

certifications to qualify them as AMPs or RSOs. Many board certified individuals were working as medical physicists and in radiation safety positions when the T&E requirements were revised but were not named as the authorized individuals on the NRC or Agreement State licenses and, therefore, were not grandfathered under 10 CFR 35.57. These individuals, under the current regulations, may now have to use the alternate pathway option to demonstrate that they meet the T&E requirements in Part 35.

Under the current 10 CFR Part 35 requirements, two individuals, one listed on an NRC or Agreement State license or permit prior to October 25, 2005, and one who was not, with identical certifications, are treated differently. The individual listed on the license is not required to comply with the T&E requirements in Part 35 and the individual not listed must meet the T&E requirements.

In conclusion, the NRC has determined that the petitioner raised a valid concern regarding the impact of the revisions to the T&E requirements in 10 CFR Part 35. Although in the rulemaking process the NRC staff would need more data than was presented in the petition, sufficient information was presented for the NRC to conduct a review and to determine that the petitioner's concern may warrant relief for certain individuals. Therefore, in resolving the petition, the NRC concluded that the issues raised in the petition will be considered in the rulemaking process in the following way. The NRC will attempt to develop a technical basis to support a rulemaking that would address the issues raised in the petition. If a technical basis which supports rulemaking can be developed, the issues will be addressed in a future rulemaking. If a technical basis to support a rulemaking cannot be developed, the issues will not be further considered by the NRC.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of April, 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Luis A. Reyes,**

*Executive Director for Operations.*

[FR Doc. E8-10736 Filed 5-13-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Part 301

[REG-141998-06]

RIN 1545-BG13

#### Withdrawal of Regulations Under Old Section 6323(b)(10); Correction

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correction to a notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-141998-06) that was published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, April 17, 2008 (73 FR 20877) relating to the validity and priority of the Federal tax lien against certain persons under section 6323 of the Internal Revenue Code.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Debra A. Kohn, (202) 622-7985 (not a toll-free number).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The correction notice that is the subject of this document is under section 6323 of the Internal Revenue Code.

##### Need for Correction

As published, a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-141998-06) contains errors that may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification.

##### Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of a notice of proposed rulemaking (REG-141998-06), which was the subject of FR Doc. E8-8082, is corrected as follows:

1. On page 20879, column 2, under the title heading "PART 301—PROCEDURE AND ADMINISTRATION", the second entry of Paragraph 2., the language "2. Paragraphs (d)(3) *Example 1* and (d)(3) *Example 3* are revised." is corrected to read "2. Paragraphs (d)(3) *Example 1* and (d)(3) *Example 3* are revised."

2. On page 20879, column 2, under the title heading "PART 301—PROCEDURE AND ADMINISTRATION", the third entry of Paragraph 2., the language "3. Paragraphs (g)(1), and (g)(2) *Example 1* through *Example 3* are revised." is corrected to read "3. Paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) *Example 1* through *Example 3* are revised."

3. On page 20881, column 1, the first entry of Paragraph 5., the language "1.

Paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(4), (b)(3) *Example 1*, (b)(3) *Example 5*, and (c)(1) are revised." is corrected to read "1. Paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(4), (b)(3) introductory text, (b)(3) *Example 1*, (b)(3) *Example 5*, and (c)(1) are revised."

4. On page 20881, column 1, the fourth entry of Paragraph 5., the language "4. Newly-designated paragraph (a)(3)(i) introductory text is revised." is corrected to read "4. Newly-designated paragraph (a)(3)(i) is revised."

5. On page 20881, column 1, the seventh, eighth, and ninth entries of Paragraph 5. are re-designated as eighth, ninth, and tenth entries of Paragraph 5. respectively.

6. On page 20881, column 1, the language "7. Paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(1)(ii) are revised." is added as the newly designated seventh entry of Paragraph 5.

**LaNita Van Dyke,**

*Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel, (Procedure and Administration).*

[FR Doc. E8-10692 Filed 5-13-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 110

[Docket No. USCG-2008-0027]

RIN 1625-AA01

#### Anchorage Regulations; Port of New York

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to revise the boundaries of three anchorage grounds in Upper New York Bay adjacent to Ellis and Liberty Islands. This proposed action is necessary due to the proposed increase in size of the Safety and Security Zones surrounding Ellis and Liberty Islands.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before July 14, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by Coast Guard docket number USCG-2008-0027 to the Docket Management Facility at the U.S. Department of Transportation. To avoid duplication, please use only one of the following methods:

(1) *Online:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.