

Dated: January 10, 2005.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region 8.

[FR Doc. 05-1031 Filed 1-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, January 25, 2005, at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g, 438(b), and title 26, U.S.C.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration.

Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee.

* * * * *

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, January 27, 2005, at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC (ninth floor).

STATUS: This meeting will be open to the public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Correction and Approval of Minutes.

Draft Advisory Opinion 2004-45: Senator Ken Salazar and Salazar for Senate, by Counsel, Marc E. Elias and Rebecca H. Gordon.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Definition of Agent for BCRA Regulations on Coordinated and Independent Expenditures and Non-Federal Funds or Soft Money (11 CFR 109.3 and 300.2(b)).

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on the *de Minimis* Exemption for Disbursement of Levin Funds by State, District, and Local Party Committees.

Final Rules on Contributions and Donations by Minors.

Routine Administrative Matters.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:

Mr. Robert Biersack, Press Officer, telephone: (202) 694-1220.

Mary W. Dove,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05-1169 Filed 1-14-05; 2:52 pm]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than February 1, 2005.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas
(W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201-2272:

1. *William Tyler Johnson, Jr.*, Sweetwater, Texas, to acquire additional voting shares of Mesa Financial Corporation, Sweetwater, Texas, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Texas National Bank, Sweetwater, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 12, 2005.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 05-1014 Filed 1-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be

available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than February 11, 2005.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Jacqueline G. Nicholas, Community Affairs Officer) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291:

1. *Glacier Bancorp, Inc.*, Kalispell, Montana; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Citizens Bank Holding Company, Pocatello, Idaho, and thereby indirectly acquire Citizens Community Bank, Pocatello, Idaho.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 12, 2005.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 05-1012 Filed 1-18-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Record of Decision

The General Services Administration (GSA) has published a Final Supplement to the 1992 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Del Rio Border Station Expansion, Del Rio, Texas. The Supplement to the 1992 Final EIS is entitled:

Supplement to the 1992 Del Rio Border Station Expansion Environmental Impact Statement—Increased Security Measures Associated With Phase III Expansion at the Del Rio Port of Entry; Del Rio, Val Verde County, TX

Decision

The GSA has decided to increase security at and around the Del Rio Port of Entry (POE) in accordance with measures outlined for heightened security along the nation's borders after the events of September 11, 2001. The

increased security measures would be implemented in conjunction with the Phase III expansion activities described in the 1992 Final EIS.

Purpose and Need

The purpose and need for the proposed action (as described in the 2004 Supplement to the 1992 EIS, pages 1–1–1–3, available at <http://public.geo-marine.com/>) are to better secure the border at the Del Rio POE complex while ensuring efficient flow of lawful traffic and commerce.

Issues

The 2004 Supplement to the 1992 EIS analyzed the potential impacts of implementing increased security measures at and around the Del Rio POE complex. Issues associated with the proposed increased security measures (identified through scoping) include land use, transportation, air quality, noise, socioeconomic (including environmental justice), and cultural resources. Issues eliminated from detailed analysis (due to relevancy to the proposed action or prior environmental review in the 1992 EIS) include soils, hydrology, vegetation and wildlife (including protected species), and public services and utilities.

Alternatives Considered

The following alternatives were analyzed to determine which best satisfied the purpose and need for the increased security measures.

Alternative 1—No Action Alternative

Under this alternative, no new security measures would be implemented to increase security at and around the Del Rio POE complex. This alternative would be considered environmentally preferable and would result in no land use, transportation, air quality, noise, socioeconomic (including environmental justice), or cultural resources impacts. However, implementing this alternative would not allow the GSA to increase security in accordance with measures outlined for heightened security along the nation's borders. The requirements for increased security were the primary consideration in not choosing this alternative.

Alternative 2—Preferred Alternative

Under this alternative, security would be increased at and around the Del Rio POE complex, resulting in the elimination of all pedestrian and vehicular traffic/access east along Rio Grande Road. This would be accomplished by permanently closing a portion of Rio Grande Road (approximately 550 feet of road east of

State Spur 239) (page 2–5 of the Supplement). As part of implementing this alternative, the 550-foot stretch of road would be immediately closed (through the placement of “jersey barriers”) to quickly realize increased security and to facilitate construction associated with Phase III expansion activities. Additionally, a new Commercial Exit Control Facility and exit road would be constructed. After construction, a portion of the exit road and corresponding land would be donated to the City of Del Rio as a public right-of-way (figure available at <http://public.geo-marine.com/>). The entire length of exit road could then be used by the City of Del Rio and the Government for the construction of a bypass road replacing Rio Grande Road. As part of implementing this alternative the GSA would also make available approximately one acre in the northwest corner of the government property for an easement granted to the Faith Mission (figure available at <http://public.geo-marine.com/>). This easement would be out-parceled by security fencing and would allow the Faith Mission to construct service facilities at some time in the future.

This alternative would be considered environmentally preferable and would result in no land use, transportation, air quality, noise, or cultural resources impacts. However, eliminating pedestrian access to Rio Grande Road east would result in increased travel time for a small population of low-income and/or minority visitors of the Faith Mission. Access to the Faith Mission would still be possible through alternate traffic routing; however, this would increase the travel time of approximately 42 individuals per service day that walked. Additionally, if the Faith Mission elects to locate some service facilities on the approximately one acre easement, then those services would be directly accessible by pedestrians immediately after processing through the POE. Implementing this alternative would allow the GSA to increase security in accordance with measures outlined for heightened security along the nation's borders. Although implementation of this alternative would increase the travel time to the Faith Mission, the requirements for increased security were the primary consideration in choosing this alternative. In choosing this alternative to implement, the GSA has adopted all practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm (pages 2–4–2–7).

Alternative 3

Similar to the previous alternative (Alternative 2), under this alternative, security would be increased at and around the Del Rio POE complex, resulting in the elimination of all pedestrian and vehicular traffic/access east along Rio Grande Road. However, pedestrian traffic would be facilitated east through the construction of an elevated walkway. This alternative was not carried forward for detailed analysis because of security concerns and the significant costs associated with constructing and maintaining an elevated walkway. These were the primary considerations in not choosing this alternative.

Alternative 4

Similar to the previous alternatives (Alternative 2 and 3), under this alternative, security would be increased at and around the Del Rio POE complex, resulting in the elimination of all pedestrian and vehicular traffic/access east along Rio Grande Road. However, pedestrian traffic would be facilitated east through the construction of a pedestrian tunnel. This alternative was not carried forward for detailed analysis because of security concerns and the significant costs associated with constructing and maintaining a pedestrian tunnel. These were the primary considerations in not choosing this alternative.

Questions and Comments

During the comment period for the Draft Supplement, the GSA received two comments; both stated no objection to the proposed project. The GSA believes there are no outstanding environmental issues to be resolved with implementing increased security measures at and around the Del Rio POE facility.

Questions regarding the Supplement to the 1992 EIS may be directed to Lisa Schaub, Region 7 Environmental and Safety Group, GSA 819 Taylor Street 7PWM, Fort Worth, Texas 76102, (817) 978–4233.

Dated: January 10, 2005.

Scott Armey,

Regional Administrator, GSA, Region 7, Fort Worth, Texas.

[FR Doc. 05–999 Filed 1–18–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–27–P