Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

## E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

## F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023-01 and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42) U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a temporary safety zone for the demolition of Lock and Dam 52 involving explosives on the Ohio River in Brookport, IL. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) in Table 3-1 of U.S. Coast Guard Environmental Planning Implementing Procedures 5090.1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T08–0486 to read as follows:

# § 165.T08-0486 Safety Zone; Ohio River, Brookport, IL.

- (a) Location. The safety zone will cover all navigable waters of the Ohio River from mile marker (MM) 937 to MM 941.
- (b) Effective period. This section is effective without actual notice from December 9, 2019 through December 1, 2020. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from December 3, 2019 through December 9, 2019
- (c) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced at midday each day from December 3, 2019 through December 1, 2020, as necessary to facilitate safe demolition operations.
- (d) Regulations. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23, entry of vessels or persons into the zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector Ohio Valley (COTP) or designated representative. A designated representative is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of USCG Sector Ohio Valley.
- (2) Vessels requiring entry into the safety zone must request permission from the COTP or a designated representative. To seek entry into the safety zone, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative by telephone at 502–779–5422 or on VHF–FM channel 16.
- (3) Persons and vessels permitted to enter the safety zone must transit at their slowest safe speed and comply with all lawful directions issued by the COTP or the designated representative.
- (e) Information broadcasts. The COTP or a designated representative will inform the public when the safety zone is being enforced via a Broadcast Notices to Mariners.

Dated: December 3, 2019.

#### A.M. Beach,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Ohio Valley.

[FR Doc. 2019-26472 Filed 12-6-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2019-0403; FRL-10002-75-Region 10]

# Air Plan Approval; ID; Update to CRB Fee Billing Procedures

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve state implementation plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Idaho's Department of Environmental Quality on June 5, 2019. The revisions implement changes to the timing of when fees for open burning of crop residue are paid. The changes provide Idaho Department of Environmental Quality a more streamlined administrative process and were based on recommendations from Idaho's Crop Residue Advisory Committee.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on January 8, 2020.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2019-0403. All documents in the docket are listed on https://www.regulations.gov website. Publicly available docket materials are available either through https://www.regulations.gov, or please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section for additional availability information.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randall Ruddick at (206) 553–1999, or ruddick.randall@epa.gov,
Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 10, Air Planning Section, Air and Radiation Division, 1200 Sixth
Avenue, Suite 155–15–H13, Seattle,
Washington 98101–3188.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, it is intended to refer to the EPA.

# **Table of Contents**

I. Background

II. Response to Comment

III. Final Action

IV. Incorporation by Reference

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background

On June 5, 2019, Idaho submitted a SIP revision request to the EPA. The SIP submittal contains two revisions to the federally-approved crop residue burning (CRB) rules. Specifically, fee due dates in IDAPA 58.01.01.620.01 were changed from "at least seven (7) days prior to the proposed burn date" to "within thirty (30) days following the receipt of the annual burn fee invoice." This revision does not change the burn fee amounts, rather it only changes when the fee is due. Idaho revised IDAPA 58.01.01.620.02 to clarify that IDEQ will not accept or process registration for a permit by rule to burn from any person with delinquent burn fees, in full or in part. Idaho Code 39-114 (codification of Īdaho Senate Bill 1024, Section 4) was revised by removing the requirement that payment be made prior to burning to align with revisions to IDAPA 58.01.01.620.01.

These revisions do not change fee structure amounts and do not change the timing of the fee payment for spot and bale burn permits required under IDAPA 58.01.01.624.02.a. All other CRB requirements remain unchanged.

EPA published a direct final rule on September 3, 2019 (84 FR 45918), approving Idaho's requested revisions to the SIP, along with a proposed rule (84 FR 45930) that provided a 30-day public comment period. EPA received one anonymous comment during the public comment period. Consequently, the direct final rule on this approval was withdrawn on October 21, 2019 (84 FR 56121). After consideration of the comment, we do not believe any changes in the rationale or conclusions in the proposed approval are appropriate. A summary of the comment as well as EPA's response is described below.

