

as amended (the Act), the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review covering the period June 1, 2015, through May 31, 2016, with respect to eight companies.<sup>1</sup> On September 29, 2016, and October 11, 2016, CPZ/SKF and GGB, respectively, withdrew their requests for an administrative review.

#### Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. CPZ/SKF and GGB timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review of themselves; no other party requested a review of these companies. Accordingly, we are rescinding this review, in part, with respect to these companies, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For CPZ/SKF and GGB, the companies for which these reviews are rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues

to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751 and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 10, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2017-00682 Filed 1-12-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-955]

#### **Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 13, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain chemically-bonded magnesia carbon bricks (MCBs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. The Department preliminarily found no evidence of any reviewable entries and received no comments on the preliminary results. Therefore, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the CVD order on MCBs from the PRC.

**DATES:** Effective January 13, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew Huston, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4261.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On September 13, 2016, the Department published the *Preliminary*

*Results*.<sup>1</sup> In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. No parties submitted comments.

#### **Rescission**

It is the Department's practice to rescind an administrative review of a CVD order, pursuant to CFR 351.213(d)(3), when there are no reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which liquidation is suspended.<sup>2</sup> Normally, upon completion of an administrative review, the suspended entries are liquidated at the CVD assessment rate calculated for the review period. See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Therefore, for an administrative review to be conducted, there must be a reviewable, suspended entry that the Department can order CBP to liquidate at the newly calculated CVD assessment rate. Accordingly, in the absence of suspended entries of subject merchandise during the period of this administrative review (January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014), we are rescinding this administrative review of the CVD order on MCBs from the PRC.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 9, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2017-00689 Filed 1-12-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014*, 81 FR 62870 (September 13, 2016) (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Notice of Final Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, In Part*, 77 FR 6542 (February 8, 2012). In the *Preliminary Results* the Department stated "As is our practice, the Department finds that it is not appropriate to rescind this review, but, rather, to complete this review and to issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review." This sentence was included in error. The Department issues preliminary and final results in so-called "no shipment" reviews in antidumping proceedings only. See, e.g., *Silicomanganese from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 28826 (May 10, 2016) and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 3.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 53121 (August 11, 2016).