

“significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle 1, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart 1, Section 40103, Sovereignty and use of airspace. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to ensure the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it creates Class E airspace sufficient in size to contain aircraft executing instrument procedures for the Shageluk Airport and represents the FAA’s continuing effort to safely and efficiently use the navigable airspace.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9S, *Airspace Designations and Reporting Points*, signed October 3, 2008, and effective October 31, 2008, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

AAL AK E5 Shageluk, AK [New]

Shageluk, Shageluk Airport, AK
(Lat. 62°41′32″ N., long. 159°34′09″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.3-mile radius of the Shageluk Airport, AK; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 73-mile radius of the Shageluk Airport, AK.

* * * * *

Issued in Anchorage, AK, November 7, 2008.

Anthony M. Wylie,

Manager, Alaska Flight Services Information Area Group.

[FR Doc. E8–27543 Filed 11–19–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2008–0956; Airspace Docket No. 08–AAL–26]

Revision of Class E Airspace; Badami, AK

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action revises Class E airspace at Badami, AK to provide adequate controlled airspace to contain aircraft executing Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs). Two SIAPs are being developed for the Badami Airport. Additionally, a textual Obstacle Departure Procedure (ODP) is being developed. This action revises existing Class E airspace upward from 700 feet (ft.) and 1,200 ft. above the surface at Badami Airport, Badami, AK. **DATES:** *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, January 15, 2009. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Rolf, AAL–538G, Federal Aviation Administration, 222 West 7th Avenue, Box 14, Anchorage, AK 99513–7587; telephone number (907) 271–5898; fax: (907) 271–2850; e-mail: gary.ctr.rolf@faa.gov; Internet address: http://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/ato/service_units/systemops/fs/alaskan/rulemaking/.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On Thursday September 18, 2008, the FAA proposed to amend part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to revise Class E airspace upward from 700 ft. above the surface and from 1,200 ft. above the surface at Badami, AK (73 FR 54093). The action was proposed in order to create Class E airspace sufficient in size to contain aircraft while executing instrument procedures for the Badami Airport. Class E controlled airspace extending upward from 700 ft. and 1,200 ft. above the surface in the Badami Airport area is revised by this action.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received. The rule is adopted as proposed.

The area will be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference. The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. The Class E airspace areas designated as 700/1,200 ft. transition areas are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9S, *Airspace Designations and Reporting Points*, signed October 3, 2008, and effective October 31, 2008, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 71 revises Class E airspace at the Badami Airport, Alaska. This Class E airspace is revised to accommodate aircraft executing new instrument procedures, and will be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference. The intended effect of this rule is to provide adequate controlled airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the Badami Airport, Badami, Alaska.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) Is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have

a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle 1, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart 1, Section 40103, Sovereignty and use of airspace. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to ensure the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it creates Class E airspace sufficient in size to contain aircraft executing instrument procedures for the Badami Airport and represents the FAA's continuing effort to safely and efficiently use the navigable airspace.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

■ In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9S, *Airspace Designations and Reporting Points*, signed October 3, 2008, and effective October 31, 2008, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

AAL AK E5 Badami, AK [Revised]

Badami, Badami Airport, AK
(Lat. 70°08'15" N., long. 147°01'49" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of the Badami Airport, AK; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet

above the surface within a 73-mile radius of the Badami Airport, AK.

* * * * *

Issued in Anchorage, AK, on November 7, 2008.

Anthony M. Wylie,

Manager, Alaska Flight Services Information Area Group.

[FR Doc. E8–27535 Filed 11–19–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 12

RIN 3038–AC59

Rules Relating to Reparation Proceedings

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“Commission” or “CFTC”) is amending its regulations to clarify that post-judgment interest shall run on reparation awards in voluntary decisional proceedings and to provide that in all reparation proceedings resulting in a judgment for complainant post-judgment interest shall run whether or not expressly awarded.

DATES: December 22, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laura Richards, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581. Telephone: (202) 418–5126. E-mail: lrichards@cftc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background Information

Currently, 17 CFR part 12 provides the following guidance regarding the award of interest to the prevailing party in reparation proceedings. Prejudgment interest “may” be awarded in summary decisional proceedings as part of a reparation order under Rule 12.210(c), and in formal decisional proceedings under Rule 12.314(c), “if warranted as a matter of law under the circumstances of a particular case.”¹ Judgment Officers and Administrative Law Judges routinely have awarded prejudgment interest. Prejudgment interest is

¹ See *Ruddy v. FCCB*, 1981 WL 21010 at *5 n.18 (CFTC Mar. 31, 1981) (“regarding the award of prejudgment interest[,] [w]here such awards are clearly compensatory and * * * involve the breach of a fiduciary duty, prejudgment interest, while a matter of discretion, should hereafter be the rule, rather than the exception”).

prohibited, however, in voluntary decisional proceedings under Rule 12.106(c).

Rule 12.407(d), which governs post-judgment interest, applies to all forms of reparation proceedings. It provides that interest shall run on an unpaid reparation award “at the prevailing rate computed in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1961 from the date directed in the final order to the date of payment, compounded annually.” See Section 14(f) of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. 18(f) (statutory authority for Rule 12.407(d)).

To clarify existing authority, and to further just and equitable decision proceedings, the Commission hereby amends Rule 12.106(c) to state that post-judgment interest shall run on awards in voluntary proceedings. The Commission believes such a clarifying rule is appropriate to make clear that the Act intends to compensate a prevailing party for the loss of use of the party's money when a reparation judgment is not satisfied within the mandated deadline (for voluntary proceedings, within 45 days after service of the final decision, see Rule 12.106(e)).

Amended Rule 12.407(d) provides that if an initial decision inadvertently omits an award of post-judgment interest such interest shall run at the applicable rate from the date that satisfaction of the reparation judgment is due.

In furtherance of the Commission's efforts to fully inform parties and the public of practices regarding interest on reparation judgments, the Commission also is amending Form 30 (which is not included in the Code of Federal Regulations) to include details of which types of interest may be awarded in voluntary, summary and formal decisional proceedings.

II. Related Matters

A. No Notice Required Under 5 U.S.C. 553

The Commission has determined that these amendments are exempt from the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, which generally requires notice of proposed rulemaking and provides other opportunities for public participation. According to the exemptive language of 5 U.S.C. 553, these amendments pertain to “rules of agency organization, procedure or practice,” as to which there exists agency discretion not to provide notice. In addition, notice and public comment are unnecessary in this case because the amendments are self-explanatory. If made effective immediately, they will promote