

organic production and to advise the Secretary on other aspects of the implementation of the OFPA. The NOSB met for the first time in Washington, DC, in March 1992, and currently has six committees working on various aspects of the organic program. The committees are: Compliance, Accreditation, and Certification; Crops; Livestock; Materials; Handling; and Policy Development.

In August of 1994, the NOSB provided its initial recommendations for the National Organic Program (NOP) to the Secretary of Agriculture. Since that time, the NOSB has submitted 50 addenda to its recommendations and reviewed more than 256 substances for inclusion on the National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances. The last meeting of the NOSB was held on May 13–14, 2003, in Austin, Texas.

The Department of Agriculture (USDA) published its final National Organic Program regulation in the **Federal Register** on December 21, 2000 (65 FR 80548). The rule became effective April 21, 2001.

The principal purposes of the meeting are to provide an opportunity for the NOSB to hear a presentation from FDA on their substance approval process for livestock; to hear recommendations from contractors, past and present, for enhancing petitions for the addition or removal of substances from the National List and for enhancing the statement of work between contractors and USDA; receive public comment regarding what constitutes compatibility/consistency with a system of sustainable agriculture/organic production and handling relative to substance review and evaluations; to develop a statement for public distribution on what constitutes compatibility/consistency with a system of sustainable agriculture/organic production and handling relative to substance review and evaluations; and finally to document and clarify, for the NOP, substance recommendations from the May 2003 NOSB meeting in Austin, Texas.

For further information, see <http://www.ams.usda.gov/nop>. Copies of the NOSB meeting agenda can be requested from Ms. Katherine Benham by telephone at (202) 205–7806; or by accessing the NOP Web site at <http://www.ams.usda.gov/nop>.

The meeting is open to the public. The NOSB has scheduled time for public input on Thursday, October 23, 2003, 8 a.m. to 12 p.m., for individuals to speak on the issue of what constitutes compatibility/consistency with a system of sustainable agriculture/organic production and handling. Individuals

and organizations wishing to make an oral presentation at the meeting may forward their request by facsimile to Ms. Katherine Benham at (202) 205–7808. While persons wishing to make a presentation may sign up at the door, advance registration will ensure that a person has the opportunity to speak during the allotted time period and will help the NOSB to better manage the meeting and to accomplish its agenda. Individuals or organizations will be given approximately 5 minutes to present their views. All persons making an oral presentation are requested to provide their comments in writing. Written submissions may contain information other than that presented at the oral presentation.

Written comments may also be submitted at the meeting. Persons submitting written comments at the meeting are asked to provide 30 copies.

Interested persons may visit the NOSB portion of the NOP Web site <http://www.ams.usda.gov/nop> to view available documents prior to the meeting. Approximately 6 weeks following the meeting interested persons will be able to visit the NOSB portion of the NOP Web site to view documents from the meeting.

Dated: October 1, 2003.

**A.J. Yates,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 03–25311 Filed 10–6–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### **Newspapers Used for Publication of Legal Notices by the Intermountain Region; Utah, Idaho, Nevada, and Wyoming**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the ranger districts, forests and regional office of the Intermountain Region to publish legal notices required under 36 CFR part S. 215 and 217. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decision. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment or appeal, and establish the date that the Forest Service

will use to determine if comments or appeals were timely.

**DATES:** Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers will begin on or after October 1, 2003. The list of newspapers will remain in effect until April 1, 2004, when another notice will be published in **Federal Register**.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Priscilla McLain, Regional Appeals Coordinator, Intermountain Region, 324 25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401, and phone (801) 625–5146.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The administrative procedures at 36 CFR parts 215 and 217 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR part 215 and 217. In general, the notices will identify: the decision or project, by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals. The date the notice is published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment or appeal period. The newspapers to be used are as follows:

#### **Regional Forester, Intermountain Region**

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting national Forests in Idaho:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Nevada:

*The Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Wyoming:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

For decisions made by the Regional Forester affecting National Forests in Utah:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah

For the decisions made by the Regional Forester that affect all National Forests in the Intermountain Region:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City, Utah

#### **Ashley National Forest**

Ashley Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Duchesne District Ranger decisions:

*Uinta Basin Standard*, Roosevelt, Utah

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Wyoming:

*Rocket Miner*, Rock Springs, Wyoming

Flaming Gorge District Ranger for decisions affecting Utah:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

Roosevelt District Ranger decisions:

*Uinta Basin Standard*, Roosevelt, Utah

Vernal District Ranger decisions:

