

Affiliated Imports, LLC, 13326 Immanuel Road, Pflugerville, TX 78660–8006

American Vape Company, LLC a/k/a American, Vapor Company, LLC, 13326 Immanuel Road, Pflugerville, TX 78660–8006

Breeze Smoke, LLC, 4654 Lilly Court, West Bloomfield, MI 48323

Dongguan (Shenzhen) Shikai Technology Co., Ltd., L5 Block A Shuangjinhui, Tongfuyu Fuyong, Baoan Shenzhen, Guangdong China 518101

EVO Brands, LLC, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808

Flawless Vape Shop Inc., 1021 E Orangethorpe Avenue, Anaheim, CA 92801

Flawless Vape Wholesale & Distribution Inc., 1021 E Orangethorpe Avenue, Anaheim, CA 92801

Guangdong Qisitech Co., Ltd., Fuxing Road, Changan Town, Room 201, Building 3, No. 36, Dongguan City, Guangdong Province, China 523000

iMiracle (Shenzhen) Technology Co. Ltd., Room 1203, Block 1, Wanting Building, Xixiang Subdistrict, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China 518126

Magellan Technology Inc., 2225 Kenmore Avenue, Buffalo, NY 14207

Pastel Cartel, LLC, 13326 Immanuel Road, Pflugerville, TX 78660–8006

Price Point Distributors Inc. d/b/a Prince Point NY, 500 Smith Street, Farmingdale, NY 11735

PVG2, LLC, 251 Little Falls Drive, Wilmington, DE 19808

Shenzhen Daosen Vaping Technology Co., Ltd., #501, Building B1, Quanzhi Zhihui Park, Ligang S. Road., Shajin Street, Bao'an Dist., Shenzhen, China 518104

Shenzhen Fumot Technology Co., Ltd., A2907, Building A Longguan Jiuzuan Business, Center, Minzhi Longhua, Shenzhen, China, 518000

Shenzhen Funyin Electronic Co., Ltd., 205 and 401, Building A3, Fuyan Ind. Zone, Tangwei Community, Fuhai St., Bao'an Dist., Shenzhen, Guangdong, China 518000

Shenzhen Han Technology Co., Ltd., Qianwan Hard Technology Park, Baoan, District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China 518126

Shenzhen Innokin Technology Co., Ltd., Building 6, XinXinTian Industrial Park, Xinsha Road, Shajing, Baoan District, Shenzhen China 518104

Shenzhen IVPS Technology Co., Ltd., 101 Building B8, No. 2, Cengayo Industrial Area, Yuluv Community, Yutang Subdistrict, Guangming District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China 518001

Shenzhen Noriyang Technology Co., Ltd., Room 303, Building A,

Zhonghengsheng High-Tech Park, Xinyu Road, Shajing Town, Baoan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China 518104

Shenzhen Weiboli Technology Co. Ltd., Room 312, Tianshuzuo, No. 6099 Bao'an Avenue, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China 518000

SV3 LLC d/b/a Mi-One Brands, 4908 E McDowell Road, Phoenix, AZ 85008

Thesy, LLC d/b/a Element Vape, 10620 Hickson Street, El Monte, CA 91731

Vapeonly Technology Co. Ltd., Room 306–311, Tianshu Building, No. 6099, Bao'an Avenue, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China 518000

VICA Trading Inc. d/b/a Vapesourcing, 3045 Edinger Avenue, Tustin, CA 92780

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainants of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 15, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2023–27996 Filed 12–19–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1336]

Certain Semiconductor Devices, Mobile Services Contacting the Same, and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Due to Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 78) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation due to settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 19, 2022, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Daedalus Prime LLC (“Daedalus” or “Complainant”) of Bronxville, New York. 87 FR 63524–25 (Oct. 19, 2022). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor devices, mobile devices containing the same, and components thereof by reason

of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,831,306 (“the ‘306 patent”); 10,319,812 (“the ‘812 patent”); 10,700,178; and 11,251,281. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey (collectively, “Samsung”); Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited of Hsinchu City, Taiwan and TSMC North America of San Jose, California (collectively, “TSMC”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party in this investigation.

Subsequently, the investigation was terminated with respect to the ‘306 and the ‘812 patents. Order No. 35 (June 9, 2023), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (July 10, 2023). Furthermore, the ALJ granted Complainant’s motion for summary determination that the TSMC respondents are not licensed to the asserted patents. Order No. 49 (July 17, 2023), *reviewed and, on review, vacated in part and affirmed in part with modified reasoning by Comm’n Notice* (August 23, 2023). Also, the investigation was terminated with respect to the Samsung respondents. Order No. 52 (August 7, 2023), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Sep. 5, 2023).

On October 18, 2023, Daedalus and the TSMC respondents (Daedalus and TSMC together, the “Moving Parties”) filed a joint motion to, *inter alia*, terminate the investigation based on settlement. (“Mot.”). On October 24, 2023, OUII filed a response supporting the motion. (“Staff Resp.”).

On November 14, 2023, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 78) granting the joint motion. The ID noted that, consistent with Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), Daedalus and TSMC attach a copy of a Settlement and Patent License Agreement, a Patent Purchase Agreement, and two binding Term Sheets as Exhibits 1–4 to their motion. ID at 2. The ID further noted that, in addition, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1), the motion states that “[t]here are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between Daedalus and TSMC concerning the subject matter of this Investigation.” *Id.* (citing Mot. at 2).

The ID further noted that Daedalus and TSMC submit that termination “will not adversely affect the public interest” and that “[t]ermination will also conserve Commission resources, including those of the Administrative Law Judge and the Commission Investigative Staff, as well as those of

the Moving Parties.” *Id.* (citing Mot. at 2–3). The ID noted that in its response to the Moving Parties’ motion to terminate, OUII states that “Staff is not aware of any reason why termination of this investigation on the basis of the Agreements would be contrary to the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers[.]” and that “the public interest favors settlement to avoid unnecessary litigation and to conserve public and private resources.” *Id.* (citing Staff Resp. at 4). The ID found no evidence of any adverse impact on the public interest from the termination of the investigation and granted the motion thus terminating the investigation. *Id.* at 2–3.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on December 14, 2023.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 14, 2023.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2023–27897 Filed 12–19–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–0220]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension of a Previously Approved Collection; Bureau of Justice Assistance Application Form: Public Safety Officers Educational Assistance

AGENCY: Bureau of Justice Assistance, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until February 20, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection

instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Hope D. Janke, Director, Public Safety Officers’ Benefits Office, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 7th Street NW, Washington, DC 20531, telephone: (202) 307–2858, or email: hope.d.janke@usdoj.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Assistance, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Abstract: BJA’s Public Safety Officers’ Benefits (PSOB) Office will use the PSOE Application information to confirm the eligibility of applicants to receive PSOE benefits. Eligibility is dependent on several factors, including the applicant, as a spouse or child, having received or being eligible to receive a portion of the PSOB Death Benefit, or having a spouse or parent who received the PSOB Disability Benefit. Also considered are the applicant’s age and the schools being attended. In addition, information to help BJA identify an individual is collected, such as contact numbers and email addresses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Public Safety Officers’ Educational Assistance (PSOE).

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the*