

Current	Proposed
\$52.00/hr	\$65.00/hr.

Furthermore, AMS would recoup the cost for a plant survey and sanitation inspection performed in plants entering into an in-plant inspection contract with AMS. Currently, fees that are charged for a plant survey and sanitation inspection are credited back to plants entering into an in-plant inspection contract with AMS within 60 days of the survey. There are presently 239 plants with an in-plant inspection contract not being charged for the plant survey and sanitation inspection. Billing for the plant survey and sanitation inspection would increase user fee revenue generated under the year-round and less than year-round inspection programs by approximately \$143,000 annually. In addition, AMS would recoup the cost for Sunday differential for plants entering into a year-round in-plant contract, entering into a less than year-round in-plant (four or more consecutive 40 hour weeks) contract, and not under contract. During calendar year 2004, there were 3,562 Sunday differential hours not being charged to plants. Billing plants for Sunday differential would increase user fee revenue generated under the lot inspection program, the year-round inspection program, and the less than year-round inspection program by approximately \$35,000 annually. Finally, the last action would change the word "approval" to "approved" in § 52.2, Inspection Service; types of, paragraph (d) Pack certification.

A thirty day comment period is provided for interested persons to comment on this proposed action. Thirty days is deemed appropriate because any fee increase, if adopted, should be in place as soon as possible in order to move the program towards an adequate reserve and financial stability.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 52

Food grades and standards, Food labeling, Frozen foods, Fruit juices, Fruits, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Vegetables.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 52 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1621–1627.

§ 52.2 [Amended]

2. In § 52.2, paragraph (d) under the term "pack certification" the word "approval" is revised to read "approved".

3. In § 52.42, the figure "\$52.00" is revised to read "\$62.00" and a sentence is added at the end of the section to read as follows:

§ 52.42 Schedule of fees.

* * * A twenty-five (25) percent Sunday differential charge will be made for all work performed on Sunday.

4. Section 52.48 is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.48 Charges for plant survey and inspection.

The fees to be charged for a plant survey and inspection shall be at the rates prescribed in § 52.42 and § 52.51, respectively.

5. In § 52.50, the figure "\$52.00" is revised to read "\$62.00".

6. In § 52.51, paragraph (c)(1), the figure "\$39.00" is revised to read "\$49.00", in paragraph (c)(2), the figure "\$52.00" is revised to read "\$65.00", and in paragraph (d)(1), the figure "\$52.00" is revised to read "\$65.00", and new paragraphs (c)(6) and (d)(6) are added to read as follows:

§ 52.51 Charges for inspection services on a contract basis.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(6) *Sunday differential.* A 25 percent Sunday differential will be charged for all work performed on Sunday.

(d) * * *

(6) *Sunday differential.* A 25 percent Sunday differential will be charged for all work performed on Sunday.

* * * * *

Dated: July 3, 2006.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E6–10768 Filed 7–10–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Parts 925 and 944

[Docket No. FV03–925–1 PR]

Grapes Grown in a Designated Area of Southeastern California and Imported Table Grapes; Extension of Comment Period on Changing Regulatory Periods

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Reopening and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the comment period on proposed changes in the regulatory periods when minimum grade, size, quality, and maturity requirements apply to southeastern California grapes under Marketing Order No. 925 (order), and to imported grapes under the table grape import regulation is reopened and extended.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 11, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning this proposal. Comments should be sent to the Docket Clerk, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; Fax: (202) 720–8938, E-mail: moab.docketclerk@usda.gov, or Internet: <http://www.regulations.gov>. All comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue, the May 25, 2005, issue, the July 25, 2005, issue, and the September 27, 2005, issue of the **Federal Register** and will be available for public inspection in the office of the Docket Clerk during regular business hours, or can be viewed at: <http://www.ams.usda.gov/fv/moab.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terry Vawter, Marketing Specialist, or Kurt J. Kimmel, Regional Manager, California Marketing Field Office, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 2202 Monterey Street, Suite 102B, Fresno, California 93721; Telephone: (559) 487–5901, Fax: (559) 487–5906; E-mail: terry.vawter@usda.gov or kurt.kimmel@usda.gov.