*Vernal Express*, Vernal, Utah

#### **Boise National Forest**

Boise Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Cascade District Ranger decisions:

*The Long Valley Advocate*, Cascade, Idaho

Emmett District Ranger decisions:

*The Messenger-Index*, Emmett, Idaho

Idaho City District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Lowman District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho World*, Garden Valley, Idaho

Mountain Home District Ranger decisions:

*The Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

#### **Bridger-Teton National Forest**

Bridger-Teton Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Big Piney District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Buffalo District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Greys River District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Jackson District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Kemmerer District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

Pinedale District Ranger decisions:

*Casper Star-Tribune*, Casper, Wyoming

#### **Caribou-Targhee National Forest**

Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions for the Caribou portion:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Caribou-Targhee Forest Supervisor decisions for the Targhee portion:

*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho

Ashton District Ranger decisions:

*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Daboiss District Ranger decisions:

*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Island Park District Ranger decisions:

*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Montpelier District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Palisades District Ranger decisions:

*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Soda Springs District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

Teton Basin District Ranger decisions:

*The Post Register*, Idaho Falls, Idaho  
Westside District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho State Journal*, Pocatello, Idaho

#### **Dixie National Forest**

Dixie Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Cedar City District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Escalante District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Pine Valley District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Powell District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

Teasdale District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Spectrum*, St. George, Utah

#### **Fishlake National Forest**

Fishlake National Supervisor decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Beaver District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Fillmore District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Loa District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

Richfield District Ranger decisions:

*Richfield Reaper*, Richfield, Utah

#### **Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forests**

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Humboldt portion:

*Elko Free Press*, Elko, Nevada

Humboldt-Toiyabe Forest Supervisor decisions for the Toiyabe portion:

*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

Austin District Ranger decisions:

*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

Bridgeport District Ranger decisions:

*The Review-Herald*, Mammoth Lakes, California

Carson District Ranger decisions:

*Reno Gazette-Journal*, Reno, Nevada

Ely District Ranger decisions:

*Ely Daily Times*, Ely, Nevada

Jarbridge District Ranger decisions:

*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada

Mountain City District Ranger decisions:

*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada

Ruby Mountains District Ranger decisions:

*Elko Daily Free Press*, Elko, Nevada

Santa Rosa District Ranger decisions:

*Humboldt Sun*, Winnemucca, Nevada

Spring Mountains National Recreation Area District Ranger decisions:

*Las Vegas Review Journal*, Las Vegas, Nevada

Tonopah District Ranger decisions:

*Tonopah Times Bonanza-Goldfield News*, Tonopah, Nevada

#### **Manti-LaSal National Forest**

Manti-LaSal Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah

Ferron District Ranger decisions:

*Emery County Progress*, Castle Dale, Utah

Moab District Ranger decisions:

*The Times Independent*, Moab, Utah

Monticello District Ranger decisions:

*The San Juan Record*, Monticello, Utah

Price District Ranger decisions:

*Sun Advocate*, Price, Utah

Sanpete District Ranger decisions:

*The Pyramid*, Mt. Pleasant, Utah

#### **Payette National Forest**

Payette Forest Supervisor decisions:

*Idaho Statesman*, Boise, Idaho

Council District Ranger decisions:

*Adam County Record*, Council, Idaho

Krassel District Ranger decisions:

*Star News*, McCall, Idaho

McCall District Ranger decisions:

*Star News*, McCall, Idaho

New Meadows District Ranger decisions:

*Star News*, McCall, Idaho

Weiser District Ranger decisions:

*Signal American*, Weiser, Idaho

#### **Salmon-Challis National Forests**

Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor decisions for the Salmon portion:

*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho

Salmon-Challis Forest Supervisor decisions for the Challis portion:

*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho

Challis District Ranger decisions:

*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho

Leadore District Ranger decisions:

*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho

Lost River District Ranger decisions:

*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho

Middle Fork District Ranger decisions:

*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho  
North Fork District Ranger decisions:

*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Salmon/Cobalt District Ranger  
decisions:

*The Recorder-Herald*, Salmon, Idaho  
Yankee Fork District Ranger decisions:  
*The Challis Messenger*, Challis, Idaho

#### **Sawtooth National Forest**

Sawtooth Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Fairfield District Ranger decisions:

*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Ketchum District Ranger decisions:

*Idaho Mountain Express*, Ketchum,  
Idaho

Minidoka District Ranger decisions:

