This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

Issued: July 12, 2013. By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2013–17175 Filed 7–17–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-855]

Certain Sintered Rare Earth Magnets, Methods of Making Same and Products Containing Same; Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting an Unopposed Motion by Complainants; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 130) granting complainants' unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to remaining respondents Beats Electronics, LLC of Santa Monica, California ("Beat"); Bosch Security Systems, Inc. of Burnsville, Minnesota ("Bosch"); and Callaway Golf Co. of Carlsbad, California ("Callaway") based upon withdrawal of the complaint, and terminating the investigation in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired

persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 21, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Hitachi Metals, Ltd. of Tokyo, Japan and Hitachi Metals North Carolina, Ltd. of China Grove, North Carolina (collectively, "Hitachi Metals"). 77 FR 58578 (Sept. 21, 2012). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain sintered rare earth magnets, methods of making same and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,461,565; 6,491,765; 6,527,874; and 6,537,385. The notice of investigation named several entities as respondents but only Beat, Bosch, and Callaway remain in the investigation.

On June 4, 2013, Hitachi Metals filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents Beat, Bosch, and Callaway. Because Beat, Bosch, and Callaway are the only remaining respondents in the investigation, Hitachi Metals also moved for termination of the investigation in its entirety. On June 5, 2013, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses to the motion were filed.

On June 13, 2013, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motion and terminating the investigation in its entirety. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a) (19 CFR 210.21(a)) and that no extraordinary circumstances prohibited granting the motion. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: July 12, 2013. By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton.

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2013–17174 Filed 7–17–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-0086]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Renewal of Previously Approved Collection; Comments Requested: Attorney Student Loan Repayment Program Electronic Forms

ACTION: 60-Day Notice.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Office of Attorney Recruitment and Management (OARM), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until September 16, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in the notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20530.

Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202–395–7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used:

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other