

Bridger-Teton National Forest for more than 50 years to conduct their winter elk management programs. This use of NFS land has been authorized in the past by the issuance of permits. The WGFC has requested long term authorization to continue this established use.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose and need for action is to respond to the WGFC request for long term Special Use Permits. The Special Use Permits would authorize the proposed intermittent occupancy and use of specified lands for activities associated with their winter elk management program.

Proposed Action

The proposed action is to authorize the continued use of NFS lands by the WGFC for corrals, sheds, chutes, and feeding grounds associated with their ongoing winter elk management program. The specific areas addressed in this action include the following sites:

- (1) Fish Creek.
- (2) Pritchard Creek (Dog Creek).
- (3) Muddy Canyon.
- (4) Fall Creek.
- (5) Alkali Creek.
- (6) Upper Green River.
- (7) Patrol Cabin.

Possible Alternatives

Three preliminary alternatives have been identified: (1) The no action alternative—no Special Use Authorization would be issued, (2) The proposed action—issuance of authorization to the WGFC, and (3) authorization of the proposed use with modifications.

Lead and Cooperating Agencies

The Forest Service is the lead agency. WGFC is a cooperating agency.

Responsible Official

The responsible forest officer for this proposed action is Carole “Kniffy” Hamilton, Forest Supervisor, Bridger-Teton National Forest, 340 N. Cache, P.O. Box 1888, Jackson, WY 83001.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The decision to be made is whether or not to authorize, in whole or in part, use of specific NFS lands by the WGFC for corrals, sheds, chutes, and feeding grounds associated with their ongoing elk feeding and management program.

Scoping Process

The first formal opportunity to respond to the proposed action listed above is during the public scoping process (40 CFR 1501.7) which begins

with the issuance of this Notice of Intent. Scoping letters will be sent to the forest mailing list of known interested parties, and public meetings will be scheduled in Jackson and Pinedale, WY. The time and place for those meetings will be published in the local papers and posted on the forest Web site. The scoping process will assist the forest in identifying specific issues to be addressed related to the purpose and need and the scope of the decision. Mail comments to the addresses given above for further information. Ongoing information related to the proposed action and related analysis will be posted on the Bridger-Teton National Forest Web site <http://www.fs.fed.us/r4/btnf>.

Preliminary Issues

Preliminary issues associated with the proposed action include:

- (1) Concentrated use of the specified areas by elk could affect local habitat due to heavy browsing of willows and other shrubs.
- (2) Concentrated use of the specified areas during warmer spring days could impact soils due to trampling on the area.
- (3) Importing of hay would increase the possibility of introducing noxious weeds.

Permits or Licenses Required

If the decision is to authorize the WGFC to occupy and use National Forest System lands, it will be done through the issuance of a Special Use Authorization. (36 CFR part 251 subpart B)

Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the DEIS will be for a period of 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a DEIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v.*

NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the DEIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: July 12, 2007.

Carole “Kniffy” Hamilton,

Forest Supervisor, Bridger-Teton National Forest.

[FR Doc. E7–14152 Filed 7–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Fresno County Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Fresno County Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Prather, California. The purpose of the meeting is to receive and review recommend project proposals for FY2007 funds regarding the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-

Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–393) for expenditure of Payments to States Fresno County Title II funds.

DATES: The meeting will be held on September 11, 2007 from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the High Sierra Ranger district, 29688 Auberry Road, Prather, California 93651. Send written comments to Robbin Ekman, Fresno County Resource Advisory Committee Coordinator, c/o Sierra National Forest, High Sierra Ranger District, 29688 Auberry Road, Prather, CA 93651 or electronically to rekman@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robbin Ekman, Fresno County Resource Advisory Committee Coordinator, (559) 855–5355 ext. 3341.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring Payments to States Fresno County title II project matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by August 24, 2007 will have the opportunity to address the Committee at those sessions. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Call for new projects and (2) Public comment.

Dated: July 11, 2007.

Ray Porter,
District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 07–3529 Filed 7–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Request for Proposals (RFP): Demonstration Program for Agriculture, Aquaculture, and Seafood Processing and/or Fishery Worker Housing Grants

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Rural Housing Service published a document in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2007, requesting proposals for housing demonstration program for agriculture, aquaculture, and seafood processing and/or fishery workers grant funds. The deadline date for the submission of applications was inadvertently omitted from the notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry Searcy, Jr., Senior Loan

Specialist, USDA, Rural Housing Service, Multi-Family Housing Processing Division, Stop 0781, Room 1263, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–0781, telephone (202) 720–1753. (This is not a toll-free number.).

Correction

In the **Federal Register** of July 17, 2007, in FR Doc. E7–13763, on page 39045, in the second column, the “**DATES**” caption should read:

DATES: The deadline for receipt of all applications in response to this RFP is 5 p.m., eastern time, on August 31, 2007.

Dated: July 17, 2007.

Russell T. Davis,

Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. E7–14183 Filed 7–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–XV–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–804]

Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan: Rescission of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 11, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Cartsos or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1757 or (202) 482–4477, respectively.

Rescission of Amended Final Results

On July 11, 2007, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published amended final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan for the period May 1, 2000, through April 30, 2001. See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 37702 (July 11, 2007) (Amended Final Results). We published the Amended Final Results, which reflected a court decision, mistakenly before that decision became final and conclusive. Therefore, the Department is rescinding those Amended Final Results.

Dated: July 16, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–14160 Filed 7–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–810]

Stainless Steel Bar from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 23, 2007.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India manufactured and exported by Ambica Steels Limited (“Ambica”). In these preliminary results, we find that Ambica made sales of subject merchandise below normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 23, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Devta Ohri or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3853 and (202) 482–0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 21, 1995, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (“SSB”) from India. See *Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India and Japan*, 60 FR 9661 (February 21, 1995).

On August 31, 2006, the Department received a request from Ambica to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. On September 26, 2006, the Department published in the **Federal Register**, a notice of initiation of a new shipper review of Ambica covering the period February 1, 2006, through July 31, 2006. See *Stainless Steel Bar from India: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 56105 (September 26, 2006).

On September 26, 2006, the Department issued an antidumping