

include the effect that remedial orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Written submissions including proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on May 16, 2003. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on May 23, 2003. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must

request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See § 201.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.16 and 210.42 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, 19 CFR 210.16 and 210.42.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: April 28, 2003.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 03-10812 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

April 23, 2003.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation, contact Darrin

King on (202) 693-4129 or E-Mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for MSHA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

Title: Miner Operator Dust Cards.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

OMB Number: 1219-0011.

Frequency: On occasion; annually; and bi-monthly.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping; reporting; and third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 1,049.

Requirement	Annual responses	Frequency	Average response time (hours)	Annual burden hours
Mine Operator Duct Data Cards	39,000	Bi-monthly	see below	see below
Prepare and Approve Sampler Unit (28,000):		Bi-monthly	0.8333	23,332
Operational Checks/Monitoring (39,000):		Bi-monthly	0.17	6,630
Complete Data Card (39,000)		Bi-monthly	0.25	9,750
Sampling by Contractor	2,600	Bi-monthly	0	0
Reporting of Sampling Dates	400	On occasion	1	400
Dust Sampling Certification:				
Training and Exam	160	On occasion	8	1,280
Exam Only	40	On occasion	1.5	60
Status Change Reports	3,800	On occasion	0.42	1,596
Dust Control Plan (30 CFR 71.300):				
New Plans	24	On occasion	3	72
Revised Plans	5	On occasion	1.25	6
Copy and Mailing Plan	n/a	On occasion	0.17	5
Posting of Dust Control Plan	29	On occasion	0.25	7
Dust Control Plan (30 CFR 90.300):				

Requirement	Annual responses	Frequency	Average response time (hours)	Annual burden hours
New Plans	1	On occasion	3	3
Revised Plans	1	On occasion	1.25	1
Copy and Mailing Plan	n/a	On occasion	0.17	0.0
Providing Dust Control Plan to Part 90 Miners	2	On occasion	0.42	1
Total	46,062			43,144

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$1,009,454.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$1,714,547.

Description: 30 CFR, 70.201(c), 71.201(c), and 90.201(c), authorizes the District Manager to require the mine operator to submit the date on which sampling will begin. Only a certified person is allowed to conduct the respirable dust sampling required by these parts.

Sections 70.202(b), 71.202(b), and 90.202(b), requires that the person must pass the MSHA examination on sampling of respirable coal mine dust.

Sections 70.220(a), 71.220(a), requires the operator to report status changes to MSHA in writing within 3 working days after the status change has occurred.

Sections 70.209, 71.209, and 90.209, requires persons who are certified by MSHA to take respirable dust samples to complete the dust data card that accompanies each sample being submitted for analysis.

Sections 71.300 and 90.300 require a coal mine operator to submit to MSHA for approval a written respirable dust control plan with 15 calendar days after the termination data of a citation for violation of the applicable dust standard.

Section 71.301(d) requires the respirable dust control plan to be posted on the mine bulletin board however, 90.301(d) prohibits posting of the dust control plan for part-90 miners and, instead, requires a copy be provided to the affected part-90 miner.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-10863 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-42,336]

Power One, Boston, MA; Notice of Termination of Certification

This notice terminates that Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply For Worker Adjustment Assistance issued by the Department on February 19, 2003, for all workers of Power One located in Boston, Massachusetts. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 10, 2003 (68 FR 11410).

The Department, at the request of the State agency, reviewed the certification for workers for Power One in Boston, Massachusetts. Findings show that workers of the subject firm produced DC/DC power supplies.

The certification review revealed that workers of Power One are covered by an existing certification, TA-W-39,768, issued on October 31, 2001. While that certification noted that the Power One workers are located in Allston, Massachusetts, the Department has learned that Allston is used synonymously with Boston.

Since the workers of Power One, located in Boston, Massachusetts, also known as Allston, Massachusetts, are covered by an existing certification, the continuation of this certification would serve no purpose and the certification has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 13th day of March, 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03-10861 Filed 5-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the ETA 191, Statement of Expenditures and Financial Adjustments of Federal Funds for Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees and Ex-Servicemembers. A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee section below on or before July 1, 2003. The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;