United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that termination of the suspended countervailing duty investigation and revocation of the antidumping duty order on carbon steel wire rod from Argentina would not likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time ¹

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on November 2, 1998 (63 FR 58756) and determined effective February 14, 1999 (64 FR 8120, February 18, 1999) that it would conduct full reviews. Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on March 31, 1999 (64 FR 15375). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on August 3, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on January 27, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3270 (January 2000), entitled Carbon Steel Wire Rod from Argentina: Investigations Nos. 701–TA–A (Review and 731–TA–157 (Review).

Issued: January 28, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2327 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-621 (Review)]

Compact Ductile Iron Waterworks Fittings From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Termination of five-year review.

SUMMARY: The subject five-year review was initiated in November 1999 to

determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and of material injury to a domestic industry. On January 24, 2000, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the order "because no domestic party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline" (65 FR 3660). Accordingly, pursuant to section 207.69 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.69), the subject review is terminated.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 24, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vera Libeau (202–205–3176), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW. Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

Authority: This review is being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.69 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR § 207.69).

Issued: January 28, 2000. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–2329 Filed 2–2–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701–TA–202 (Review) and 731–TA–103 and 514 (Review)]

Cotton Shop Towels From Bangladesh, China, and Pakistan

Determinations

On the Basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the existing antidumping duty orders on cotton shop towels from Bangladesh and China, and the existing countervailing duty order on cotton shop towels from Pakistan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on January 4, 1999 (64 FR 371) and determined on April 8, 1999, that it would conduct full reviews (64 FR 19195, April 19, 1999). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on June 28, 1999 (64 FR 34679). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on November 18, 1999, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on January 21, 2000. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3267 (January, 2000), entitled Cotton Shop Towels from Bangladesh, China, and Pakistan (Invs. Nos. 701–TA–202 (Review) and 731–TA–103 and 514 (Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 27, 2000.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-2325 Filed 2-2-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-814 (Final)]

Creatine Monohydrate From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China of creatine monohydrate, provided for in

² Chairman Lynn M. Bragg dissenting. Commissioners Jennifer A. Hillman and Deanna Tanner Okun not participating.

 $^{^{1}}$ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR \S 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Askey dissenting with regard to Bangladesh and Pakistan. Vice Chairman Marcia E. Miller and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun not participating.

 $^{^1\,\}rm The~record$ is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR $\S\,207.2(f)).$