

facilities. Owners and operators must also submit periodic reports (semiannual or according to the schedule for Title V), and leak detection and repair (LDAR) semiannual reports which could be submitted with the periodic reports. The specific monitoring and recordkeeping requirements will vary for each of the four source categories depending on the required control equipment and monitoring equipment. All records and reports are to be maintained by the facility for a minimum of five years.

All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 133 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; to train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; to search data sources; to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities:

Owners or operators of acetal resins production, acrylic and modacrylic fibers production, hydrogen fluoride production, and polycarbonates production.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 10.

Frequency of Response: On occasion, semiannually, and initially.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 4,004 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: \$438,560, which includes \$0 annualized Capital/startup costs, \$107,414 in annual O&M costs, and \$331,146 in Respondent Labor costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is a decrease of 73 hours in the estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This is due to minor calculation errors in the active ICR. There is an increase in the labor costs associated with this ICR, which is due to an updated hourly wage rate that is provided by the United States Department of Labor. Because there are no new sources with reporting requirements, no capital/startup costs are incurred. A cost of \$107,414 per year, is incurred for operation and maintenance of the emission monitoring equipment with this ICR.

Dated: September 21, 2005.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 05-19866 Filed 10-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7532-9]

Toxics Release Inventory 2006 Burden Reduction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA's Toxics Release Inventory Program is today announcing its intent to explore potential approaches for modification of the reporting frequency of facilities that report to TRI. Among the options to be considered is alternate year reporting, which would require Congressional notification as discussed in section 313(i) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA). EPA has notified Congress, as required by this provision, of its intent to initiate a rulemaking to modify TRI reporting frequency. Today's notice is in addition to the Agency's recent proposal, Toxics Release Inventory Burden Reduction Proposed Rule elsewhere in Today's **Federal Register**, designed to reduce reporting burden on facilities reporting to TRI by increasing eligibility for the Form A Certification Statement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Ackerman,
ackerman.suzanne@epa.gov, 202-564-4355, Office of Public Affairs. Details and additional information will also be posted on EPA's TRI Web site, <http://www.epa.gov/tri>, as they become available.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the coming months, the Agency will give

consideration to additional measures that would provide burden relief to TRI reporting facilities on an every other year basis. These options may include a modification in reporting frequency as discussed in EPCRA section 313(i). 42 U.S.C. 11023(i). In order to modify TRI reporting frequency, section 313(i) of EPCRA requires EPA to make a finding that modifying the reporting frequency is consistent with the purposes of the TRI as listed in section 313(h) of EPCRA. See, 42 U.S.C. 11023(h). This finding must be based on previous experience gained from past TRI reporting, the extent to which the public has used TRI data, the extent to which information is readily available from other sources and the extent to which the change would impose additional and unreasonable burdens on reporting facilities. 42 U.S.C. 11023(i)(2)-(3). As outlined in EPCRA, the Agency must first notify Congress of its intent to initiate a rulemaking to modify the reporting frequency. After notifying Congress, EPA must delay initiating the rulemaking for 12 months but no more than 24 months. In following the process described in EPCRA to make such a change, the Agency recently notified Congress of its intent to initiate a rulemaking to modify the reporting frequency. EPA's Assistant Administrator Kimberly T. Nelson mailed the letter below to the following individuals: The Honorable Richard B. Cheney, President, United States Senate, The Honorable William H. Frist, Majority Leader, United States Senate, The Honorable Harry Reid, Minority Leader, United States Senate, The Honorable J. Dennis Hastert, Speaker, United States House of Representatives, The Honorable Tom DeLay, Majority Leader, United States House of Representatives, The Honorable Nancy Pelosi, Minority Leader, United States House of Representatives, and The Honorable David M. Walker, Comptroller General of the United States, Government Accountability Office.

Since the late 1980s, the United States (U.S.) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) program has been an important source of public information on releases of toxic chemicals and a successful tool in our efforts at promoting pollution prevention. Under Section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) of 1986, facilities annually report data to EPA on releases and transfers of certain listed toxic chemicals, which EPA compiles and makes available to the public. The Pollution Prevention Act (PPA) of 1990

expanded the program to include information on other waste management activities such as recycling of chemicals. In addition, EPA has several times expanded the scope of the program by rulemaking by doubling the number of covered chemicals, adding seven industrial sectors, and significantly lowering reporting thresholds for chemicals identified as persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic (PBT). EPA believes that each of these expansions has increased the usefulness of the TRI data to the public and furthered the statutory goals of the program.

