

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 14, 2000, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 37361) that an amendment of Permit No. 909-1465-00, issued September 17, 1999 (64 FR 50494), had been requested by the above-named person. The requested amendment has been issued under the authority of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*), the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR part 216), the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), and the Regulations Governing the Taking, Importing, and Exporting of Endangered Fish and Wildlife (50 CFR part 222).

The amendment authorizes the extension of the study for sperm whales only to waters of the Caribbean Sea and mid-western Atlantic with an increase in takes of 250 individuals by biopsy and 750 individuals by incidental harassment over the course of the permit. The amendment also allows for biopsy sampling of female sperm whales with calves present as long as calves are longer than 4.5 meters in length.

Issuance of this permit amendment, as required by the ESA, was based on a finding that such permit amendment (1) was applied for in good faith, (2) will not operate to the disadvantage of the endangered species which is the subject of this permit amendment, and (3) is consistent with the purposes and policies set forth in section 2 of the ESA.

Dated: March 9, 2001.

**Ann D. Terbush,**  
Chief, Permits and Documentation Division,  
Office of Protected Resources, National  
Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 01-6453 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-S**

**COMMITTEE FOR THE  
IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE  
AGREEMENTS**

**Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain  
Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber  
Textile Products Produced or  
Manufactured in the Dominican  
Republic**

March 9, 2001.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the  
Implementation of Textile Agreements  
(CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the  
Commissioner of Customs adjusting  
limits.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 16, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Naomi Freeman, International Trade  
Specialist, Office of Textiles and  
Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce,  
(202) 482-4212. For information on the  
quota status of these limits, refer to the  
Quota Status Reports posted on the  
bulletin boards of each Customs port,  
call (202) 927-5850, or refer to the U.S.  
Customs website at <http://www.customs.gov>. For information on  
embargoes and quota re-openings, refer  
to the Office of Textiles and Apparel  
website at <http://otexa.ita.doc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Section 204 of the Agricultural  
Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854);  
Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as  
amended.

The current limits for certain  
categories are being adjusted for  
carryover and carryforward used.

A description of the textile and  
apparel categories in terms of HTS  
numbers is available in the  
**CORRELATION:** Textile and Apparel  
Categories with the Harmonized Tariff  
Schedule of the United States (see  
**Federal Register** notice 65 FR 82328,  
published on December 28, 2000). Also  
see 65 FR 75671, published on  
December 4, 2000.

**D. Michael Hutchinson,**  
*Acting Chairman, Committee for the  
Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

**Committee for the Implementation of Textile  
Agreements**

March 9, 2001.

Commissioner of Customs,  
*Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC  
20229.*

Dear Commissioner: This directive  
amends, but does not cancel, the directive  
issued to you on November 28, 2000, by the  
Chairman, Committee for the Implementation  
of Textile Agreements. That directive  
concerns imports of certain cotton, wool and  
man-made fiber textile products, produced or  
manufactured in the Dominican Republic  
and exported during the twelve-month  
period which began on January 1, 2001 and  
extends through December 31, 2001.

Effective on March 16, 2001, you are  
directed to adjust the current limits for the  
following categories, as provided for under  
the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles  
and Clothing:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit <sup>1</sup>
340/640 .....	1,303,925 dozen.
342/642 .....	917,601 dozen.
347/348/647/648 .....	2,632,294 dozen of which not more than 1,485,592 dozen shall be in Cat- egories 647/648.
351/651 .....	1,563,182 dozen.
433 .....	22,945 dozen.

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit <sup>1</sup>
442 .....	85,894 dozen.
443 .....	145,822 numbers.
444 .....	85,894 numbers.
448 .....	44,249 dozen.
633 .....	191,324 dozen.

<sup>1</sup> The limits have not been adjusted to ac-  
count for any imports exported after December  
31, 2000.

The Committee for the Implementation of  
Textile Agreements has determined that  
these actions fall within the foreign affairs  
exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5  
U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,  
D. Michael Hutchinson,  
*Acting Chairman, Committee for the  
Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc. 01-6412 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F**

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY  
COMMISSION**

**Notification of Request for  
Reinstatement of Approval of  
Information Collection Requirements—  
Cellulose Insulation**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In the **Federal Register** of  
August 15, 2000 (65 FR 49788), The  
Consumer Product Safety Commission  
published a notice in accordance with  
provisions of the Paperwork Reduction  
Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) to announce  
the agency's intention to seek extension  
of approval of the collection of  
information in regulations  
implementing the Amended Interim  
Safety Standard for Cellulose Insulation  
(16 CFR Part 1209). One comment,  
discussed below, was received from the  
North American Insulation  
Manufacturers Association (NAIMA).  
The Commission now announces that it  
has submitted to the Office of  
Management and Budget a request for  
reinstatement of approval of that  
collection of information without  
change for a period of three years from  
the date of approval.

The cellulose insulation standard  
prescribes requirements for  
flammability and corrosiveness of  
cellulose insulation produced for sale to  
or use by consumers. The standard  
requires manufacturers and importers of  
cellulose insulation to test insulation for  
resistance to smoldering and small  
open-flame ignition, and for  
corrosiveness, and to maintain records  
of that testing.

