

work towards ensuring that the voices and needs of communities are elevated and centered in decision making.

As part of this broader effort to assess progress on environmental justice, the Environmental Justice Scorecard also will measure progress made towards the Justice40 Initiative. In Executive Order 14008, President Biden set of a goal of ensuring that 40 percent of the overall benefits of certain Federal investments—those made in climate, clean energy and energy efficiency, clean transit, affordable and sustainable housing, training and workforce development, the remediation and reduction of legacy pollution, and the development of critical clean water infrastructure—flow to disadvantaged communities that are marginalized and overburdened by pollution and underinvestment in basic services.

This RFI is part of the Administration's commitment to ensuring that environmental justice efforts within the Federal Government, including the development of the Environmental Justice Scorecard, are informed by the priorities and perspectives of communities that face environmental injustices. By soliciting input through this RFI, CEQ seeks to provide transparency about the Federal Government's vision, goals, and process so that the public is better able to monitor the government's progress and hold the government accountable for delivering results.

II. Key Questions for Input

A. Vision

i. The vision for the Environmental Justice Scorecard is as a robust and comprehensive assessment of the Federal Government's efforts to address current and historic environmental injustice, including the Justice40 Initiative.

ii. Question

1. Does this vision reflect the needs and priorities of communities that face environmental injustices?

B. Framework

i. In the first version of the Environmental Justice Scorecard, Federal Government activities will be organized in three reporting categories.

1. *Reducing Burdens and Harms in Communities*: This category would measure the regulatory, enforcement, and other actions taken to reduce harms and environmental injustices.

2. *Benefits to Communities*: This category would measure the Administration's progress on implementation of the Justice40 Initiative, among other environmental justice efforts.

3. *Centering Justice in Decision Making*: This category would capture measures taken to reform agency decision making to incorporate the perspectives, priorities, and lived experiences of environmental justice communities.

ii. Questions

1. Do these categories broadly reflect the needs, priorities, and impacts that communities are facing from environmental injustices?

2. For the first version of the Environmental Justice Scorecard, what processes and markers of progress should be reflected in each of these categories?

3. In the long term, what are the desired outcomes that could be included in each of these categories?

C. Engagement

i. Please provide recommendations on how to improve engagement with, and around, the Environmental Justice Scorecard. In particular, what are ways to improve sharing information about the Environmental Justice Scorecard?

ii. For a future website, what are some usability and accessibility features that should be considered for an online platform?

D. Additional feedback

i. Please provide additional feedback on the vision, framework, and outcomes of the Environmental Justice Scorecard. Feedback on the vision for the first version, and on future versions, is welcome.

Matthew G. Lee-Ashley,
Chief of Staff.

[FR Doc. 2022-16635 Filed 8-2-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3325-F2-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No. ED-2022-SCC-0092]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; Charter School Programs Application; State Entity Grants, Developer Grants, and Charter Management Organization Grants

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education (OESE), Department of Education (Department or ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ED is requesting the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to conduct review of an extension of an information collection.

DATES: The Department requested emergency processing from OMB for this information collection request (ICR) and received approval on July 5, 2022; and therefore, the regular clearance process is hereby being initiated to provide the public with the opportunity to comment under the full comment period. Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before September 2, 2022.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for proposed information collection requests should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this information collection request (ICR) by selecting "Department of Education" under "Currently Under Review," then check the "Only Show ICR for Public Comment" checkbox. *Reginfo.gov* provides two links to view documents related to this information collection request. Information collection forms and instructions may be found by clicking on the "View Information Collection (IC) List" link. Supporting statements and other supporting documentation may be found by clicking on the "View Supporting Statement and Other Documents" link.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to these information collection activities, please contact Stephanie Jones, 202-453-7835.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department, in accordance with the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3506©(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed ICR that is described below. The Department is especially interested in public comments addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in

response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: Charter School Programs Application: State Entity Grants, Developer Grants, and Charter Management Organization Grants.

OMB Control Number: 1810-0767.

Type of Review: Extension of an information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: State, Local, and Tribal Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 365.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 21,900.

