

no comments objecting to this practice during the 1996 rulemaking, nor have we received comments objecting to this practice since we published a uniform compliance date final rule on January 6, 2021 (86 FR 462). (To the contrary, of the four comments received to the docket in 2021, only two comments that addressed our practice of issuing final rules announcing uniform compliance dates, and both comments expressed general support.) Therefore, we find good cause to dispense with issuance of a proposed rule inviting comment on the practice of establishing the uniform compliance date because such prior notice and comment are unnecessary. Interested parties will have an opportunity to comment on the compliance date for each individual food labeling regulation as part of the rulemaking process for that regulation. Consequently, FDA finds any further advance notice and opportunity for comment unnecessary for establishment of the uniform compliance date. Nonetheless, under 21 CFR 10.40(e)(1), we are providing an opportunity for comment on whether the uniform compliance date established by this final rule should be modified or revoked.

In addition, we find good cause for this final rule to become effective on the date of publication of this action. A delayed effective date is unnecessary in this case because the establishment of a uniform compliance date does not impose any new regulatory requirements on affected parties. Instead, this final rule provides affected parties with notice of our policy to identify January 1, 2026, as the compliance date for final food labeling regulations that require changes in the labeling of food products and that publish on or after January 1, 2023, and on or before December 31, 2024, unless special circumstances justify a different compliance date. Thus, affected parties do not need time to prepare before the rule takes effect. Therefore, we find good cause for this final rule to become effective on the date of publication of this action.

The new uniform compliance date will apply only to final FDA food labeling regulations that require changes in the labeling of food products and that publish on or after January 1, 2023, and on or before December 31, 2024. Those regulations will specifically identify January 1, 2026, as their compliance date. All food products subject to the January 1, 2026, compliance date must comply with the appropriate regulations when initially introduced into interstate commerce on or after January 1, 2026. If any food labeling regulation involves

special circumstances that justify a compliance date other than January 1, 2026, we will determine for that regulation an appropriate compliance date, which will be specified when the final regulation is published.

Dated: December 16, 2022.

Robert M. Califf,

Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc. 2022–27902 Filed 12–30–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 876

[Docket No. FDA–2022–N–3207]

Medical Devices; Gastroenterology-Urology Devices; Classification of the Gastrointestinal Lesion Software Detection System

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final amendment; final order.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, Agency, or we) is classifying the gastrointestinal lesion software detection system into class II (special controls). The special controls that apply to the device type are identified in this order and will be part of the codified language for the gastrointestinal lesion software detection system's classification. We are taking this action because we have determined that classifying the device into class II (special controls) will provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the device. We believe this action will also enhance patients' access to beneficial innovative devices.

DATES: This order is effective January 3, 2023. The classification was applicable on April 9, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pramodh Kariyawasam, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Rm. 2536, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, 301–348–1911, Pramodh.Kariyawasam@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Upon request, FDA has classified the gastrointestinal lesion software detection system as class II (special controls), which we have determined will provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. In addition, we

believe this action will enhance patients' access to beneficial innovation, in part by placing the device into a lower device class than the automatic class III assignment.

The automatic assignment of class III occurs by operation of law and without any action by FDA, regardless of the level of risk posed by the new device. Any device that was not in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976, is automatically classified as, and remains within, class III and requires premarket approval unless and until FDA takes an action to classify or reclassify the device (see 21 U.S.C. 360c(f)(1)). We refer to these devices as “postamendments devices” because they were not in commercial distribution prior to the date of enactment of the Medical Device Amendments of 1976, which amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act).

FDA may take a variety of actions in appropriate circumstances to classify or reclassify a device into class I or II. We may issue an order finding a new device to be substantially equivalent under section 513(i) of the FD&C Act (see 21 U.S.C. 360c(i)) to a predicate device that does not require premarket approval. We determine whether a new device is substantially equivalent to a predicate device by means of the procedures for premarket notification under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360(k)) and part 807 (21 CFR part 807).

FDA may also classify a device through “De Novo” classification, a common name for the process authorized under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. Section 207 of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (Pub. L. 105–115) established the first procedure for De Novo classification. Section 607 of the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (Pub. L. 112–144) modified the De Novo application process by adding a second procedure. A device sponsor may utilize either procedure for De Novo classification.

Under the first procedure, the person submits a 510(k) for a device that has not previously been classified. After receiving an order from FDA classifying the device into class III under section 513(f)(1) of the FD&C Act, the person then requests a classification under section 513(f)(2).

Under the second procedure, rather than first submitting a 510(k) and then a request for classification, if the person determines that there is no legally marketed device upon which to base a determination of substantial equivalence, that person requests a classification under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act.