*The Times News*, Twin Falls, Idaho  
Sawtooth National Recreation Area  
decisions:

*Challis Messenger*, Challis, Utah

#### **Uinta National Forest**

Unita Forest Supervisor decisions:

*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

Heber District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

Pleasant Grove District Ranger  
decisions:

*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

Spanish Fork District Ranger decisions:

*The Daily Herald*, Provo, Utah

#### **Wasatch-Cache National Forest**

Wasatch-Cache Forest Supervisor  
decisions:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah

Evanston District Ranger decisions:

*Uinta County Herald*, Evanston,  
Wyoming

Kamas District Ranger decisions:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah

Logan District Ranger decisions:

*Logan Herald Journal*, Logan, Utah

Mountain View District Ranger  
decisions:

*Uinta County Herald*, Evanston,  
Wyoming

Ogden District Ranger decisions:

*Ogden Standard Examiner*, Ogden,  
Utah

Salt Lake District Ranger decisions:

*Salt Lake Tribune*, Salt Lake City,  
Utah

Dated: October 1, 2003.

**Jack G. Troyer,**

*Regional Forester.*

[FR Doc. 03-25327 Filed 10-6-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

### **Forest Service**

#### **North Gifford Pinchot National Forest Resource Advisory Committee Meeting Notice**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The North Gifford Pinchot National Forest Resource Advisory Committee will meet on Friday, October 24, 2003, at the WSU Conference Room, located in the basement of the Lewis County Courthouse, 351 NW. North Street, Chelhalis, Washington 98532. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and continue until 12 noon. The purpose of the meeting is to review proposals for Title II funding of Forest projects under the Secure Rural Schools and County Self-Determination Act of 2000.

All North Gifford Pinchot National Forest Resource Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend. The "open forum" provides opportunity for the public to bring issues, concerns, and discussion topics to the Advisory Committee. The "open forum" is scheduled to occur at 9:15 a.m. Interested speakers will need to register prior to the open forum period. The committee welcomes the public's written comments on committee business any time.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Direct questions regarding this meeting to Roger Peterson, Public Affairs Specialist, at (360) 891-5007, or write Forest Headquarters Office, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, 10600 NE. 51st Circle, Vancouver, WA 98682.

Dated: September 30, 2003.

**Earl W. Ford,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 03-25326 Filed 10-6-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

## **CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD**

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

In connection with its investigation into a violent explosion that occurred in a chemical distillation tower at First Chemical Corporation in Pascagoula, Mississippi, on October 13, 2002, the United States Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board announces that it will convene a Public Meeting beginning at 9:30 am Eastern Standard time on October 15, at the LaFont Inn, Highway 90, Pascagoula, Mississippi 39595.

The explosion occurred in a chemical distillation tower, sending heavy debris over a wide area. No one was seriously injured or killed in the incident, which occurred early on a Sunday morning. One nitrotoluene storage tank at the site was punctured by explosion debris, igniting a fire that burned for several hours. Three out of the 23 workers on site at the time of the incident received minor injuries, and nearby residents were temporarily sheltered in place. A producer of aniline and nitrotoluene derivatives and intermediates, First Chemical Corp. is a subsidiary of ChemFirst Inc., which was acquired by Dupont after the accident.

At the meeting CSB staff will present to the Board the results of their investigation into this incident, including an analysis of the incident together with a discussion of the key findings, root and contributing causes, and draft recommendations.

Recommendations are issued by a vote of the Board and address an identified safety deficiency uncovered during the investigation, and specify how to correct the situation. Safety recommendations are the primary tool used by the Board to motivate implementation of safety improvements and prevent future incidents. The CSB uses its unique independent accident investigation perspective to identify trends or issues that might otherwise be overlooked. CSB recommendations may be directed to corporations, trade associations, government entities, safety organizations, labor unions and others.

After the staff presentation, the Board will allow a time for public comment. Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider whether to vote to approve the final report and recommendations. When a report and its recommendations are approved, this will begin CSB's process for disseminating the findings and recommendations of the report not only to the recipients of recommendations but also to other public and industry sectors. The CSB believes that this process will ultimately lead to the adoption of recommendations and the growing body of safety knowledge in the industry, which, in turn, should save future lives and property.

All staff presentations are preliminary and are intended solely to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the issues and factors involved in this case. No factual analyses, conclusions or findings should be considered final. Only after the Board has considered the staff presentation and approved the staff report will there be an approved final record of this incident.