#### II. Response to Comment

Comment: The EPA received one comment. The commenter acknowledged that the EPA's action only addressed the timing of CRB fees payment, but stated that the commenter is "concerned as to whether or not the environment is being fully considered." The commenter's main concerns relate to failure to pay and that the proposed change "may also provide incentive for future fee evasion." The commenter states paying fees prior to burning ensures fees are paid while allowing payment after the burn does not ensure payment; and asserts that "Ensuring payment should precede streamlining payment processes."

Response: We disagree with the commenter's assertions IDEQ's revisions

to the CRB rules provide an incentive for fee evasion and promote environmental degradation. First, the substantive requirements for conducting open burning in Idaho have not changed. IDAPA 58.01.01.622 General Provisions states "All persons intending to dispose of crop residue through burning shall abide by the following provisions." The provisions include a requirement that IDEQ has designated that day as a burn day based on meteorological and ambient air conditions and that the permittee has received an individual approval specifying the conditions under which the burn may be conducted. In addition, IDAPA 58.01.01.622.01.f requires anyone intending to burn crop residue to attend a crop residue burning training session. Second, the rules contain significant disincentives to evade or fail to pay fees after receiving permission to burn. IDAPA 58.01.01.620.02 provides that IDEQ will not accept or process a registration for a permit for any person having delinquent fees, in full or part. In addition, anyone burning in violation of the CRB rules is subject to a fine of up to \$10,000 for each violation under Idaho Statute 39–108(5). We, therefore, have not made any changes to the rationale or conclusions in the proposed approval based on the comment received.

## **III. Final Action**

The EPA is approving, and incorporating by reference in Idaho's SIP, revisions to Idaho's CRB fee regulations as requested by Idaho on June 5, 2019 to the following provisions:

- IDAPA 58.01.01.620 (Burn Fee, state effective April 11, 2019); and
- Idaho Code 39–114 (Open Burning of Crop Residue, state effective February 26, 2019).

We have determined that the submitted SIP revisions are consistent with section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

#### IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, the EPA is approving regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, we are incorporating by reference the provisions described above in Section III. Final Action. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available electronically through http:// www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 10 Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been

approved by the EPA for inclusion in the State Implementation Plan, have been incorporated by reference by the EPA into that plan, are fully Federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of the EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.

# V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866;
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999).
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because this action does not involve technical standards; and
- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as

appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect

until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by February 7, 2020. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: November 14, 2019.

#### Chris Hladick,

Regional Administrator, Region 10.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

# PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

#### Subpart N—Idaho

- 2. Amend§ 52.670, in the table in paragraph (c) by:
- a. Revising entry for "620"; and
- b. Under the heading "State Statutes":
- i. Removing the entry for "Section 3 of Senate Bill 1009, codified at Idaho Code Section 39–114"; and
- ii. Adding an entry for "Section 4 of Senate Bill 1024, codified at Idaho Code Section 39–114".

The revisions and addition read as follows:

#### § 52.670 Identification of plan.

(C) \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# **EPA-APPROVED IDAHO REGULATIONS AND STATUTES**

State citation	Title/subject	State effective date	EPA approval date			Explanations
Idaho Adr	ministrative Procedures	Act (IDAPA) 58.0	)1.01—Rules f	or the Control of	Air Pollution ir	ı Idaho
*	* *		*	*	*	*
620	Burn Fee	4/11/2019 12/09/2019, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].				
*	* *		*	*	*	*
		State	Statutes			
Section 4 of Senate Bill 1024, codified at Idaho Code Section 39–114.	Open Burning of Crop Residue	2/26/2019	12/09/2019, [ tion].	Insert <b>Federal Re</b> ç	gister cita-	

[FR Doc. 2019–26397 Filed 12–6–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2018-0072; FRL-10002-81-Region 5]

# Air Plan Approval; Illinois; Sulfur Dioxide

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a request

submitted by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) on February 6, 2018, to revise the Illinois State Implementation Plan (SIP) under the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the 2010 1-hour sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). IEPA specifically requested EPA approval to amend the Illinois SIP for the 2010 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS to account for two variances granted by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (IPCB) to Calpine Corporation (Calpine) and Exelon Generation, LLC (Exelon). EPA