harvest equipment, log trucks and increased truck traffic could be disturbing to local residents and recreation users, potentially impacting local tourism and the related tourist economy during harvest operations, and (2) There is concern that noxious weeds could spread to areas where mineral soil has been exposed due to road and landing construction.

The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. The draft environmental impact statement is scheduled for release in January, 2001. It is expected that the final environmental impact statement will be released in April, 2001.

Comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR parts 215 or 217. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27 (d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within a specified number of days.

The USDA Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage as a result of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803

F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir, 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the USDA Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages of chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on **Environmental Quality Regulations for** implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points).

The lead agency for this project is the USDA Forest Service, Willamette National Forest. The deciding official is Stephanie Phillips, District Ranger, Detroit Ranger District of the Willamette National Forest. The Record of Decision for this project will document the decision and rationale for the decision. That decision is subject to appeal under 36 CFR part 215.

Dated: August 29, 2000.

### Stephanie Phillips,

Detroit District Ranger, Willamette National Forest.

[FR Doc. 00–23729 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

# COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

# **Procurement List; Additions**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled

**ACTION:** Additions to the procurement list.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**DATES:** Effective Date: October 16, 2000. **ADDRESSES:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3259.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Louis R. Bartalot (703) 603–7740

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 24, 1999, July 21 and 28, and August 4, 2000, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notices (64 FR 51736 and 65 FR 45358, 46425 and 47949) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4. I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the services.
- 3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.
- 4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following services are hereby added to the Procurement List:

Administrative/General Support Services

Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General, 5111 Leesburg Pike, Room 538, Falls Church, Virginia

Base Supply Center

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

Grounds Maintenance

Base Housing, Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point, North Carolina

Hearing/Grievance Examiner Services

The Corporation for National & Community Service, 1201 New York Avenue, NW, Washington, DC Janitorial/Custodial

Naval and Marine Corps Reserve Center, 3655 S. Wilmot Road, Tucson, Arizona

Janitorial/Custodial

Youth Center (Ch-905), New Submarine Base New London, Groton, Connecticut

Laundry Service

Linen Exchange, Building 426, March Air Force Base, California

Parking Facility Attendant

VA Medical Center, 2215 Fuller Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Switchboard Operation

Harry S. Truman Memorial Veterans' Hospital, Columbia, Missouri

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

#### G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23791 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

# COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

# **Procurement List; Proposed Additions**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Proposed additions to procurement list.

**SUMMARY:** The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 16, 2000.

ADDRESS: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Louis R. Bartalot (703) 603–7740 **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51–2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

If the Committee approves the proposed additions, all entities of the Federal Government (except as otherwise indicated) will be required to procure the services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.
- 3. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List. Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following services have been proposed for addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Janitorial/Custodial

Department of Veterans Affairs, BRECC VA Clinic, 3800 Loch Raven Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland NPA: Baltimore Association for Retarded

Citizens, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland
U.S. Department of Commerce, National

J.S. Department of Commerce, National Weather Service, 2001 North West 56th Drive, Pendleton, Oregon

NPA: Horizon Project, Inc., Milton Freewater, Oregon

Janitorial/Grounds Maintenance

U.S. Army Reserve Center, 1650 Corey Boulevard, Decatur, Georgia NPA: Bobby Dodd Industries, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia

Mailroom Operation

New Orleans Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) Sites, New Orleans, Louisiana, NPA: Goodworks, Inc., New Orleans, Louisiana

Switchboard Operation

Department of Justice, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia NPA: Rappahannock Goodwill Industries, Inc., Fredericksburg, Virginia

#### G. John Heyer,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 00–23792 Filed 9–14–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-588-806]

# Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 8, 2000, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide from Japan. The review covers one producer/exporter, Tosoh Corporation, during the period of review April 1, 1998, through March 31, 1999.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made no changes for the final results. The review indicates the existence of no dumping margins for Tosoh Corporations during this period.

DATE: September 15, 2000.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hermes Pinilla, Karin Ryerson, or Richard Rimlinger, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3477, (202) 482–3174 or (202) 482–4477; respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act, by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Departments) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

# Background

On May 8, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antitidumping duty order on electrolytic manganese dioxide (EMD) from Japan. See *Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide from Japan*, 65 FR