Under either procedure for De Novo classification, FDA is required to classify the device by written order within 120 days. The classification will be according to the criteria under section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act. Although the device was automatically placed within class III, the De Novo classification is considered to be the initial classification of the device.

When FDA classifies a device into class I or II via the De Novo process, the device can serve as a predicate for future devices of that type, including for 510(k)s (see section 513(f)(2)(B)(i) of the FD&C Act). As a result, other device sponsors do not have to submit a De Novo request or premarket approval application to market a substantially equivalent device (see section 513(i) of the FD&C Act, defining “substantial equivalence”). Instead, sponsors can use the less-burdensome 510(k) process, when necessary, to market their device.

II. De Novo Classification

On November 30, 2020, FDA received Cosmo Artificial Intelligence—AI, LTD’s request for De Novo classification of the GI Genius. FDA reviewed the request in order to classify the device under the criteria for classification set forth in section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act.

We classify devices into class II if general controls by themselves are insufficient to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness, but there is sufficient information to establish special controls that, in combination with the general controls, provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device for its intended use (see 21 U.S.C. 360c(a)(1)(B)). After review of the information submitted in the request, we determined that the device can be classified into class II with the establishment of special controls. FDA has determined that these special controls, in addition to the general

controls, will provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device.

Therefore, on April 9, 2021, FDA issued an order to the requester classifying the device into class II. In this final order, FDA is codifying the classification of the device by adding 21 CFR 876.1520.¹ We have named the generic type of device gastrointestinal lesion software detection system, and it is identified as a computer-assisted detection device used in conjunction with endoscopy for the detection of abnormal lesions in the gastrointestinal tract. This device with advanced software algorithms brings attention to images to aid in the detection of lesions. The device may contain hardware to support interfacing with an endoscope.

FDA has identified the following risks to health associated specifically with this type of device and the measures required to mitigate these risks in table 1.

TABLE 1—GASTROINTESTINAL LESION SOFTWARE DETECTION SYSTEM RISKS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Identified risks	Mitigation measures
Algorithm failure leading to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> False positives resulting in unnecessary patient treatment; or False negatives resulting in delayed patient treatment. 	Clinical performance testing; Non-clinical performance testing; Software verification, validation, and hazard analysis; and Labeling.
Failure to identify lesions, resulting in delayed patient treatment, due to software/hardware failure including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incompatibility with hardware and/or data source. Inadequate mapping of software architecture. Degradation of image quality. Prolonged delay of real-time endoscopic video. 	Software verification, validation, and hazard analysis; Non-clinical performance testing; Labeling; Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC); and Electrical safety, thermal safety, mechanical safety testing.
False positive or false negative due to user overreliance on the device	Labeling, and Usability assessment.

FDA has determined that special controls, in combination with the general controls, address these risks to health and provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. For a device to fall within this classification, and thus avoid automatic classification in class III, it would have to comply with the special controls named in this final order. The necessary special controls appear in the regulation codified by this order. This device is subject to premarket notification requirements under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act.

III. Analysis of Environmental Impact

The Agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.34(b) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment

nor an environmental impact statement is required.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This final order establishes special controls that refer to previously approved collections of information found in other FDA regulations and guidance. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521). The collections of information in 21 CFR part 860, subpart D, regarding De Novo classification have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0844; the collections of information in 21 CFR part 814, subparts A through E, regarding premarket approval, have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0231; the collections of information in part 807, subpart E,

regarding premarket notification submissions, have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0120; the collections of information in 21 CFR part 820, regarding quality system regulation, have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0073; and the collections of information in 21 CFR parts 801, regarding labeling, have been approved under OMB control number 0910–0485.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 876

Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 876 is amended as follows:

¹ FDA notes that the Action caption for this final order is styled as “Final amendment; final order,” rather than “Final order.” Beginning in December 2019, this editorial change was made to indicate

that the document “amends” the Code of Federal Regulations. The change was made in accordance with the Office of Federal Register’s (OFR) interpretations of the Federal Register Act (44

U.S.C. chapter 15), its implementing regulations (1 CFR 5.9 and parts 21 and 22), and the Document Drafting Handbook.

PART 876—GASTROENTEROLOGY- UROLOGY DEVICES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 876 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 351, 360, 360c, 360e, 360j, 360l, 371.

■ 2. Add § 876.1520 to subpart B to read as follows:

§ 876.1520 Gastrointestinal lesion software detection system.

(a) *Identification.* A gastrointestinal lesion software detection system is a computer-assisted detection device used in conjunction with endoscopy for the detection of abnormal lesions in the gastrointestinal tract. This device with advanced software algorithms brings attention to images to aid in the detection of lesions. The device may contain hardware to support interfacing with an endoscope.