Abstract: The Expanding Opportunity Through Quality Charter Schools Program (CSP) is authorized under Title IV, Part C of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (20 U.S.C. 7221–7221j). On March 14, 2022, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a Notice of Proposed Priorities, Requirements, Definitions, and Selection Criteria for CSP Grants to State Entities (SE Grants), Grants to Charter Management Organizations for the Replication and Expansion of High-Quality Charter Schools (CMO Grants), and Grants to Charter School Developers for the Opening of New Charter Schools and for the Replication and Expansion of High-Quality Charter Schools (Developer Grants) (Vol. 87, No. 49, pages 14197–14210) (CSP NPP). Specifically, the Department proposed new priorities, application requirements, assurances, definitions, and selection criteria to create results-driven policies to help promote positive student outcomes, student and staff diversity, educator and community empowerment, promising practices, and accountability, including fiscal transparency and responsibility, in charter schools supported with CSP funds, which can serve as models for other charter schools. Based on the CSP NPP and public comments, the Department issued a notice of final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria for CSP SE Grants, CMO Grants and Developer Grants (CSP NFP), which published in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2022. The final priorities, requirements, definitions, and selection criteria in the CSP NFP are intended to supplement existing statutory and regulatory requirements governing CSP SE Grants, CMO Grants, and Developer Grants. The Charter School Programs Office of the Department is requesting continued approval of this information collection for CSP SE Grants, CMO Grants, and Developer Grants generally; and for the CSP NFP, which requires the submission of a needs analysis and

information regarding contracts with for-profit management organizations. The CSP (Assistance Listing Numbers (ALN) 84.282, including SE Grants (84.282A), CMO Grants (84.282M), and Developer Grants (84.282B and 84.282E)) is a competitive discretionary grant program. The grant applications submitted for this program are evaluated based on how well an applicant addresses the selection criteria (and any competitive preference priorities) and are used to determine applicant eligibility and award amounts for projects selected for funding.

Dated: July 28, 2022.

Kun Mullan,

PRA Coordinator, Strategic Collections and Clearance, Governance and Strategy Division, Office of Chief Data Officer, Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development.

[FR Doc. 2022-16544 Filed 8-2-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000; Revision to the List of Covered Facilities

AGENCY: Office of Health and Safety, U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of revision of listing of covered facilities.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE or Department) has periodically published in the **Federal Register** a list of facilities covered under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000, as amended (EEOICPA or Act). This Notice amends the list of covered facilities by removing the designation of Sciaky Brothers, Inc. (Chicago, Illinois), Swenson Evaporator Co. (Harvey, Illinois), and the Museum of Science and Industry (Chicago, Illinois) as Atomic Weapons Employer (AWE) facilities.

DATES: August 3, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Kevin Dressman, Director, Office of Health and Safety (EHSS-10), 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585; (301) 903-5144; or by email at kevin.dressman@hq.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This Notice amends the list of covered facilities under EEOICPA by removing the designation of Sciaky Brothers, Inc. in Chicago, Illinois; Swenson Evaporator Co. in Harvey, Illinois; and the Museum of Science and Industry in Chicago, Illinois, as AWE facilities.

Previous lists or revisions were published by DOE on February 17, 2016 (81 FR 8060); July 16, 2015 (80 FR 42094); February 11, 2013 (78 FR 9678); February 6, 2012 (77 FR 5781); May 26, 2011 (76 FR 30695); August 3, 2010 (75 FR 45608); April 9, 2009 (74 FR 16191); June 28, 2007 (72 FR 35448); November 30, 2005 (70 FR 71815); August 23, 2004 (69 FR 51825); July 21, 2003 (68 FR 43095); December 27, 2002 (67 FR 79068); June 11, 2001 (66 FR 31218); and January 17, 2001 (66 FR 4003).

Purpose

EEOICPA established a program to provide compensation to individuals who developed illnesses because of their employment in nuclear weapons production-related activities of the DOE or its predecessor agencies. Covered employees include, among others, current or former employees of an “atomic weapons employer” or “AWE”, also as defined by the Act. On December 7, 2000, the President issued Executive Order 13179, “Providing Compensation to America’s Nuclear Weapons Workers,” which directed DOE to list covered AWE facilities, DOE facilities, and beryllium vendor facilities in the **Federal Register**. The Department’s initial listing was published on January 17, 2001 (66 FR 4003), and DOE has periodically updated the listing as new information has become available.

Section 3621(4) of EEOICPA (42 U.S.C. 7384l(4)) defines an AWE as “an entity, other than the United States, that—(A) processed or produced, for use by the United States, material that emitted radiation and was used in the production of an atomic weapon, excluding uranium mining and milling; and (B) is designated by the Secretary of Energy as an [AWE] for purposes of the compensation program.” Section 3621(5) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 7384l(5)) defines an “atomic weapons employer facility” as “a facility, owned by an [AWE], that is or was used to process or produce, for use by the United States, material that emitted radiation and was used in the production of an atomic weapon, excluding uranium mining or milling.”

DOE has determined that Sciaky Brothers, Inc. in Chicago, Illinois; Swenson Evaporator Co. in Harvey, Illinois; and the Museum of Science and Industry in Chicago, Illinois, do not meet the statutory definition of AWE facilities because none of these entities processed or produced, for use by the United States, material that emitted radiation and was used in the production of an atomic weapon. Therefore, the designation of these three