Over the years, EPA has been mindful of the reporting burden this program imposes on covered facilities. In 1994, EPA introduced "Form A" to streamline reporting for small dischargers. In July of this year, we finalized a rule that would revise the TRI reporting forms to eliminate information not used, simplify reporting codes and improve the accuracy of facility identification and location data by using the data already available in EPA's information systems. In addition, EPA will soon publish a proposed rule to expand the use of Form A to allow more facilities to use the short form while retaining the full Form R reporting on over 99% of releases and other waste management activities. Both of these efforts involved extensive consultations with all program stakeholders and help address the concerns expressed about the reporting burden under TRI.

The purpose of this letter is to inform you of the third and final phase of our current efforts to reduce burden and streamline program operations. Specifically, we believe a rulemaking to modify the reporting frequency from annual to biennial deserves further consideration. Not only would alternate year reporting result in significant burden reduction for covered facilities, citizens would benefit from the redirection of federal and state taxpayer dollars to improve the quality, clarity, usefulness and accessibility of TRI information products and services. EPCRA Sec 313(i) authorizes EPA to make such a modification, but only after providing at least one-year's advance notification to Congress before initiating a rulemaking and only after making several specific findings, which we address below. Accordingly, we are notifying you that we plan to initiate a rulemaking to consider modifications to the reporting frequency for the TRI program within 12 to 24 months after the date of this letter. Over the next 12 months, EPA plans to continue its consultations with stakeholders in order to gather the data necessary to support the statutory determinations required

under the law and to ensure the rulemaking appropriately balances the needs of data users with the concerns of data reporters and states.

We are taking this step because we believe that alternate year reporting not only offers burden reduction, but also offers other potential advantages that merit consideration. First, EPA and states would be able to use the saved resources from the non-reporting years to improve the TRI database and conduct additional analyses that would enhance the value of the data to the public. For example, EPA could enhance its TRI reporting software, TRI-Made Easy, thereby improving data quality and consistency; conduct analyses of data trends, sector or chemical specific patterns of waste management, innovations in pollution prevention, and risk implications of toxic chemical releases thereby making the TRI data more useful to citizens, communities, researchers and government agencies; and improve its web-based software to make the data more accessible and user friendly and to improve opportunities for Internet-based reporting. Internet reporting provides savings not only to reporters, but also to taxpayers as it reduces EPA and State processing costs and allows us to meet Paperwork Reduction and Electronic Government requirements. It also provides greater confidence to both reporters and data users in the integrity of the data by increasing the use of electronic data quality checks.

Alternate year reporting would provide more simplified burden reduction to TRI reporters than many options previously considered. For instance, a common complaint about Form A is that it requires a significant amount of time to track and calculate data to determine eligibility. Alternate year reporting, in contrast, would eliminate in non-reporting years all burden for eligible reporters. Although EPA believes that a carefully structured alternate year reporting provision could provide substantial benefits to both data users and data reporters, EPA also recognizes that there will be legitimate concerns about data loss during the non-reporting years and will carefully consider those concerns as we develop any proposals for public comment and consideration.

EPA will be examining the impact on data users carefully as it addresses the statutory requirements for modifying reporting frequency. Specifically, EPCRA requires one finding and three determinations before changing the reporting frequency. The required finding is that any modification is consistent with the intended uses of the

TRI data as described in Sec 313(h), while the determinations are designed to ensure that EPA give full consideration to: (1) The impact of the modifications on data users, including State and local governments, health professionals, the general public, other federal agencies and EPA itself; (2) the availability of the data from other sources; and (3) the impact of the modifications on data reporters. EPA intends to gather data related to these issues during the next 12 months, prior to initiating a rulemaking.

EPA believes that this action will enhance data quality and user friendliness by supplementing existing data with additional analysis. EPA looks forward to working with all stakeholders in the coming year to gather the necessary information to ensure that any modification of TRI reporting frequency considers the needs of TRI data users and will consider a range of options to minimize impacts.

Enclosed for your benefit is a fact sheet with more details about the TRI program. Should you have any questions or would like to provide your views, please contact me at 202-564-6665 or your staff may contact James Blizzard in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations.

Dated: September 21, 2005.

Kimberly T. Nelson,

Assistant Administrator for Office of Environmental Information and, Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05-19709 Filed 10-3-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPT-2004-0096; FRL-7731-3]

Asbestos-Containing Materials in Schools; State Request for Waiver from Requirements; Notice of Final Decision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA is issuing a final decision which approves the request from Illinois for a waiver from the Agency's asbestos-in-schools program. A waiver of these requirements is granted since EPA has determined, after notice and comment and opportunity for a public hearing, that Illinois is implementing or intends to implement a program of asbestos inspection and management for schools that is at least as stringent as EPA's program. This notice announces the official grant of the waiver.