

shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: June 25, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–15975 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of an Amendment to Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 25, 2012, a proposed Second Amendment to the consent decree in *United States et al. v. Lafarge North America, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-44–JPG was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois.

On March 18, 2010, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois entered a consent decree (“decree”) resolving claims of the United States and twelve states or state agencies against Lafarge North America, Inc., Lafarge Midwest, Inc., and Lafarge Building Materials, Inc. (“Lafarge”) for

alleged violations of the Clean Air Act (“CAA” or “Act”) at its thirteen portland cement production facilities in the United States. Specifically, the consent decree resolved alleged violations of the Act’s Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”) provisions, 42 U.S.C. 7470–92; Nonattainment New Source Review (“NNSR”) provisions, 42 U.S.C. 7501–15; the federally approved and enforceable state implementation plans (“SIPs”) which incorporate and/or implement the above-listed federal PSD and/or NNSR requirements; and the CAA Title V operating permit requirements, 42 U.S.C. 7661–61f, including Title V’s implementing federal and state regulations.

The proposed Second Amendment affects only three of the thirteen cement plants addressed in the Consent Decree: the Roberta, Alabama; Harleyville, South Carolina; and Atlanta, Georgia cement plants. The Amendment substitutes Argos USA Corp. and Argos Cement LLC (collectively, “Argos”) for Lafarge with respect to those facilities following their sale by Lafarge to Argos on October 3, 2011. Argos has agreed to undertake the Consent Decree obligations applicable to those facilities, to be substituted for Lafarge with respect to those facilities and has demonstrated that it has the financial and technical ability to assume the Decree’s obligations at those facilities. The proposed Second Amendment also amends the Consent Decree to terminate Consent Decree requirements applicable to the Atlanta facility because all Decree obligations at that plant have been met and no further obligations apply to that facility under the Decree.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Second Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Lafarge North America, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-44–JPG, DJ# 90–5–2–1–08221.

During the public comment period, the proposed Second Amendment to the consent decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC

20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to “Consent Decree Copy” (EESDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$ 11.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the given address above.

Maureen M. Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–15994 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—DVD Copy Control Association

Notice is hereby given that, on May 24, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Audio + Video Labs Inc., Pennsauken, NJ, has been added as a party to this venture.

Also, East European Authoring and Encoding Centre Ltd., Sofia, Bulgaria; Hansong (Nanjing) Electronics Ltd., Nanjing, People’s Republic of China; Primare Systems, Växjö, Sweden; Rohm Co., Ltd., Ukyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan; and Seripress SAS, Bulgneville, France, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

In addition, SM Summit Holdings Limited has changed its name to Centurion Corporation Limited, Singapore, Singapore; and Ultra Source Technology Corp. has changed its name to Ultra Source Trading Hong Kong Limited, Shatin N.T., Hong Kong-China.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written

notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 24, 2012. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 15, 2012 (77 FR 15395).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–15935 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Tizen Association (Formerly LiMo Foundation)

Notice is hereby given that, on June 4, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Tizen Association (“Tizen”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. LiMo Foundation has changed its name to Tizen Association (“Tizen”). In addition, Huawei Device Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Intel Corporation, Chandler, AZ; and France Telecom S.A. (Orange Personal Communications Services Limited, Mouligneaux, FRANCE, have been added as parties to the Association.

The following parties have withdrawn from the venture: Access Co. Ltd., Tokyo, JAPAN; France Telecom S.A. (Orange Personal Communications Services Limited, Mouligneaux, FRANCE; Adobe, San Jose, CA; ARM Holdings, PLC, Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; ETRI Embedded SW Division, Daejeon, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Gemalto, N.V., Amsterdam, NETHERLANDS; Huawei Communication Technologies Co. Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Incross Co. Ltd., Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Marvell International Ltd., Hamilton,

BERMUDA; McAfee Inc., Santa Clara, CA; NTT DATA MSE, Yokohama, JAPAN; Renesas Mobile Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Verizon Communications, Inc., Basking Ridge, NJ, and Wind River Systems, Alameda, CA.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Tizen intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 1, 2007, Tizen (Formerly LiMo Foundation) filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 9, 2007 (72 FR 17583).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 19, 2011. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 23, 2011 (76 FR 59161).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–15936 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—3D PDF Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 4, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), 3D PDF Consortium, Inc. (“3D PDF”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ITI TranscenData Business, Milford, OH; Actify, Inc., San Francisco, CA; and SpaceClaim, Concord, MA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and 3D PDF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 27, 2012, 3D PDF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 20, 2012 (77 FR 23754).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–15933 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Bluetooth Sig, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 30, 2012, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Bluetooth SIG, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the Delaware non-stock, non-profit standards development organization is Bluetooth SIG, Inc., Kirkland, WA. The nature and scope of Bluetooth SIG, Inc.’s standards development activities are to develop Bluetooth® wireless specifications and profiles. Additional information concerning Bluetooth SIG, Inc. may be obtained from Christine Scott, Bluetooth SIG, Inc.’s Director of Operations.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2012–15932 Filed 6–28–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P