(b) *Classification.* Class II (special controls). The special controls for this device are:

(1) Clinical performance testing must demonstrate that the device performs as intended under anticipated conditions of use, including detection of gastrointestinal lesions and evaluation of all adverse events.

(2) Non-clinical performance testing must demonstrate that the device performs as intended under anticipated conditions of use. Testing must include:

(i) Standalone algorithm performance testing;

(ii) Pixel-level comparison of degradation of image quality due to the device;

(iii) Assessment of video delay due to marker annotation; and

(iv) Assessment of real-time endoscopic video delay due to the device.

(3) Usability assessment must demonstrate that the intended user(s) can safely and correctly use the device.

(4) Performance data must demonstrate electromagnetic compatibility and electrical safety, mechanical safety, and thermal safety testing for any hardware components of the device.

(5) Software verification, validation, and hazard analysis must be provided. Software description must include a detailed, technical description including the impact of any software and hardware on the device's functions, the associated capabilities and limitations of each part, the associated inputs and outputs, mapping of the software architecture, and a description of the video signal pipeline.

(6) Labeling must include:

(i) Instructions for use, including a detailed description of the device and compatibility information;

(ii) Warnings to avoid overreliance on the device, that the device is not intended to be used for diagnosis or characterization of lesions, and that the device does not replace clinical decision making;

(iii) A summary of the clinical performance testing conducted with the device, including detailed definitions of the study endpoints and statistical confidence intervals; and

(iv) A summary of the standalone performance testing and associated statistical analysis.

Dated: December 27, 2022.

Lauren K. Roth,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2022–28494 Filed 12–30–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MB Docket No. 22–456; DA 22–1340; FR ID 120701]

Television Broadcasting Services Chicago, Illinois

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In an Order adopted on December 16, 2022, the Media Bureau, Video Division amended its regulations to reflect the termination of the incentive auction channel sharing arrangement between noncommercial educational television stations WYCC, Chicago, Illinois and WTTW, Chicago, Illinois.

DATES: Effective January 3, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce Bernstein, Media Bureau, at (202) 418–1647 or Joyce.Bernstein@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 2012, Congress passed the Spectrum Act that authorized the Commission to reorganize the ultra-high frequency (UHF) band using a two-sided incentive auction that reallocated broadcast television spectrum for mobile broadband services. The incentive auction permitted television stations to accept several bid options in exchange for money, including: (1) relinquishing all usage rights with respect to a particular television channel, *i.e.*, permanently going off the air; and (2) relinquishing usage rights with respect to their channel in order to share a

television channel with another licensee and continue broadcasting over a shared channel (channel sharing bid). In order to manage television spectrum, channels for full power television stations in the United States, its territories, and possessions are listed and codified in Part 73 of the Commission's rules. Full power television stations may only be constructed on channels designated in the codified Table of TV Allotments and only in the communities listed therein. Pursuant to the Commission's channel sharing procedures, when a CSA is implemented, both stations are issued licenses indicating that the stations are sharing spectrum, and the letter "S" or "S*" is included in the Table of TV Allotments to denote that a channel sharee (the station that has relinquished its channel) is allotted to that community. The asterisk indicates that at least one of the sharing stations was or is operating on a channel reserved for noncommercial educational (NCE) use. When a CSA is dissolved in a community and a sharee station does not enter into a new CSA, the sharee station may request, and the Media Bureau may grant, cancellation of the sharee station's license. In that case, the "S" or "S*" designation in the Table of TV Allotments is no longer accurate.

College District #508, County of Cook (CD #508), the former licensee of NCE television station WYCC, channel *21, was a winning license relinquishment bidder in the incentive auction and later entered into a CSA with Window to the World Communication, Inc. (Window to the World), the licensee of NCE television station WTTW, indicating that CD #508 would share WTTW's channel. Shortly thereafter, CD #508 filed an application to assign the license of WYCC to Window to the World, and that transaction was consummated on April 20, 2018. In May 2022, Window to the World filed an application for modification of its licenses to "modify the WTTW license to dissolve the channel share such that the WTTW license has the full channel capacity. The WYCC license authorization is being surrendered to the FCC per the terms of the FCC rules on channel sharing." In June 2022, Window to the World submitted an application to surrender the license of WYCC. The Bureau granted the modification of license on May 18, 2022 and per Window to the World's request, cancelled the WYCC license on June 27, 2022.

The channels in the Table of TV Allotment under Chicago, Illinois presently reads as follows: "12, 19, 22, 23, 24, *25, 33, 34, S, *S." Because the CSA was dissolved, WYCC's spectrum