In its comment NAIMA made five points. These issues and CPSC's responses are discussed below.

(1) First, NAIMA states that continued collection of information about cellulose insulation "is only justified if CPSC intends to review, evaluate, and act on the information collected." NAIMA argues that if CPSC is not actually using the information collected, then any cost for collecting it is unwarranted.

CPSC's field staff has recently been instructed to perform inspections to review the records of companies that produce cellulose insulation. The staff will determine whether records indicate that manufacturers are complying with the testing and recordkeeping requirements set forth in the CPSC standard.

(2) NAIMA states that in June 2000 NAIMA submitted data to CPSC that "indicates that cellulose manufacturers routinely manufacture insulation that does not meet the CPSC safety standard." NAIMA argues that this indicates cellulose insulation manufacturers are not aware of the requirements of the standard or are ignoring them.

As explained above, CPSC field staff will be conducting inspections of cellulose insulation manufacturing facilities' records to see that their testing and recordkeeping meet CPSC requirements. The standard requires manufacturers to conduct tests on samples to demonstrate that their product passes the tests for flammability and corrosiveness in the standard. Manufacturers must maintain records demonstrating compliance with these testing requirements.

(3) NAIMA states that "CPSC does not appear to be taking any action regarding insulation that fails to meet the interim standard." NAIMA argues that the Commission has not taken any action in recent years to enforce the standard, and therefore further collection of this information is not justified.

The CPSC has not had information warranting enforcement action. Should CPSC become aware of such information it would take appropriate action. CPSC is attempting to obtain a better picture of current practices with the field program discussed above.

(4) NAIMA states that the current standard is "outdated and does not adequately ensure adequate fire resistance." NAIMA argues that developments in the twenty years since the standard was last revised make it inadequate.

As NAIMA recognizes, the Amended Interim Safety Standard is based on a General Services Administration ("GSA") specification from 1979. In

1978 Congress passed the Emergency Interim Consumer Product Safety Standard Act (codified at 15 U.S.C. 2082), which mandated that the GSA specification for cellulose insulation in effect at that time shall become a consumer product safety standard. The law also required the Commission to incorporate into the standard subsequent changes GSA made to the requirements for flame resistance and corrosiveness. Thus, in 1978, the Commission issued the Interim Safety Standard for Cellulose Insulation, and in 1979, the Commission amended that standard to incorporate revisions GSA made to its specification. GSA has not made further changes to its specification.

Congress further provided that the Commission could issue a final consumer product safety standard on its own if the Commission found that the interim safety standard "does not adequately protect the public from the unreasonable risk of injury associated with flammable or corrosive cellulose insulation." 15 U.S.C. 2082(c)(1)(B). Thus, for the Commission to make changes to the interim standard—other than incorporating changes GSA makes in its specification—the Commission must find that the current standard does not adequately protect the public from an unreasonable risk of injury.

The Commission staff is not aware of any data showing that cellulose insulation presents an unreasonable risk of injury, or that the current interim standard is inadequate to protect against such a risk. While national fire loss data are limited because they do not adequately identify the type of thermal insulation involved in fires, a review of those data shows that from 1987 to 1997 (the latest year for which data are available), estimated fire losses involving thermal insulation have not increased. According to the law explained above, the Commission would need evidence that cellulose insulation presents an unreasonable risk of injury, or that the current interim standard is inadequate to protect against such a risk to change the interim safety standard.

(5) NAIMA states that CPSC should not continue to collect data on cellulose insulation "because it creates the false impression among consumers that the fire safety of cellulose insulation is being closely monitored and controlled."

As explained above, CPSC field staff will be reviewing records to see that manufacturers are complying with the standard's requirements. Also, as explained above, the CPSC cannot legally change the standard unless it has

data indicating that under the current standard cellulose insulation presents an unreasonable risk of injury and revising the standard is necessary to protect the public.

#### **Additional Information About the Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Requirements**

*Agency address:* Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 2020.

*Title of information collection:* Amended Interim Safety Standard for Cellulose Insulation (16 CFR Part 1209).

*Type of request:* Reinstatement of approval.

*General description of respondents:* Manufacturers and importers of cellulose insulation.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 45.

*Estimated average number of hours per respondent:* 1,320 per year.

*Estimated number of hours for all respondents:* 59,400 per year.

*Estimated cost of collection for all respondents:* \$802,000 per year.

*Comments:* Comments on this request for reinstatement of approval of information collection requirements should be submitted by April 16, 2001 to (1) The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for CPSC, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: (202) 395-7340, and (2) the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207. Written comments may also be sent to the Office of the Secretary by facsimile at (301) 504-0127 or by e-mail at [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov).

Copies of this request for reinstatement of the information collection requirements and supporting documentation are available from Linda Glatz, management and program analyst, Office of Planning and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone: (301) 504-0416, extension 2226.

Dated: March 8, 2001.

**Sadye E. Dunn,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 01-6382 Filed 3-14-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**