strut which was not cut and spliced or preloaded. Line numbers 160 through 469 inclusive may have had a fixed strut which was cut and spliced, and if it was not cut and spliced it was still subject to being preloaded. Therefore, the affected line numbers are 160 through 469 inclusive, and those line numbers are included in this proposed AD.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 379 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 136 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspections of the tripod strut assembly and bushing holes, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspections proposed by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$8,160, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Should an operator be required to accomplish the rework of the fitting assembly, it would take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed rework, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed rework would be \$240 per airplane.

Should an operator be required to accomplish the high frequency eddy current inspection, it would take

approximately 5 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed inspection would be \$300 per airplane.

Should an operator be required to accomplish the replacement of the main strut support fitting, it would take approximately 14 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement (on both the left and right wings of the airplane, excluding the time for gaining access and closing up), at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour.

Required parts would cost approximately \$12,380 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed replacement would be \$13,220 per airplane.

Should an operator be required to accomplish the inspection for improperly cut and spliced struts, it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspection of the struts proposed by this AD would be \$60 per airplane.

Should an operator be required to accomplish the replacement of a cut and spliced strut with a new, adjustable tripod strut, it would take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement proposed by this AD would be \$240 per airplane.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by

contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 2001–NM–209–AD.

Applicability: Model 767 series airplanes, line numbers 160 through 541 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent damage to the tripod strut assembly due to a preload condition, which could result in loss of control of the inboard leading edge slat or separation of the slat from the airplane, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Inspections

(a) For all airplanes: Before the accumulation of 5,000 total flight cycles or within 24 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever is later: Do a general visual inspection (check) of the tripod strut assembly of the inboard leading edge slat of each wing for a preload condition, per Figure 2 of Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57A0058, Revision 1, dated May 27, 1999.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect

obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or drop-light and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

(1) If no preload condition is found, before further flight, inspect the fitting assembly bushing holes for roundness, per Figure 5 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(i) If all the bushing holes are round, before further flight, do the inspection required by paragraph (c) of this AD.

(ii) If any bushing hole is not round, before further flight, do the inspections required by paragraphs (b) and (c) of this AD.

(2) If a preload condition is found, before further flight, do the inspections required by paragraphs (b) and (c) of this AD.

Follow-on Actions

(b) For airplanes subject to paragraph (a)(1)(ii) or (a)(2) of this AD: Do a high frequency eddy current inspection of the fitting assembly lug for cracking, per Figure 6 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57A0058, Revision 1, dated May 27, 1999.

(1) If no cracking is found, or if cracking is found in the lug bore only, before further flight, rework the fitting assembly lug per Figure 7 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(2) If cracking is found in the fitting lug base or the lug bore and base, before further flight, purge the auxiliary fuel tank and replace the fitting assembly lug per Figure 8 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(c) For airplanes subject to paragraph (a)(1)(i), (a)(1)(ii), or (a)(2) of this AD: Do a general visual inspection of the bushing holes of the main strut assembly to determine if the bushing holes are round, per Figure 9 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57A0058, Revision 1, dated May 27, 1999.

(1) If the bushing holes are round, before further flight, assemble the tripod assembly per Figure 11 or Figure 12, as applicable, of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(2) If the bushing holes are not round, before further flight, replace the main strut fitting assembly per Figure 10 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin, then assemble the tripod assembly per Figure 11 or Figure 12, as applicable, of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

Note 3: Inspections and follow-on actions done before the effective date of this AD per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767–57A0058, dated June 11, 1998, are considered acceptable for compliance with the applicable actions specified in this AD.

Inspection/Replacement of Tripod Struts

(d) For Group 2 airplanes that have not accomplished Boeing Service Bulletin 767–57–0037, dated January 14, 1993: Before further flight after doing the inspections and follow-on actions required by paragraphs (a),

(b), and (c) of this AD, do a general visual inspection of the tripod struts to determine if they have been cut and spliced, per the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(1) If the tripod struts have been cut and spliced with fewer than six hi-loks, before further flight, replace with new, adjustable struts, per Figure 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(2) If the tripod struts have not been cut and spliced, or they have been cut and spliced with six hi-loks, no further action is required by this paragraph.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permit

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 26, 2001.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-32195 Filed 12-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-39-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-34-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-100B SUD, 747-200B, 747-200F, 747-300, 747SP, and 747SR Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-100B SUD, 747-200B, 747-200F, 747-300, 747SP, and 747SR series airplanes. This proposal would require one-time inspections for cracking in

certain upper deck floor beams and follow-on actions. This action is necessary to find and fix cracking in certain upper deck floor beams. Such cracking could extend and sever floor beams adjacent to the body frame and result in rapid depressurization of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by February 19, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001-NM-34-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2001-NM-34-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 for Windows or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Kawaguchi, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-1153; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.

- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.

- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2001-NM-34-AD." The postcard will be date-stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001-NM-34-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports of fatigue cracking on the left and right ends of the upper chord of the station (STA) 340 upper deck floor beam on several Boeing Model 747 series airplanes. Also, during fatigue tests on a Boeing 747SR test airplane, multiple cracks up to 0.3 inch long were found in both the left and right ends of the upper chord of the STA 340 floor beam. On certain Boeing Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-100B SUD, 747-200B, 747-200F, 747-300, 747SP, and 747SR series airplanes, the STA 340 upper deck floor beam, as well as the floor beam at STA 360, are made from 7075 aluminum. Other upper deck floor beams on these models are made from 2024 aluminum, which is known to be more durable than 7075 aluminum against fatigue. Cracking of the upper deck floor beam at STA 340 or STA 360, if not corrected, could extend and sever floor beams adjacent to the body frame and result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.