dated July 12, 1962, for Power Project No. 2149, is hereby made available for exchange under Section 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1716, subject to the provisions of Section 24 of the Federal Power Act:

Willamette Meridian

T. 30 N., R. 24 E.,

Sec. 13, lot 2 (that portion within the boundary of Power Project No. 2149). T. 30 N., R. 25 E.,

Sec. 18, lots 1 and 2 (that portion within the boundary of Power Project No. 2149).

The area described contains approximately 4.5 acres in Okanogan County.

Dated: July 14, 2004.

Sherrie L. Reid,

Acting Chief, Branch of Realty and Record Services.

[FR Doc. 04–18569 Filed 8–12–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310–33–P**

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[MT-06417-1430-ET; MTM 40614]

Public Land Order No. 7611; Partial Revocation of Bureau of Land Management Order Dated March 30, 1950; Montana

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public Land Order.

SUMMARY: This order partially revokes a Bureau of Land Management Order insofar as it affects 40 acres of public land withdrawn for the Bureau of Reclamation's Lower Marias River Reclamation Project. The land is no longer needed for reclamation purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 13, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brandi Hecker, BLM Havre Field Station, P.O. Box 911, Havre, Montana 59501, 406–262–2829 or Sandra Ward, BLM Montana State Office, P.O. Box 36800, Billings, Montana 59107–6800, 406–896–5052.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Bureau of Reclamation has determined that a withdrawal is no longer needed on the land described in this order and has requested a partial revocation. The land will not be opened to surface entry and non-metalliferous mining until completion of a planning review and analysis to determine the best use of the land for management of natural resources and future land adjustment actions.

Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (2000), it is ordered as follows:

The Bureau of Land Management Order dated March 30, 1950, which withdrew public land for the Bureau of Reclamation's Lower Marias River Reclamation Project, is hereby revoked insofar as it affects the following described land:

Principal Meridian, Montana

T. 29 N., R 9 E.,

sec. 31, NW¹/₄SE¹/₄.

The area described contains 40 acres in Chouteau County.

Dated: August 2, 2004.

Rebecca W. Watson.

Assistant Secretary—Land and Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 04–18570 Filed 8–12–04; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4310–DN–P**

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [MTM 56312]

Public Land Order No. 7610; Extension of Public Land Order No. 6560; Montana

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public Land Order.

SUMMARY: This order extends Public Land Order No. 6560 for an additional 20-year period. This extension is necessary to continue protection of the facilities and capital improvements within the Wisdom Administrative Site.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 6, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandra Ward, Bureau of Land Management, Montana State Office, P.O. Box 36800, Billings, Montana 59107– 6800, 406–896–5052.

Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714 (2000), it is ordered as follows:

(1) Public Land Order No. 6560 (49 FR 32068, August 10, 1985) which withdrew 59.99 acres of National Forest System land in the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest from surface entry and mining to protect the Wisdom Administrative Site, is hereby extended for an additional 20-year period.

(2) Public Land Order Ño. 6560 will expire on August 5, 2024, unless, as a

result of a review conducted before the expiration date pursuant to Section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f) (2000), the Secretary determines that the withdrawal shall be extended.

Dated: August 2, 2004.

Rebecca W. Watson,

Assistant Secretary—Land and Minerals Management.

[FR Doc. 04–18571 Filed 8–12–04; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[INT-DES-04-3]

Platte River Recovery Implementation Program

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation,

Interior.

ACTION: Extension of review and comment period for draft environmental impact statement (DEIS).

SUMMARY: The notice of availability for the DEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2004 (69 FR 3600), with the public review and comment period originally scheduled to end April 2, 2004. At the request of the State of Colorado, the public review and comment period is being extended to September 20, 2004.

