

Under Secretary of Defense (Installations & Environment), 703-571-9060.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Friday, May 12, 2006 (71 FR 27610), the Department of Defense published a final rule, "Department of Defense Restoration Advisory Boards". On page 27612, Section B. 202.2, "Criteria for Establishment", in the fourth paragraph, in the first sentence, the term "bi-annually" is corrected to read "biennially" and on page 27613, Section F., "Developing Operating Procedures", in the sixth paragraph, in the second sentence, "§ 202.9(a)(3)" is corrected to read "§ 202.9(a)(4)".

Dated: July 24, 2006.

L.M. Bynum,

*OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer,
Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 06-6531 Filed 7-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD07-05-162]

RIN 1625-AA09

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; N.E. 14th Street Bridge, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Mile 1055.0, Pompano, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is temporarily changing the regulations governing the operation of the N.E. 14th Street Bridge, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway mile 1055.0, Pompano, Florida. This temporary rule provides for solely single-leaf bridge operations twice an hour between July 5, 2006 and September 30, 2006. During this period, the bridge will operate on a single-leaf schedule unless a four hour notice is provided for double-leaf openings.

DATES: This temporary rule is effective from July 5, 2006 until September 30, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of docket [CGD07-05-162] and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (dpb), Seventh Coast Guard District, 909 S.E. 1st Avenue, Room 432, Miami, FL 33131, between 7:30 a.m. and

4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Lieberum, Project Officer, Seventh Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, at (305) 415-6744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

On February 23, 2006, we published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) entitled Drawbridge Operation Regulations; N.E. 14th Street, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Mile 1055.0, at Pompano Beach, FL, in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 9300). We received no letters commenting on the proposed rule. No public meeting was requested, and none was held.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. These repairs are necessary to protect the safety of the public, and delaying them would be contrary to the public interest. In addition, we received no objections to this rule during the NPRM comment period and this rule provides for scheduled single-leaf bridge openings, as well as double-leaf openings after four hours notice, for vessels to transit past the bridge.

Background and Purpose

PCL Civil Constructors, Inc. on behalf of the Florida Department of Transportation has requested the Coast Guard temporarily change the existing regulations governing the operation of the N.E. 14th Street Bridge across the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway by allowing single-leaf operation during bridge rehabilitation. The N.E. 14th Street Bridge is located on the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, mile 1055.0, Pompano, Florida. The current regulation governing the operation of the N.E. 14th Street Bridge is published in 33 CFR 117.261(cc) and requires the bridge to open on signal except that, from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m., the draw need open only on the quarter-hour and three-quarter hour.

The Coast Guard is temporarily changing the operating regulations of the N.E. 14th Street Bridge from July 5, 2006 to September 30, 2006 so that the bridge will operate a single-leaf twice an hour unless a four hour notice is given for double-leaf openings.

Discussion of Rule

We received no comments on the NPRM. This temporary change will allow the owner to make necessary repairs to the bridge.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary, because the rule will allow for bridge openings during the repairs to this bridge.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, because the regulations provide for bridge openings, short closure periods and will provide for the reasonable needs of navigation.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), we offered to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If this rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by

employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247).

Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in the preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the

Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (*e.g.*, specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, and Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 5100.1, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Regulations

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g); section 117.255 also issued under authority of Public Law 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

■ 2. From July 5, 2006, through October 1, 2006, § 117.261(cc) is suspended and paragraph (uu) is added to read as follows:

§ 117.261 Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from St. Marys River to Key Largo.

* * * * *

(uu) N.E. 14th Street Bridge, mile 1055.0, at Pompano.

From 7 a.m. on July 5, 2006 through 6 p.m. on September 30, 2006 the draw shall open double-leaf upon four hours advance notification to the bridge tender on VHF channel 9 or the bridge rehabilitation contractor at (772) 201-3745. Otherwise, the draw shall open a single-leaf on the quarter-hour and three-quarter-hour.

* * * * *

Dated: July 5, 2006.

D.W. Kunkel,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. E6-11877 Filed 7-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 3

RIN 2900-AK21

Definition of Psychosis for Certain VA Purposes

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document amends the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) adjudication regulations to define the term "psychosis." The term is used but not defined in certain statutes that provide presumptive service connection for compensation. The intended effect of this amendment is consistent application of these statutory provisions.