Small businesses may request information on complying with this regulation by contacting Jay Guerber, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; Telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–8938, or E-mail: Jay.Guerber@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposed rule was issued on May 20, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 25, 2005 (70 FR 30001), that would change the regulatory periods when the minimum grade, size, quality, and maturity requirements apply to southeastern California grapes under the order and to imported grapes under the table grape import regulation.

A notice of extension of comment period was issued July 20, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 25, 2005 (70 FR 42513), which extended the comment period to September 25, 2005. The comment period was extended again in a notice issued September 23, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 27, 2005 (70 FR 56378), which further extended the comment period to November 28, 2005.

Prior to the end of the previous comment period, USDA received a request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) for all information cited in the proposed rule. USDA suspended action on the proposal until the FOIA request, and a subsequent appeal to USDA's initial response to the FOIA, could be resolved. USDA has subsequently resolved all issues regarding the FOIA request and released all the information cited in the proposed rule to the requesting party.

On October 31, 2005, USDA received additional requests to again extend the comment period. The additional extension of the comment period was requested to provide additional time to resolve issues surrounding the ongoing FOIA request and to accumulate and analyze data regarding the proposal.

USDA is extending the comment period an additional 60 days to allow interested persons more time to review the proposed rule, perform a more complete analysis, and submit written comments.

This notice is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601–674.

Dated: June 30, 2006.

Lloyd C. Day,

Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. E6–10769 Filed 7–10–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2006–23786; Directorate Identifier 2006–CE–11–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; CTRM Aviation Sdn. Bhd. (Formerly Eagle Aircraft (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.) Model Eagle 150B Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to supersede Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2004–11–04, which applies to all CTRM Aviation Sdn. Bhd. (Formerly Eagle Aircraft (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.) Model Eagle 150B airplanes. AD 2004–11–04 currently requires you to inspect certain canard inboard flap hinge support brackets (initially before further flight and repetitively before the first flight of each day) and perform any necessary follow-up action. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Malaysia to require the installation of improved design inboard flap hinge brackets as terminating action for the repetitive inspections. Consequently, this proposed AD would initially retain the requirement that you inspect certain canard inboard flap hinge support brackets (initially before further flight and repetitively before the first flight of each day) and then require that you replace the parts with new design inboard flap hinge brackets as terminating action for the repetitive inspections or if cracks are found. We are issuing this proposed AD to detect and correct cracks in the canard inboard flap hinge support brackets, which could result in loss of retention of controls and consequently, loss of airplane control.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by August 10, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Use one of the following addresses to comment on this proposed AD:

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.
- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, Room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

- Fax: (202) 493–2251.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL–401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact CTRM Aviation Sdn. Bhd. (formerly known as Eagle Aircraft (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.), Locked Bag 1028, Pejabat Pos Besar Melaka, 75150 Melaka, Malaysia;

telephone: 06 317 1007; facsimile: 06 317 7023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karl Schletzbaum, Aerospace Engineer, ACE–112, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: 816–329–4146; facsimile: 816–329–4090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include the docket number, “FAA–2006–23786; Directorate Identifier 2006–CE–11–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive concerning this proposed AD.

Discussion

The Department of Civil Aviation (DCA) for Malaysia issued AD No. CAM AD 001–01–2004, dated January 19, 2004, against Eagle Aircraft (now CTRM Aviation Sdn. Bhd.) Models X–TS, X–TS 150, and 150B airplanes. CAM AD 001–01–2004 required the following for these airplanes that are registered for operation in Malaysia:

- A visual inspection of the gusset weld area of the canard inboard flap hinge support brackets for cracked, lifted, or missing paint in the area of the weld or suspected cracks;
- A more detailed inspection for cracks (using fluorescent penetrant inspection (FPI) methods) if any of the above conditions exist; and
- Replacement of any canard inboard flap hinge support bracket with cracks and continued repetitive inspections of the replacement bracket.

The DCA of Malaysia is currently the country with State of Design responsibilities on the affected airplanes. Before the DCA, the Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) of Australia had the State of Design responsibilities. During this time, the CASA issued CASA AD/X–TS/5, dated October 2003, revised April 2, 2004, to address the unsafe condition and require the above actions on Models