Sec. 24: S1/2SE, N1/2SE; Sec. 25: All;

Sec. 26: All;

Sec. 28: NENW, N1/2NE, SENE;

Sec. 36: Lot 15.

T. 9 S., R. 18 E.,

Sec. 19: Lots 3, 4, E1/2SW, S1/2SE;

Sec. 28: SW, SWSE;

Sec. 29: S1/2, S1/2NE, NW;

Sec. 30: Lots 1-4, E1/2W1/2, E1/2;

Sec. 31: Lots 1, 4, 5, N1/2NE, NENW;

Sec. 32: Lot 5, N1/2N1/2;

Sec. 33: Lot 1, N1/2, N1/2S1/2, S1/2SE, SESW;

Sec. 34: N1/2SW, SWNW.

T. 10 S., R. 18 E.,

Sec. 3: Lots 4, 5, 8;

Sec. 4: Lots 1, 2;

Sec. 10: Lots 1, 2;

Sec. 11: Lots 1, 2, 5, 6;

Sec. 12: Lot 3.

Containing approximately 6,590 acres.

An R&PP lease is consistent with current BLM land use planning and would be in the public interest. The lease, when issued, will be subject to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Provisions of the Recreation and Public Purposes Act and to all applicable regulations of the Secretary of the Interior.
- 2. Any other conditions that the authorized officer determines appropriate to ensure public access and proper management of Federal lands and interests therein.
- 3. All authorized uses and designations will continue to be administered by the Bureau. Detailed information concerning this action is available for review at the office of the Bureau of Land Management, Upper Snake River District, Shoshone Field Office, and 400 West F Street, Shoshone, Idaho 83352.

Upon publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the lands will be segregated from all other forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, except for lease under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act. For a period until February 2, 2004, interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed classification of the lands to the Field Manager; Shoshone Field Office, 400 West F Street, Shoshone, Idaho 83352.

Classification Comments: Interested parties may submit comments involving the suitability of the land for recreation use. Comments on the classification are restricted to whether the land is physically suited for the proposal, whether the use will maximize the future use or uses of the land, whether the use is consistent with local planning and zoning, or if the use is consistent with State and Federal programs.

Application Comments: Interested parties may submit comments regarding

the specific use proposed in the application and plan of development, whether the BLM followed proper administrative procedures in reaching the decision, or any other factor not directly related to the suitability of the land for recreation.

Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director. In the absence of any adverse comments, the classification will become effective February 17, 2004.

Dated: October 20, 2003.

Joe Kraayenbrink,

Acting District Manager.

[FR Doc. 03–31122 Filed 12–16–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[OR-957-00-1420-BJ: GP04-0044]

Filing of Plats of Survey: Oregon/ Washington

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The plat of survey of the following described lands was officially filed in the Oregon State Office, Portland, Oregon, on September 25, 2003.

Willamette Meridian

Washington

T. 36 N., R. 33 E., accepted September 5, 2003.

The plats of survey of the following described lands were officially filed in the Oregon State Office, Portland, Oregon, July 23, 2003.

Oregon

T. 32 S., R. 6W., accepted July 18, 2003. T. 18 S., R. 32 E., accepted October 17, 2003. T. 19 S., R. 32 E., accepted October 17, 2003. T. 5 S., R. 4 E., accepted October 17, 2003. T. 29 S., R. 5 W., accepted October 31, 2003.

Washington

T. 31 N., R. 31 E., accepted October 7, 2003. T. 18 N., R. 1 E., accepted October 7, 2003.

A copy of the plats may be obtained from the Public Room at the Oregon State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 333 SW. 1st Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204, upon required payment. A person or party who wishes to protest against a survey must file a notice that they wish to protest (at the above address) with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, Oregon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chief, Branch of Cadastral Survey,

Bureau of Land Management, (333 SW 1st Avenue) PO Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208.

Dated: December 3, 2003.

Robert D. DeViney, Jr.,

Branch of Realty and Records Services.
[FR Doc. 03–31047 Filed 12–16–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-498]

Reissued Notice; In the Matter of Certain Insect Traps; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 8, 2003, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of American **Biophysics Corporation of East** Greenwich, Rhode Island. A supplement to the complaint was filed on August 27, 2003. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain insect traps by reason of infringement of claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 13, 28, 31–33, 35–37, 39–41, and 43–45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,286,249 B1 and claims 1–4, 7, 15–19, and 21–39 of U.S. Patent No. 6,145,243. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

Due to typographical errors the agency is reissuing the notice in its entirety.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons

with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket imaging system (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay H. Reiziss, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–2579.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in § 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2003).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 8, 2003, ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain insect traps by reason of infringement of claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 13, 28, 31-33, 35-37, 39-41, or 43-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,286,249 B1 or claims 1-4, 7, 15-19, or 21-39 of U.S. Patent No. 6,145,243 and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is—American Biophysics Corporation, 2240 South County Trail, East Greenwich, RI 02818–1536.
- (b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is a party upon which the complaint is to be served: Blue Rhino Corporation, 104 Cambridge Plaza Drive, Winston-Salem, NC 27104.
- (c) Jay H. Reiziss, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and
- (4) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such response will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting the response to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

Issued: December 12, 2003. By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–31104 Filed 12–16–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Alcan Inc., Alcan Aluminum Corp., Pechiney, S.A., and Pechiney Rolled Products, LLC; Complaint, Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States* v. Alcan, Inc., Alcan Aluminum Corp., Pechiney, S.A., and Pechiney Rolled Products, LLC, No. 1:03 CV 02012 (GK).

On September 29, 2003, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Alcan's proposed acquisition of Pechiney would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18, by substantially lessening competition in development, production, and sale of

brazing sheet in North America. Brazing sheet is an aluminum alloy used to make heat exchangers (e.g., radiators, heaters, and air conditioners) for motor vehicles. The proposed Final Judgment, filed simultaneously with the Complaint, requires the defendants to divest Pechiney's brazing sheet business to a person acceptable to the United States within 120 days after Alcan receives preliminary notice from the responsible French stock market regulatory authority that the firm's tender offer for Pechiney has been successful. Copies of the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, Hold Separate Stipulation and Order, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Suite 215 North, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20004 (telephone: (202) 514-2692), and at the Clerk's Office of the U.S. Court for the District of Columbia, 333 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington,

Public comment is invited within 60-days of the date of this notice. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Maribeth Petrizzi, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 307–0924).

J. Robert Kramer II,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20530, Plaintiff, v. Alcan Inc., 1188 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3A 3G2; Alcan Aluminum Corp., 6060 Parkland Boulevard, Cleveland, OH 44124–4185; Pechiney, S.A., 7, Place Du Chancelier Adenauer, CEDEX 16—75218—Paris, France; and Pechiney Rolled Products, LLC, Rural Route 2 Ravenswood, WV 26164–9802, Defendants.

Case No. Judge: Deck Type: Antitrust Date:

Complaint

The United States of America, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil antitrust action to obtain equitable relief against defendants, and alleges as follows:

1. In early July 2003, Alcan Inc. ("Alcan") launched a \$4.6 billion tender offer for Pechiney, S.A. ("Pechiney"), which was later endorsed by Pechiney's board of directors. The United States seeks to enjoin this proposed acquisition, which, if consummated, would result in consumers