The authority for the Commission's action is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in §§ 210.42, 210.43, 210.45, 210.46, and 210.50 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.42, 210.43, 210.45, 210.46, and 210.50).

Issued: December 5, 2001 By order of the Commission.

#### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–30506 Filed 12–10–01; 8:45 am]

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-450]

In the Matter of: Certain Integrated Circuits, Processes for Making Same, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants' Motion for Summary Determination on Importation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission.

**ACTION:** Corrected notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation on November 2, 2001, granting a motion of complainants' United Microelectronics Corporation, UMC Group (USA), and United Foundry Service, Inc. for summary determination on importation.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be

viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 6, 2001. The complainants are United Microelectronics Corporation of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; UMC Group (USA) of Sunnyvale, California; and United Foundry Service, Inc. of Hopewell Junction, New York. The respondents are Silicon Integrated Systems Corp. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; and Silicon Integrated Systems Corporation of Sunnyvale, California. 66 FR 13567 (2001).

On September 13, 2001, complainants filed a motion for summary determination on respondents' first affirmative defense of lack of importation. On September 25, 2001, respondents filed a cross-motion for summary determination on lack of importation. On the same day, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed his response in support of complainants' motion.

On October 5, 2001, complainants filed a memorandum in opposition to respondents' cross-motion for summary determination on lack of importation and a reply memorandum in support of complainants' motion for summary determination. On the same day, the IA filed his response in opposition to respondents' cross-motion for summary determination.

On October 23, 2001, complainants filed a motion for leave to file a supplemental memorandum in support of their motion, which was granted. On October 25, 2001, respondents 2 filed a response to complainants' motion for supplemental memorandum.

On November 2, 2001, the ALJ granted complainants' motion for summary determination and denied respondents' motion for summary determination. On November 8, 2001, respondents filed petition for review of the ID. On November 16, 2001, complainants and the IA filed responses in opposition to respondents' petition.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.42 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: December 5, 2001.

By order of the Commission.

### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–30505 Filed 12–10–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Federal Bureau of Investigation**

# Meeting of the Compact Council for the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact

**AGENCY:** Federal Bureau of Investigation, Justice. **ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this notice is to announce a meeting of the Compact Council created by the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Act of 1998 (Compact). Thus far, the federal government and thirteen states are parties to the Compact which governs the exchange of criminal history records for licensing, employment, and similar purposes. The Compact also provides a legal framework for the establishment of a cooperative Federal-state system to exchange such records.

Matters for discussion are expected to include: (1.) Record Screening Requirements, (2.) National Fingerprint File (NFF)/Qualifications and Audit Criteria, (3.) Proposed Progressive Steps for Sanctions, (4.) Improvements to the Criminal History Background Process, (5.) Proposal to Improve Service to the Noncriminal Justice Customers Seeking Interstate Identification Index Information, (6.) Jurisdiction of the Compact Council, (7.) Expansion of Time Frame and Users of the Emergency Child Placement Rule, (8.) NFF Implementation Plan for Non-NFF Compact States, and (9.) Source Documentation for Policy and Compact Council Rules.

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come first-seated basis. Any member of the public wishing to file a written statement with the Compact Council or wishing to address this session of the Compact Council should notify Ms. Cathy L. Morrison at (304)625–2736, at least 24 hours prior to the start of the session. The notification should contain the requestor's name and corporate designation, consumer affiliation, or government designation, along with a short statement describing the topic to be addressed, and the time needed for the presentation. Requestors will ordinarily be allowed up to 15 minutes to present a topic.

**DATES AND TIME:** The Compact Council will meet in open session from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m. on January 8–9, 2002.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Sheraton Grand Hotel, 1230 J Street, Sacramento, California, telephone (916) 447–1700.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Inquiries may be addressed to Ms. Cathy

L. Morrison, Interim Compact Officer, Compact Council Office, Module C3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306–0147, telephone (304) 625–2736, facsimile (304) 625– 5388.

Dated: December 4, 2001.

#### Thomas E. Bush, III,

Section Chief, Programs Development Section, Federal Bureau of Investigation. [FR Doc. 01–30536 Filed 12–10–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0143(2002)]

Standard on Presence Sensing Device Initiation; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's Approval of Information-Collection Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA requests comment on its proposal to extend OMB approval of the information-collection requirements contained in its Standard on Presence Sensing Device Initiation (PSDI) (29 CFR 1910.217(h)). This standard regulates the use of presence-sensing devices ("PSDs") in mechanical powerpress safety systems; a PSD (e.g., a photoelectric field or curtain) automatically stops the stroke of a mechanical power press when the device detects an operator entering a danger zone near the press. Accordingly, the standard protects employees from serious crush injuries, amputations, and death.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on or before February 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR–1218–0143(2002), OSHA, U.S.
Department of Labor, Room N–2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less by facsimile to (202) 693–1648.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Theda Kenney, Directorate of Safety Standards Programs, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2222. A copy of the Agency's Information-Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collections specified by the Standard on Presence Sensing Device Initiation

(PSDI) (29 CFR 1910.217(h)) is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or by requesting a copy from Theda Kenney at (202) 693–2222 or Todd Owen at (202) 693–2444. For electronic copies of the ICR, contract OSHA on the Internet at http://www.osha.gov, and select "Information Collection Requests."

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information-collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are understandable, and OSHA's estimate of the informationcollection burden is correct.

A number of paragraphs in OSHA's Standard on Presence Sensing Device Initiation (PSDI) (29 CFR 1910.217(h)) (the "Standard") contain paperwork requirements. These requirements include: Certifying brake-monitor adjustments, alternatives to photoelectric PSDs, safety-system design and installation, and employee training; annual recertification of safety systems; establishing and maintaining the original certification and validation records, as well as the most recent recertification and revalidation records; affixing labels to test rods and to certified and recertified presses; and notifying an OSHA-recognized thirdparty validation organization when a safety system component fails, the employer modifies the safety system, or a point-of-operation injury occurs. In addition, Appendix A of § 1910.217 provides detailed information and procedures required to meet the certification/validation provisions, as well as the design requirements, contained in the Standard. Accordingly, Appendix A supplements and explains the certification/validation provisions of the PSDI Standard, and does not specify new or additional paperwork requirements for employers. Appendix C § 1910.217 describes the requirements and procedures for obtaining OSHA recognition as a third-party validation organization; therefore the paperwork requirements specified by this appendix do not impose burden hours or cost directly on employers who use PSDs.

By complying with these paperwork requirements, employers ensure that

PSDI-equipped mechanical power presses are in safe working order, thereby preventing severe injury and death to press operators and other employees who work near this equipment. In addition, these records provide the most efficient means for an OSHA compliance officer to determine that an employer performed the requirements and that the equipment is safe.

#### II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed informationcollection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information-collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information-collection and -transmission techniques.

## **III. Proposed Actions**

OSHA is proposing to extend OMB approval of the information-collection requirements specified by the Standard even though the Agency can attribute no burden hours and cost to these requirements. In previous ICRs, OSHA estimated that each year employers would convert 1,988 mechanical presses to PSDI operation, and that manufacturers would produce an additional 250 new presses using PSDI (for an annual total of 2,238 presses). However, to date, no such presses appear to be in use, either because employers selected other stroke-control devices for mechanical power presses, or because no third-party organization is available to validate employer and manufacturer certifications that their PSDI equipment and practices meet the requirements of the Standard. Therefore, the Standard does not currently affect any known employer; accordingly, the paperwork requirements currently result in no burden hours or cost to employers.

The Agency believes that efforts by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) to develop a national consensus standard for PSDI may increase use of these devices in the near future. The metal-forming industry, which is working with ANSI on developing the national consensus standard, requested that Agency to retain the Standard. Therefore, OSHA is