DATES: Submit comments on the DEIS on or before September 20, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on, or requests for copies of, the DEIS should be addressed to the Platte River EIS Office (PL-100), PO Box 25007, Denver, Colorado, 80225–0007, telephone 303–445–2096, or by sending an email to platte@prs.usbr.gov. A copy of the DEIS Summary, and/or technical reports or appendices may also be obtained by calling 303–445–2096. The DEIS and Summary is also accessible at http://www.platteriver.org.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lynn Holt, Platte River EIS Office 303–445–2096, or by sending an email to platte@prs.usbr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation and the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) have prepared this DEIS to analyze the impacts of the First Increment (13 years) of a proposed Recovery Implementation Program (Program) to benefit the target species (whooping crane, interior least tern, piping plover, and pallid sturgeon) and their habitat in the Platte River Basin and to provide compliance with the Endangered Species Act (ESA) for

certain historic and future water uses in the Platte River Basin in Colorado, Nebraska, and Wyoming. The habitat objectives of the proposed Program include: improving flows in the Central Platte River through water re-regulation and conservation/supply projects; and protecting, restoring, and maintaining at least 10,000 acres of habitat in the Central Platte River area between Lexington and Chapman, Nebraska. The DEIS analyzes the impacts of four alternatives to implement the Program.

The programmatic DEIS focuses on impacts that the Program may have on hydrology, water quality, land, target species and their habitat, other species, hydropower, recreation, economics, and social and cultural resources.

Subsequent National Environmental Policy Act and ESA documents required for implementation of specific Program actions will be tiered off of this document.

Public Disclosure Statement

Comments received in response to this notice will become part of the administrative record for this project and are subject to public inspection. Comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, will be available for public review. Individual respondents may request that Reclamation withhold their home address from public disclosure, which will be honored to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which Reclamation would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish to have your name and/ or address withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. Reclamation will make all submissions from organizations or businesses and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: July 29, 2004.

Mary Josie Blanchard,

Deputy Director, Environmental Policy & Compliance.

[FR Doc. 04–18558 Filed 8–12–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-492]

In the Matter of Certain Plastic Grocery and Retail Bags; Notice of Issuance of General Exclusion Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission, having previously determined not to review the final initial determination (ID) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the above-captioned investigation, has issued a general exclusion order, and terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrea Casson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3105. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 2, 2003, based on a complaint filed by Superbag Corp. ("Superbag") of Houston, Texas, against four respondents. 68 FR 24755 (May 8, 2003). These respondents were Thai Plastic Bags Company, Ltd. of Thailand; Hmong Industries, Inc. of St. Paul, Minnesota; Spectrum Plastics, Inc. ("Spectrum") of Cerritos, California; and Pan Pacific Plastics Mfg., Inc. ("Pan Pacific") of Union City, California. Subsequently, the Commission granted Superbag's motion to amend the complaint to add six additional respondents to the investigation— Advance Polybag, Inc. ("API") of Metarie, Louisiana; Universal Polybag

Co., Ltd. ("Universal") of Thailand; Prime Source International LLC ("Prime Source") of Westerville, Ohio; Nantong Huasheng Plastic Products Co. ("Nantong") of China; Bee Lian Plastic Marketing PTE Ltd. ("Bee Lian") of Singapore; and Polson Products Limited of Hong Kong. 68 FR 54740 (Sept. 18, 2003).

Superbag's complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and/or sale within the United States after importation of certain T-styled plastic grocery and retail bags that infringe one or more of claims 1–8 and 15–19 of Superbag's U.S. Patent No. 5,188,235 ("the '235 patent").

Prior to the hearing before the ALJ, the Commission terminated the investigation as to respondents Spectrum and Prime Source on the basis of consent orders, and as to respondents API, Universal, and Pan Pacific on the basis of settlement agreements. The Commission also found respondents Nantong and Bee Lian in default.

On March 30, 2004, the ALJ issued his final ID and recommended determination on remedy and bonding, finding that there is a violation of section 337 and recommending that the Commission issue a general exclusion order. He also recommended that the bond permitting temporary importation during the Presidential review period be set at 80 percent of the entered value.

On May 28, 2004, the Commission issued notice that it had determined not to review the ID, and requested written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. 69 FR 31638 (June 4, 2004). Superbag and the Commission investigative attorney timely filed submissions that addressed the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered, the effect of a remedy on the public interest, and the amount of the bond that should be imposed during the 60-day Presidential review period.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the written submissions of the parties, the Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief is a general exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry for consumption of plastic grocery and retail bags that infringe one or more of claims 1-8 and 15-19 of the '235 patent. The Commission also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsection (d) of section 337 do not preclude the issuance of the aforementioned general exclusion order, and that the bond during the Presidential review period shall be in