Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 19, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2020–18537 Filed 8–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1160]

Certain Replacement Automotive Service and Collision Parts and Components Thereof; Commission Determination To Issue a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders Against Defaulting Respondents; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the "Commission") has determined to issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders against the following respondents found in default in this investigation: AJ Auto Spare Parts FZE of Dubai, United Arab Emirates; John Auto Spare Parts Co. LLC of Dubai, United Arab Emirates; and Cuong Anh Co. Ltd. of Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam (collectively, "the Defaulting Respondents"). The Commission has also determined to impose a bond equal to one hundred (100) percent of the entered value of the infringing products imported during the period of Presidential review. The investigation is hereby terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin S. Richards, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5453. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 7, 2019, the Commission instituted the above-referenced investigation based on a complaint filed by Hyundai Motor America, Inc. of Fountain Valley, California and Hyundai Motor Company of Seoul, Republic of Korea (collectively, "Hyundai"). 84 FR 26703-04 (June 7, 2019). The complaint alleges a violation of 19 U.S.C. 1337, as amended ("Section 337"), in the importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States after importation of certain gray market Hyundai parts in the categories of belts, body exterior and interior parts, brakes, wheel hubs, cooling system parts, drivetrain parts, electrical parts, emission parts, engine parts, exhaust parts, fuel/air pumps, oil/ air/cabin air filters and parts, heat and A/C parts, ignition parts, steering parts, suspension parts, transmission parts, wheels and parts, wiper and washer parts, and accessories that infringe one or more of Hyundai's U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 1,104,727; 3,991,863; 1,569,538; and 4,065,195. *Id.* at 26704. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists in the United States. Id.

The Commission's notice of investigation named Direct
Technologies International, Inc. ("DTI") of North Miami Beach, Florida; AJ Auto Spare Parts FZE ("AJ Auto") and John Auto Spare Parts Co. LLC ("John Auto"), both of Dubai, United Arab Emirates; and Cuong Anh Co. Ltd. ("Cuong Anh") of Ninh Binh Province, Vietnam as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation.

On November 25, 2019, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") granting Hyundai's unopposed motion to find respondents AJ Auto, John Auto, and Cuong Anh (collectively, the "Defaulting Respondents") in default. Order No. 17 (Nov. 5, 2019), not reviewed, Comm'n Notice (Nov. 25, 2019). Thereafter, on January 24, 2020, Hyundai filed a declaration seeking immediate entry of a limited exclusion order against the Defaulting

Respondents and any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, and related business entities, successors or assigns.

On February 18, 2020, the Commission determined not to review an ID granting Hyundai's unopposed motion for summary determination that Hyundai satisfies the domestic industry requirement of section 337. Order No. 26 (Jan. 16, 2020), not reviewed, Comm'n Notice (Feb. 18, 2020).

On March 26, 2020, the Commission determined not to review an ID granting a joint motion by Hyundai and DTI to terminate the investigation as to DTI based on a consent order. Order No. 36 (Mar. 5, 2020), not reviewed, Comm'n Notice (Mar. 26, 2020). The Commission concurrently issued a consent order directed to DTI, found that Hyundai's January 24, 2020 declaration for immediate relief against the Defaulting Respondents was moot, and requested briefing on the issues of remedy, bonding, and the public interest with respect to the Defaulting Respondents. Comm'n Notice, 2-3 (Mar. 26, 2020).

On April 9, 2020, Hyundai filed the sole response to the Commission's request for briefing. No replies or other submissions were received.

Upon review of the record, and in the absence of any response from the Defaulting Respondents or from other interested persons or government agencies, and having concluded that it would not be contrary to the public interest to do so, the Commission has determined to issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders against the Defaulting Respondents. The Commission has further determined to set a bond in the amount of one hundred (100) percent of the entered value of the covered products.

The investigation is hereby terminated.

