Federal Register. 82 FR 7562, January 18, 2017 (FRL–9957–75).
7. EPA. Final Rule; Procedures for Chemical Risk Evaluation Under the Amended Toxic Substances Control Act. **Federal Register**. 82 FR 33726, July 20, 2017 (FRL–9964–38).
8. EPA. Summary of External Peer Review and Public Comments and Disposition for C.I. Pigment Violet 29 (PV29) (Anthra[2,1,9-def:6,5,10-d′e′f′]diisoquinoline-1,3,8,10(2H,9H)-tetrone). January 2021. *https://www.regulations.gov/document/EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0604-0126.*

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*

Michael S. Regan,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2022–04672 Filed 3–4–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPPT–2018–0443; FRL–8850–02–OCSPP]

Octamethylcyclotetra-siloxane (D4); Final Scope of the Risk Evaluation To Be Conducted Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA); Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and implementing regulations, EPA is announcing the availability of the final scope of the risk evaluation to be conducted for octamethylcyclotetra-siloxane (D4) (Cyclotetrasiloxane, 2,2,4,4,6,6,8,8-octamethyl-; Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number (CASRN) 556–67–2), a chemical substance for which EPA received a manufacturer request for risk evaluation. The scope document includes the conditions of use, hazards, exposures, and the potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations that EPA plans to consider in conducting the risk evaluation for this chemical substance.

ADDRESSES: The docket, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPPT–2018–0443, is available online at *https://www.regulations.gov* or in-person at the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Docket (OPPT Docket), Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC.

Due to the public health concerns related to COVID–19, the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) and Reading Room is open to visitors by appointment only. For the latest status information on EPA/DC services and docket access, visit *https://www.epa.gov/dockets.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For technical information contact: Bethany Masten, Existing Chemical Risk Management Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency (Mailcode 7404T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (202) 564–8803; email address: *masten.bethany@epa.gov.*

For general information contact: The TSCA-Hotline, ABVI-Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554–1404; email address: *TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general and may be of interest to entities that manufacture (including import) a chemical substance regulated under TSCA (*e.g.,* entities identified under North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes 325 and 324110). The action may also be of interest to chemical processors, distributors in commerce, and users; non-governmental organizations in the environmental and public health sectors; state and local government agencies; and members of the public. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities and corresponding NAICS codes for entities that may be interested in or affected by this action.

B. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

The final scope document is issued pursuant to TSCA section 6(b)(4)(D) and TSCA implementing regulations at 40 CFR 702.41(c)(8).

C. What action is the Agency taking?

EPA is publishing the final scope of the risk evaluation for D4 under TSCA. Through the risk evaluation process, EPA will determine whether the chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment under the conditions of use, in accordance with TSCA section 6(b)(4).

II. Background

TSCA allows chemical manufacturers to request an EPA-conducted risk evaluation of a chemical substance under 40 CFR 702.37. On March 19, 2020, EPA received a manufacturer request for a risk evaluation of D4 (Ref. 1). On June 17, 2020, EPA opened a 45-day public comment period to gather information relevant to the requested risk evaluation. EPA granted the request on October 6, 2020, and subsequently initiated the scoping process for the risk evaluation for this chemical substance. Pursuant to 40 CFR 702.41(c)(7), EPA announced the availability of and sought public comment on the draft scope document for the risk evaluation to be conducted for D4 under TSCA (86 FR 50347, September 8, 2021) (FRL–8850–01–OCSPP) (Ref. 2).

The purpose of risk evaluation is to determine whether a chemical substance, or category of chemical substances, presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, under the conditions of use, including an unreasonable risk to a relevant potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(A)). As part of this process, EPA must evaluate both hazards and exposures for the conditions of use; describe whether aggregate or sentinel exposures were considered and the basis for consideration; not consider costs or other nonrisk factors; take into account, where relevant, likely duration, intensity, frequency, and number of exposures and describe the weight of the scientific evidence for hazards and exposures (15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(F)). This process will culminate in a determination of whether the chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment under the conditions of use (15 U.S.C. 2605(b)(4)(A); 40 CFR 702.47).

III. Information and Comments Received on the Draft Scope

In the **Federal Register** of September 8, 2021 (Ref. 2), EPA announced the availability of the draft scope document for the risk evaluation to be conducted for D4 under TSCA and invited public comments on EPA's draft scope document, including additional data or information relevant to the chemical substance or that otherwise could be useful to the Agency in finalizing the scope of the risk evaluation. To the extent that comments provided information on conditions of use, as well as other elements of the draft scope document, those comments and other submitted information (*e.g.,* relevant studies, assessments, information on degradation products, and information on conditions of use) were used to inform revisions to the draft scope document and may be considered in

subsequent phases of the risk evaluation process.

EPA received six unique submissions for D4, including comments from potentially affected businesses or trade associations, environmental and public health advocacy groups, and one member of the general public.

Comments addressed the overall approach to the risk evaluation process (*e.g.,* collection, consideration, and systematic review of relevant information), the specific elements of the scope document (*e.g.,* hazard, exposure, and potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations), information specific to the chemical substance (*e.g.,* relevant studies, assessments, degradation products, and conditions of use), and topics beyond the draft scope document phase of the process (*e.g.,* risk management). EPA considered those comments, as applicable and appropriate, in developing the final scope document. Concurrently with the publication of the final scope document, EPA is publishing a response to comments document that contains a comprehensive summary of and response to public comments received on the D4 draft scope document. The comprehensive response to comments document is available in the docket EPA–HQ–OPPT–2018–0443 (Ref. 3).

IV. References

The following is a listing of the documents that are specifically referenced in this **Federal Register** notice. The docket for this action includes these documents and other information considered by EPA, including documents that are referenced within the documents that are included in the docket. For assistance in locating these referenced documents, please consult the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

1. EPA. Octamethylcyclotetra-Siloxane (D4); Manufacturer Request for Risk Evaluation Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA); Notice of Availability and Request for Comments. **Federal Register**. (85 FR 36586, June 17, 2020) (FRL–10010–49).
2. EPA. Octamethylcyclotetra-Siloxane (D4); Draft Scope of the Risk Evaluation to be Conducted Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA); Notice of Availability and Request for Comments. **Federal Register**. (86 FR 50347, September 8, 2021) (FRL–8850–01–OCSPP).
3. EPA. EPA Response to Public Comments Received on the Draft Scope of the Risk Evaluation for Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) for Octamethylcyclotetra-siloxane (Cyclotetrasiloxane, 2,2,4,4,6,6,8,8-octamethyl-) (D4) CASRN 556–67–2 (March 2022).

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2601 *et seq.*

Michael S. Regan,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2022–04676 Filed 3–4–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[MB Docket No. 22–76; FCC DA 22–187; FR ID 74348]

Application of The Marion Education Exchange for Renewal of License for Station WWGH–LP, Marion, Ohio

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Hearing Designation Order, Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (Order) commences a hearing to determine whether The Marion Education Exchange (MEE) has committed violations of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act) and/or the rules and regulations (Rules) of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission), and, as a consequence, whether MEE's application (Renewal Application) to renew the license of low power FM radio station WWGH–LP, Marion, Ohio (Station) should be granted or denied pursuant to section 309(k) of the Act, and whether a forfeiture should be imposed against MEE.

DATES: Persons desiring to participate as parties in the hearing shall file a petition for leave to intervene not later than April 6, 2022.

ADDRESSES: File documents with the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554, with a copy mailed to each party to the proceeding. Each document that is filed in this proceeding must display on the front page the docket number of this hearing, ''MB Docket No. 22–76.''

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Albert Shuldiner, Media Bureau, (202) 418–2721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Hearing Designation Order, Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, and Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (Order), MB Docket No. 22–76, FCC DA 22–187, adopted and released February 23, 2022. The full text of the Order is available online by using the search function for MB Docket No. 22–76 on the Commission's ECFS web page at *http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/.*

Summary of the Order

1. MEE was registered with the State of Ohio as a non-profit corporation on May 2, 2019, with Shawn Craft as the registered agent. On May 9, 2019, MEE and Marion Midget Football (MMF)—the Station's former licensee—filed an application for Commission consent to the *pro forma* assignment of the Station's license from MMF to MEE (Assignment Application). Therein, MEE indicated that ''[t]here are no changes in the board members, only the name of the licensee.'' MEE listed Patti Worcester (Worcester), Martha Maniaci (Maniaci), Mary Ann Stolarczyk (Stolarczyk), Betty Compton (Compton), and Marge Hazelett (Hazelett) as its board members, and indicated each had 20 percent voting rights. We granted the unopposed Assignment Application on May 21, 2019. In the course of this license renewal proceeding, we have learned that Compton died on November 7, 2016, more than two years before MEE filed the Assignment Application that listed her as one of five existing and continuing members of MEE's board.

2. On May 28, 2019, MEE filed a *pro forma* transfer of control application (Transfer Application). MEE reported that ''Worcester has decided to retire and voluntarily transfers her position to Shawn Craft.'' We granted the unopposed Transfer Application on July 11, 2019.

3. On June 6, 2020, MEE filed the Renewal Application. Spencer Phelps (Phelps) then filed an Informal Objection. Phelps alleged that MEE had misrepresented its board composition in the Assignment Application. Phelps stated that the board members of MEE were ''completely different people'' than those MEE listed in the Assignment Application, and argued that MEE's statement in that application that there were ''no changes in the board members, only the name of the licensee'' was false. To support his claim, Phelps submitted copies of corporate materials that MEE had filed with the State of Ohio. The corporate materials did not list Worcester, Maniaci, Stolarczyk, Compton, or Hazelett, the names listed in the Assignment Application. Instead, they listed four different individuals: Shawn Craft (Craft), Linda Sims (Sims), Glenn Coble (Coble), and Terry Tackett (Tackett).

4. MEE did not respond to the Informal Objection. Accordingly, we