

6. Should the Commission prescribe a standard, or principles to govern the selection of Transfer Transmission Facilities for purposes of cost allocation?

7. Should the Commission require public utility transmission providers to use a portfolio approach for selecting Transfer Transmission Facilities to meet a minimum amount of Interregional Transfer Capability?

8. What rules, if any, should the Commission promulgate with regard to establishing a cost allocation method for Transfer Transmission Facilities?

a. What are the advantages and disadvantages of the Commission requiring a specific ex ante regional and/or interregional cost allocation method for Transfer Transmission Facilities?

b. What are the advantages and disadvantages of the Commission requiring a specific ex post regional and/or interregional cost allocation method or a hybrid (i.e., part ex ante and part ex post) for Transfer Transmission Facilities?

c. Should the Commission decline to prescribe an ex ante or ex post cost allocation method for applicable public utility transmission providers, what process should govern the establishment

of cost allocation rules for any particular Transfer Transmission Facility?

9. What role should state and local governmental entities play in the public utility transmission provider process for selection and cost allocation for Transfer Transmission Facilities?

Should the states' role in selection and cost allocation be determined by the drivers of the need for a minimum requirement for Transfer Transmission Facilities? For example, if the Transfer Transmission Facilities are planned to serve public policy goals, such as renewable generation deployment, should the states have a role in cost allocation, such as that proposed in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in RM21-17?

10. Are there barriers to the ability of interregional merchant transmission facilities in providing a minimum amount of Interregional Transfer Capability? For example, do contractual or tariff limitations prevent merchant interregional high-voltage direct current transmission facilities from supporting reliability during extreme events?

Panelists

- Kris Zadlo, Chief Development Officer, Grid United

- Travis Kavulla, Vice President Regulatory Affairs, NRG Energy, Inc.
- Shashank Sane, Executive Vice President, Transmission, Invenergy
- Rob Gramlich, Founder and President, Grid Strategies, LLC
- Andrew French, Commissioner, Kansas Corporation Commission
- J. Arnold Quinn, Chief Economist, Vistra Corp.

4:45 p.m.–5:00 p.m.: Closing Remarks [FR Doc. 2022-26474 Filed 12-5-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Notice of Termination of Receiverships

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC or Receiver), as Receiver for each of the following insured depository institutions, was charged with the duty of winding up the affairs of the former institutions and liquidating all related assets. The Receiver has fulfilled its obligations and made all dividend distributions required by law.

NOTICE OF TERMINATION OF RECEIVERSHIPS

Table with 5 columns: Fund, Receivership name, City, State, Termination date. Rows include ANB Financial, NA; Integrity Bank; Corn Belt Bank & Trust Company; Bankunited, FSB; Hillcrest Bank Florida; Citizens Bank & Trust Company of Chicago; The Bank of Asheville; American Trust Bank; THE Enloe State Bank.

The Receiver has further irrevocably authorized and appointed FDIC-Corporate as its attorney-in-fact to execute and file any and all documents that may be required to be executed by the Receiver which FDIC-Corporate, in its sole discretion, deems necessary, including but not limited to releases, discharges, satisfactions, endorsements, assignments, and deeds. Effective on the termination dates listed above, the Receiverships have been terminated, the Receiver has been discharged, and the Receiverships have ceased to exist as legal entities.

(Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1819)

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Dated at Washington, DC, on December 1, 2022.

James P. Sheesley,

Assistant Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-26505 Filed 12-5-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

[No. 2022-N-15]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Housing Finance Agency.

ACTION: 60-Day notice of submission of information collection for approval from Office of Management and Budget.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) is seeking public comments concerning an information collection known as the "National Survey of Mortgage Originations" (NSMO), which has been assigned control number 2590-0012 by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). FHFA intends to submit the information collection to OMB for review and approval of a three-year extension of the control number, which is due to expire on June 30, 2023.