While temporary remote operating procedures are in place in response to COVID-19, the Office of the Secretary is not able to serve parties that have not retained counsel or otherwise provided a point of contact for electronic service. Accordingly, pursuant to Commission Rules 201.16(a) and 210.7(a)(1) (19 CFR 201.16(a), 210.7(a)(1)), the Commission orders that the Hyundai complete service for any party/parties without a method of electronic service noted on the attached Certificate of Service and shall file proof of service on the **Electronic Document Information** System (EDIS).

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 18, 2020.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in Section

337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 18, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2020–18446 Filed 8–21–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number: 1121-0220]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension without Change, of a Previously Approved Collection

AGENCY: Office of Justice Programs,

Department of Justice. **ACTION:** 60-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance is submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: The Department of Justice encourages public comment and will accept input until October 23, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Hope Janke, Attorney Advisor, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance, 810 Seventh Street NW, Washington, DC 20531, Hope.D.Janke@

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

ojp.usdoj.gov, 202-307-2858.

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Assistance, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; —Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced: and

-Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

1. Type of Information Collection: Extension, without change, of a currently approved collection.

2. The Title of the Form/Collection:
Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB)
Program Applications Package
(including currently approved
collections: Public Safety Officers'
Death Benefits Applications (1121–0024
and 1121–0025), Public Safety Officers'
Disability Benefits Application (1121–
0166), Public Safety Officers'
Educational Assistance Application
(1121–0220), and a new form titled:
Public Safety Officers' Appeal Request
Application.).

3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:
None. The application for this program can be accessed online at: https://psob.bja.ojp.gov/. The Bureau of Justice Assistance, in the Office of Justice Programs serves as the hosting component.

4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Public Safety Officers who were permanently and totally disabled in the line of duty; eligible survivors of Public Safety Officers who were killed in the line of duty; eligible spouses and children who receive PSOB death benefits, or whose spouse or parent received the PSOB disability benefit.

Abstract: BJA's Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Office will use the Public Safety Officers' Benefits Program Applications Package (including: The Public Safety Officers' Death Benefits Application, the Public Safety Officers' Disability Benefits Application, the Public Safety Officers' Educational Assistance Application, the Public Safety Officers' Appeal Request Application) to collect and confirm the following:

• Public Safety Officer Death Benefits Application: BJA's Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Office will use the Public Safety Officer Death Benefits Application information to confirm the eligibility of applicants to receive Public Safety Officers' Death Benefits.

Eligibility is dependent on several factors, including Public Safety Officer status, an injury sustained in the line of duty, and the claimant status in the beneficiary hierarchy according to the PSOB Act. In addition, information to help the PSOB Office identify an individual is collected, such as a Social Security number for the Public Safety Officer, telephone numbers, and email addresses.

- Public Safety Officer Disability Benefits Application: BJA's Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Office will use the PSOB Disability Application information to confirm the eligibility of applicants to receive Public Safety Officers' Disability Benefits. Eligibility is dependent on several factors, including Public Safety Officer status, injury sustained in the line of duty, and the total and permanent nature of the line of duty injury. In addition, information to help the PSOB Office identify individuals is collected, such as Social Security number for the Public Safety Officer, telephone numbers, and email addresses.
- Public Safety Officer Educational Assistance Application: BJA's Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Office will use the Public Safety Officer **Educational Assistance Application** information to confirm the eligibility of applicants to receive Public Safety Officer Educational Assistance benefits. Eligibility is dependent on several factors, including the applicant having received or being eligible to receive a portion of the PSOB Death Benefit, or having a spouse or parent who received the PSOB Disability Benefit. Also considered are the applicant's age and the schools being attended. In addition, information to help BJA identify an individual is collected, such as contact numbers and email addresses.
- Public Safety Officer Appeal
 Request Application: BJA's Public
 Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Office
 will use the Public Safety Officer
 Appeal Request Application
 information to confirm the eligibility of
 applicants who wish to appeal a
 previous Public Safety Officers' Death
 and Disability Benefit determination.
 Changes to the report form have been
 made in an effort to streamline the
 application process and eliminate
 requests for information that are either
 irrelevant or already being collected by
 other means.
- 5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:
- Public Safety Officer Death Benefits Application: An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount